

Review Article

Open Access

The Psychology of Contemporary Womanhood: Identity, Power, Gender Roles, Family Relationships, and Human Development in the Twenty-First Century

Paul Andrew Bourne, PhD, DrPH

Assistant Professor, University of the Commonwealth Caribbean, Kingston, Jamaica, WI

ABSTRACT

The rapid transformation of women's educational attainment, economic participation, political representation, and social roles over the past half-century has fundamentally reshaped family life, interpersonal relationships, and broader patterns of human development. While these changes have generated unprecedented opportunities for female empowerment and autonomy, women have also stimulated considerable scholarly debate concerning identity formation, power relations, family stability, psychological well-being, and changing expectations in intimate relationships. This paper critically examines the psychology of contemporary womanhood through an interdisciplinary synthesis of developmental psychology, social psychology, personality theory, family systems theory, and human development. Employing a qualitative political-psychology approach supported by a systematised scoping review, the study integrates evidence from psychology, sociology, family studies, gender research, economics, and public health. The analysis demonstrates that contemporary women's psychological development reflects the interaction of biological dispositions, cultural expectations, educational expansion, labour-market participation, digital technologies, and changing social norms rather than any single explanatory factor. It further argues that public debates concerning authority, entitlement, communication, respect, family stability, and gender relations frequently oversimplify complex psychosocial processes that are influenced by both women and men operating in rapidly changing social environments. The current paper concludes that healthy human development requires balanced partnerships characterised by mutual respect, shared responsibility, emotional intelligence, effective communication, and psychological maturity. This study contributes to contemporary scholarship by providing an evidence-based framework for understanding the evolving psychology of women while identifying implications for families, communities, public policy, and sustainable human development.

*Corresponding author

Paul Andrew Bourne, Assistant Professor, University of the Commonwealth Caribbean, Kingston, Jamaica, WI.

Received: October 06, 2026; **Accepted:** October 12, 2026; **Published:** October 20, 2026

Keywords: Contemporary Women, Psychology, Gender Roles, Family Relationships, Human Development, Social Identity

Introduction

The position of women in society has undergone profound transformation during the twentieth and twenty-first centuries, representing one of the most significant social changes in contemporary human history. Across much of the world, women have experienced unprecedented gains in educational attainment, labour-force participation, political representation, legal rights, economic independence, and access to professional leadership positions [1-5]. These developments have substantially altered traditional conceptions of gender, family, work, authority, and individual identity while expanding opportunities for women to exercise greater autonomy over personal, professional, and social decision-making. The reality is that contemporary womanhood can no longer be understood exclusively through historical models that associated female identity primarily with domestic responsibilities, caregiving, or dependence on male economic provision. Instead, women's psychological development reflects the interaction of multiple social roles that encompass professional achievement, family life, community participation, leadership, entrepreneurship, and personal fulfilment. These

changes have generated considerable scholarly interest regarding the psychological processes through which women negotiate increasingly complex and often competing social expectations.

The expansion of women's opportunities has simultaneously transformed interpersonal relationships and family structures. Educational attainment, delayed marriage, declining fertility, increased labour-market participation, economic independence, and changing expectations concerning equality have reshaped intimate relationships in contemporary societies [6, 7]. Contemporary partnerships increasingly emphasise shared decision-making, mutual respect, emotional support, and collaborative parenting rather than rigid gender specialisation. At the same time, demographic trends indicate increasing rates of divorce, delayed family formation, cohabitation, single-parent households, and diverse family arrangements, prompting continuing debate regarding the consequences of changing gender roles for family stability and child development [8, 9]. Public discourse frequently attributes these changes to women's increasing social and economic empowerment; some emphasise broader structural influences such as economic insecurity, technological change, cultural individualism, labour-market transformation, and evolving expectations affecting both women and men [10-12,

3,4]. The complexity of these interactions suggests that simplistic explanations assigning responsibility to one gender inadequately capture the multidimensional nature of contemporary family change, particularly women's behaviour.

Psychological research similarly demonstrates that female identity cannot be reduced to singular personality characteristics or social stereotypes. Women's self-concept develops through dynamic interactions among biological maturation, attachment experiences, family relationships, education, peer networks, occupational experiences, cultural norms, media exposure, socioeconomic circumstances, and broader institutional environments [13-15]. Contemporary women frequently negotiate multiple and sometimes conflicting identities, balancing aspirations for professional success, intimate relationships, motherhood, financial independence, community engagement, and personal well-being. Social media, digital communication, consumer culture, and rapidly evolving gender norms have further intensified pressures associated with appearance, achievement, social comparison, and self-presentation [16]. These influences affect psychological constructs including self-esteem, emotional regulation, resilience, interpersonal communication, leadership, perceived empowerment, relationship expectations, and life satisfaction. Understanding the psychology of contemporary women requires an integrated framework that recognises the reciprocal influences of individual development, family systems, social institutions, and cultural change.

Despite the substantial body of scholarship examining women's empowerment, gender equality, family dynamics, and psychological well-being, relatively few studies have integrated these domains in a comprehensive framework that examines identity, power, authority, interpersonal relationships, family functioning, and human development. Existing research frequently addresses these topics separately, resulting in fragmented explanations that may overlook the reciprocal relationships among psychological development, changing gender roles, social institutions, and broader developmental outcomes. Furthermore, public discussions surrounding issues such as entitlement, respect, communication styles, authority, and family stability are often characterised by ideological polarisation rather than careful evaluation of empirical evidence. This paper addresses these gaps by critically examining the psychology of contemporary womanhood through an interdisciplinary synthesis of psychology, sociology, family studies, and human development. Rather than assuming that contemporary social changes are inherently beneficial or harmful, the study evaluates the available evidence to understand how evolving gender roles influence individual well-being, interpersonal relationships, family functioning, and societal development. By integrating multiple theoretical perspectives in a balanced analytical framework, the paper seeks to contribute to contemporary scholarship while informing policies and practices that strengthen healthy relationships, family resilience, gender equity, and sustainable human development.

Research Questions

This study is guided by the following research questions:

1. How have contemporary social, economic, cultural, and technological changes influenced the psychological development and identity of women in the twenty-first century?
2. What does empirical evidence indicate regarding the relationships among women's perceptions of power, authority, autonomy, self-concept, and interpersonal relationships?
3. How have changing gender roles influenced family

functioning, relationship quality, parenting, and broader patterns of human development?

4. What psychological, social, and policy approaches best promote healthy gender relationships, family resilience, and sustainable human development in contemporary societies?

Methodological and Analytical Approach Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative interdisciplinary design employing a systematised scoping review to critically examine the psychology of contemporary womanhood in the broader context of changing gender roles, family relationships, social institutions, and human development. This qualitative approach is appropriate because the subject extends beyond the measurement of isolated psychological constructs to encompass evolving social identities, interpersonal relationships, family dynamics, leadership, cultural norms, and societal transformation. Contemporary womanhood represents a multidimensional phenomenon influenced by developmental, biological, psychological, sociological, economic, political, and cultural processes that cannot be adequately understood through single-discipline investigations. Therefore, the current study integrates perspectives from developmental psychology, social psychology, personality psychology, family studies, sociology, behavioural science, public health, economics, and human development to provide a comprehensive understanding of the factors shaping women's psychological experiences in the twenty-first century [14, 15, 17]. Rather than treating identity, empowerment, family relationships, and psychological well-being as separate domains, this study examines their reciprocal interactions in rapidly changing social environments.

The investigation employs a systematised scoping-review methodology because the available literature spans multiple disciplines and includes diverse forms of evidence requiring comprehensive mapping and critical synthesis. The evidence base includes peer-reviewed journal articles, scholarly books, international reports, policy documents, demographic studies, psychological investigations, family research, sociological analyses, and longitudinal cohort studies. Such heterogeneity cannot be synthesised adequately using conventional systematic reviews designed primarily for intervention studies or clinical trials [18, 19]. Instead, the present review identifies patterns of agreement, theoretical development, methodological diversity, and areas of scholarly debate relating to women's psychological development, identity formation, empowerment, authority, interpersonal relationships, family functioning, and human development. The review functions both as a mapping exercise and as a critical interpretative synthesis that integrates multidisciplinary evidence into a coherent conceptual framework suitable for understanding contemporary womanhood.

The conduct and reporting of the review were guided by the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) methodology for scoping reviews and reported, where appropriate, according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR), with additional reporting informed by PRISMA-S to enhance transparency, reproducibility, and methodological rigour [18, 20, 19]. Although these reporting standards were originally developed in health research, they have become increasingly applicable to multidisciplinary qualitative investigations requiring systematic documentary synthesis. Their application strengthens methodological transparency while enabling readers to evaluate the comprehensiveness of the evidence base and the credibility of the review process.

Literature Search Strategy, Data Extraction, and Evidence Synthesis

A comprehensive literature search was conducted across multidisciplinary bibliographic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, PsycINFO, PubMed, MEDLINE, JSTOR, ProQuest Central, EBSCOhost, Google Scholar, SpringerLink, Wiley Online Library, Taylor & Francis Online, and SAGE Journals. Additional evidence was obtained from reports published by the World Health Organization (WHO), UN Women, United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), World Bank, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), American Psychological Association (APA), and the Pew Research Center. Search terms included combinations of *women, psychology, identity, self-concept, gender roles, family relationships, authority, leadership, psychological well-being, family systems, empowerment, human development, social identity, parenting, relationship quality, communication, and gender equality*. Electronic searches were supplemented through citation tracking, manual bibliography searches, and grey-literature retrieval to maximise evidential coverage [18].

Following study selection, data were extracted using a structured framework capturing publication characteristics, methodological design, theoretical orientation, principal findings, and each study's contribution to understanding contemporary women's psychological development. Evidence was synthesised using thematic analysis combining deductive coding based on the study's principal analytical domains—identity, power, authority, psychological well-being, interpersonal relationships, family functioning, and human development—with inductive coding that permitted additional themes to emerge directly from the literature. Source, theoretical, and temporal triangulation strengthened analytical credibility by comparing findings across psychology, sociology, economics, public health, anthropology, and family studies while examining changes in scholarly understanding over time. This multidisciplinary synthesis enabled the integration of diverse theoretical traditions into a balanced evidence-based interpretation of contemporary womanhood.

Methodological Limitations and Ethical Considerations

Several methodological limitations should be recognised. First, the study relies exclusively on secondary evidence and depends on the quality, methodological rigour, and conceptual diversity of existing research. Psychological constructs such as identity, empowerment, self-esteem, authority, relationship quality, and family functioning are complex, culturally situated, and operationalised differently across studies, limiting direct comparability. Furthermore, contemporary debates concerning gender roles and family change are often influenced by ideological perspectives that may shape research questions, interpretation, and public discourse. Accordingly, this review seeks to evaluate competing perspectives critically rather than privileging any singular theoretical, political, or cultural position.

The investigation maintains scholarly rigour through comprehensive literature searching, transparent evidence synthesis, systematic source appraisal, and methodological triangulation. Reflexivity was incorporated throughout the review by distinguishing empirical findings from normative claims and by recognising that interpretations of gender relations frequently reflect broader social values and historical contexts. As the study relied exclusively on publicly available documents, institutional ethical approval was not required. Nevertheless, accepted principles of research ethics were maintained through accurate APA 7th edition referencing, fair representation of competing scholarly viewpoints, and balanced

interpretation of the available evidence. These methodological safeguards provide a robust foundation for critically examining the psychology of contemporary womanhood while promoting objective scholarly inquiry into one of the most significant social transformations of the contemporary era.

Theoretical Framework

Contemporary womanhood cannot be adequately understood through a single psychological or sociological perspective because women's identities, behaviours, aspirations, and interpersonal relationships are shaped by multiple interacting biological, developmental, psychological, social, economic, and cultural influences. Accordingly, this study adopts an integrated theoretical framework comprising Social Role Theory, Social Identity Theory, Attachment Theory, Self-Determination Theory, Family Systems Theory, Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory, and Human Development Theory. Collectively, these perspectives explain how women's psychological development evolves through continuous interaction between individual characteristics and broader environmental contexts rather than through biological predisposition or cultural expectations alone [13-15, 21, 17]. This multidimensional framework recognises that contemporary women's perceptions of identity, authority, self-worth, autonomy, interpersonal relationships, leadership, and family responsibilities emerge through reciprocal interactions among personal agency, family experiences, educational opportunities, labour-market participation, institutional structures, and changing societal norms. Psychological development is conceptualised as a dynamic process influenced by both individual choice and structural conditions operating across the life course.

Social Role Theory provides an important explanation for the changing psychological experiences of contemporary women by arguing that behaviour is influenced by socially constructed expectations associated with gender roles rather than biological sex alone [22-24]. Historically, women were expected to prioritise caregiving, domestic responsibilities, and relational support, whereas men were generally associated with economic provision, leadership, and authority. Expanding educational opportunities, labour-force participation, legal equality, and political representation have fundamentally altered these traditional role expectations, creating greater opportunities for women to occupy leadership positions while simultaneously increasing the complexity of balancing occupational achievement, family responsibilities, and personal well-being. Social Identity Theory further explains how women develop self-concept through membership in multiple social groups—including family, profession, ethnicity, religion, and community—and how these identities influence self-esteem, behaviour, and interpersonal relationships [25,26]. Contemporary women negotiate multiple identities simultaneously, often balancing aspirations for career advancement, motherhood, partnership, community engagement, and personal fulfilment in rapidly changing cultural environments. These evolving identities contribute to increasing diversity in women's life choices while also generating psychological tensions associated with competing social expectations and role conflict.

Women's interpersonal relationships and psychological well-being are further explained through Attachment Theory, Self-Determination Theory, and Family Systems Theory. Attachment Theory proposes that early relationships with caregivers shape emotional regulation, trust, intimacy, and relationship expectations throughout adulthood [27, 28]. Secure attachment is associated with healthier interpersonal functioning, emotional resilience, and constructive conflict resolution, whereas insecure attachment

may contribute to relationship instability, anxiety, avoidance, or maladaptive communication patterns. Self-Determination Theory argues that optimal psychological functioning depends on satisfaction of three fundamental psychological needs—autonomy, competence, and relatedness—which together promote intrinsic motivation, well-being, and personal growth [21]. As women have gained greater educational, occupational, and economic opportunities, increasing autonomy has become a central feature of psychological development. However, autonomy should not be interpreted as independence from meaningful relationships; rather, psychological well-being is enhanced when autonomy coexists with secure interpersonal connections, mutual respect, and supportive social environments. Family Systems Theory complements these perspectives by conceptualising the family as an interdependent emotional system in which changes affecting one member inevitably influence the functioning of the entire family unit [29]. Evolving gender roles, parenting responsibilities, economic participation, and relationship expectations influence not only individual women but also partners, children, and broader family dynamics.

The broader societal context shaping contemporary womanhood is explained through Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory and Human Development Theory. Bronfenbrenner argued that psychological development occurs in nested environmental systems ranging from immediate family relationships to educational institutions, workplaces, communities, media, government policies, and wider cultural values. Applied to contemporary women, this perspective demonstrates that identity, self-esteem, leadership, authority, communication styles, relationship expectations, and perceptions of success are simultaneously influenced by family socialisation, peer networks, digital technologies, social media, economic opportunities, religious beliefs, and national policy environments. Human Development Theory extends this analysis by conceptualising development as the expansion of individuals' capabilities, freedoms, and opportunities to achieve lives they value rather than simply increasing economic productivity [17, 30]. From this perspective, women's empowerment encompasses education, health, economic participation, political representation, personal security, and meaningful participation in family and community life. Importantly, the theory also emphasises that human development is relational rather than individualistic; improvements in women's well-being contribute directly to healthier families, stronger communities, greater social cohesion, and sustainable national development. Accordingly, this integrated theoretical framework provides a balanced foundation for examining how contemporary transformations in women's identity, power, authority, family relationships, and psychological development influence both individual well-being and broader patterns of human development without presuming that these changes are inherently beneficial or detrimental.

The Evolution of Contemporary Womanhood: Psychological and Social Transformation

Historical Evolution of Women's Psychological and Social Roles

The psychological development of women has always been closely intertwined with the social, economic, cultural, and political structures in which they have lived. Throughout much of recorded history, women's identities were largely defined through family relationships, reproduction, caregiving, and domestic responsibilities, with limited opportunities for formal education, economic independence, or political participation [31,22]. These socially prescribed roles were reinforced by

religious traditions, legal institutions, economic systems, and cultural norms that positioned men as primary decision-makers and women as caregivers. Although many women exercised considerable influence in families and communities, their authority often remained informal rather than institutional. Psychological development occurred in environments where identity, self-worth, and social status were closely associated with marriage, motherhood, and family responsibilities rather than individual achievement or professional success.

The Industrial Revolution initiated profound changes in women's social roles by gradually expanding educational opportunities, wage employment, and participation in public life. Increased urbanisation, economic restructuring, and compulsory education challenged traditional gender divisions while creating new opportunities for women to participate in teaching, nursing, clerical occupations, and later professional careers [32]. During the twentieth century, universal suffrage, expanding access to higher education, reproductive rights, and legislative reforms promoting gender equality accelerated women's participation in politics, business, science, medicine, law, and public administration. These structural changes transformed the psychological foundations of female identity by increasing personal autonomy, educational attainment, financial independence, and leadership opportunities. Women's aspirations increasingly extended beyond domestic responsibilities to encompass professional achievement, civic engagement, and lifelong personal development.

The latter half of the twentieth century witnessed the emergence of feminist scholarship that fundamentally reshaped understandings of gender, identity, power, and psychological development. Second- and third-wave feminist movements challenged institutional discrimination while advocating equal opportunities in education, employment, politics, and family life [33]. These movements also stimulated extensive psychological research examining self-esteem, autonomy, leadership, resilience, work-family balance, and gender identity. Rather than conceptualising women as passive recipients of social expectations, contemporary psychology increasingly recognised women as active agents capable of shaping their own lives through education, career development, political participation, entrepreneurship, and community leadership [13]. Nevertheless, expanding opportunities also introduced new psychological challenges associated with balancing multiple social roles, negotiating competing expectations, and managing increased occupational and family responsibilities.

The twenty-first century has further transformed women's psychological experiences through globalisation, digital communication, technological innovation, social media, changing labour markets, and evolving cultural values. Contemporary women increasingly construct identities across multiple domains, including family, career, education, community, digital environments, and personal relationships. At the same time, rapid social change has generated continuing debates concerning authority, leadership, family stability, relationship expectations, work-life integration, and gender equality. These developments suggest that contemporary womanhood cannot be understood solely through historical conceptions of femininity or contemporary narratives of empowerment. Instead, women's psychological development reflects the interaction of historical legacies, institutional change, technological advancement, socioeconomic opportunity, and individual agency operating in increasingly diverse and complex social environments.

Identity, Self-Concept, and Psychological Development

Identity Formation in Contemporary Women

Identity represents one of the central constructs in developmental psychology because it shapes how individuals perceive themselves, relate to others, establish life goals, and interpret their experiences. Erikson described identity formation as a lifelong developmental process through which individuals integrate personal experiences, social relationships, cultural expectations, and future aspirations into a coherent sense of self [15]. For contemporary women, identity development has become increasingly multidimensional because traditional expectations concerning marriage and motherhood now coexist with opportunities for professional achievement, entrepreneurship, political leadership, academic success, and global engagement. Identity develops not only through individual achievement but also through meaningful interpersonal relationships, as the need to belong represents one of the most fundamental human motivations influencing psychological well-being and self-concept [34,35]. Many women simultaneously negotiate identities as professionals, partners, mothers, caregivers, leaders, students, entrepreneurs, and community members, often requiring continual adaptation across different social contexts. This multiplicity of roles provides opportunities for personal growth while also increasing psychological complexity and potential role conflict.

Educational expansion has been one of the most significant contributors to contemporary women's identity development. Higher educational attainment enhances cognitive development, critical thinking, economic opportunity, self-efficacy, and confidence while broadening exposure to diverse perspectives and career possibilities [17]. Education also increases women's capacity for independent decision-making, financial autonomy, and civic participation, thereby strengthening psychological empowerment. However, greater educational attainment frequently raises expectations regarding occupational success and personal achievement, potentially intensifying tensions between professional aspirations and family responsibilities. Identity development increasingly involves balancing individual ambition with relational commitments rather than choosing exclusively between career and family.

Digital technologies have introduced additional dimensions to women's identity formation. Social networking platforms provide unprecedented opportunities for self-expression, professional networking, advocacy, entrepreneurship, and community building while simultaneously increasing exposure to social comparison, unrealistic beauty standards, public evaluation, and online harassment [16]. Constant digital engagement can influence self-esteem, body image, relationship expectations, and perceptions of success, particularly among younger women navigating adolescence and early adulthood. Although digital environments can facilitate empowerment and social support, they may also contribute to anxiety, perfectionism, and identity uncertainty when external validation becomes central to self-worth. Contemporary identity formation increasingly occurs in both physical and virtual social environments.

Despite these complexities, research consistently demonstrates that psychologically healthy identity development is associated with self-awareness, resilience, authenticity, emotional regulation, purpose, and meaningful interpersonal relationships rather than external status alone. Women who develop coherent identities grounded in personal values, competence, and secure social relationships generally exhibit higher levels of psychological well-

being, adaptive coping, and life satisfaction [21]. This suggests that contemporary identity should not be evaluated merely by occupational success or economic independence but by the extent to which individuals achieve psychological integration across the multiple domains of contemporary life. Gilligan argued that women's psychological development frequently places greater emphasis upon relationships, care, and connectedness, complementing traditional developmental theories centred upon autonomy and individual achievement. Understanding contemporary women's psychology requires examining not only changing opportunities but also the internal developmental processes through which identity is constructed, maintained, and revised throughout adulthood [36].

Power, Authority, Autonomy, and Contemporary Gender Relations

Psychological Empowerment, Power, and Authority

One of the defining characteristics of contemporary womanhood has been the substantial expansion of women's access to education, employment, political participation, and leadership opportunities. Psychological empowerment extends beyond formal equality to include individuals' beliefs in their capacity to influence decisions, exercise autonomy, achieve valued goals, and participate meaningfully in families, organisations, and society [13, 37]. Greater educational attainment and financial independence have strengthened women's perceptions of competence, self-efficacy, and personal agency while enabling participation in domains historically dominated by men. Many contemporary women increasingly perceive themselves as equal partners in decision-making rather than passive recipients of authority. These developments have contributed positively to psychological well-being, leadership development, and economic participation while supporting broader human development through improved educational outcomes, healthier families, and increased civic engagement.

The redistribution of authority in families and intimate relationships has likewise transformed traditional patterns of household decision-making. Whereas previous generations frequently operated in clearly differentiated gender roles, contemporary relationships increasingly emphasise collaboration, shared responsibility, and negotiated authority [6]. Empirical studies suggest that couples characterised by mutual respect, cooperative decision-making, and shared domestic responsibilities generally report higher levels of relationship satisfaction, psychological well-being, and parenting effectiveness than relationships based on rigid hierarchical structures [38]. Nevertheless, transitions in social roles may also generate uncertainty as individuals negotiate changing expectations concerning leadership, financial responsibility, caregiving, and emotional labour. Such tensions should be understood as adaptive challenges accompanying social transformation rather than evidence that women's empowerment is inherently destabilising.

Power itself should not be conceptualised solely as dominance over others but as the capacity to exercise informed judgement, pursue meaningful goals, and contribute constructively to personal and collective well-being. Contemporary psychological research increasingly distinguishes "power over", characterised by control and coercion, from "power with", which emphasises cooperation, shared influence, and reciprocal leadership [39]. From this perspective, healthy authority in families and organisations is grounded in competence, trust, communication, and mutual accountability rather than gender alone. Accordingly, women's

increasing access to positions of authority should be interpreted as an expansion of human capability rather than a displacement of male authority. The quality of leadership, whether exercised by women or men, ultimately depends on emotional intelligence, ethical decision-making, empathy, and interpersonal competence rather than biological sex.

The contemporary challenge lies not in women's increased authority but in society's ability to adapt to changing models of partnership, leadership, and shared responsibility. As traditional gender expectations evolve, both women and men must develop new interpersonal skills that support cooperation, conflict resolution, and mutual respect in families, workplaces, and communities. The evidence suggests that empowerment contributes most positively to human development when accompanied by humility, emotional maturity, empathy, and shared responsibility rather than individual dominance or adversarial gender relations. Psychological empowerment should be viewed as a developmental resource capable of strengthening individuals, families, and society when exercised in relationships characterised by reciprocity, trust, and respect.

Self-Perception, Self-Worth, and the Psychology of Entitlement

Contemporary discussions concerning women's psychology frequently include claims regarding increasing entitlement, excessive self-confidence, declining humility, or unrealistic relationship expectations. These assertions have become widespread in popular media, political commentary, and social networking platforms; however, empirical psychological research presents a more nuanced picture. Self-esteem, self-confidence, and self-worth are recognised as essential components of healthy psychological functioning because they enhance resilience, motivation, adaptive coping, and emotional well-being [40]. Higher educational attainment, occupational success, and financial independence have generally contributed to stronger perceptions of competence and self-efficacy among women, reflecting positive developmental outcomes rather than pathological narcissism. Nevertheless, self-confidence may become problematic when accompanied by unrealistic expectations, diminished empathy, excessive self-focus, or reduced willingness to engage in reciprocal relationships. Importantly, these characteristics are not unique to women but have also been documented among men, particularly in cultures emphasising individual achievement, consumerism, and personal status.

Psychologists distinguish healthy self-esteem from psychological entitlement, defined as a stable belief that one deserves favourable treatment, special privileges, or rewards independent of effort or reciprocal obligation [41]. Research suggests that entitlement is associated with narcissistic personality traits, interpersonal conflict, reduced empathy, lower relationship satisfaction, and difficulties maintaining cooperative social relationships. However, evidence does not support the conclusion that entitlement is an inherent characteristic of contemporary women. Instead, entitlement appears to reflect broader cultural shifts associated with increasing individualism, consumer culture, social media, and changing expectations affecting both women and men [42]. Public discourse that attributes entitlement exclusively to women oversimplifies a complex psychological phenomenon shaped by multiple social, economic, and technological influences.

Social media has become an important context in which perceptions of entitlement and self-worth are constructed and reinforced. Digital platforms encourage continual social comparison, public performance, personal branding, and external validation through

likes, followers, and online recognition. While these technologies provide opportunities for empowerment, entrepreneurship, advocacy, and community building, they may also contribute to inflated self-perceptions, unrealistic relationship expectations, appearance-related anxiety, and increased sensitivity to social evaluation [16]. Exposure to idealised portrayals of success, beauty, wealth, and lifestyle may influence both women's and men's expectations regarding partners, careers, and personal achievement. Accordingly, contemporary self-perception increasingly reflects interactions between individual psychological development and technologically mediated social environments.

From a developmental perspective, healthy self-worth is characterised by confidence balanced with humility, competence balanced with empathy, and autonomy balanced with relational responsibility. Human development requires individuals to recognise both personal rights and reciprocal obligations in families, workplaces, and society [17]. Women who possess strong self-esteem while maintaining emotional intelligence, perspective-taking, and cooperative interpersonal skills generally experience healthier relationships and greater life satisfaction than those whose self-worth depends primarily on external validation or social status. The critical psychological issue is not whether women have become more confident but whether confidence is accompanied by emotional maturity, mutual respect, accountability, and the capacity to sustain healthy interpersonal relationships. These developmental qualities ultimately strengthen both individual well-being and broader patterns of human development.

Respect, Communication, Family Relationships, and Human Development

Respect, Communication, and Contemporary Interpersonal Relationships

Respect constitutes one of the foundational principles of healthy interpersonal relationships because it facilitates trust, cooperation, emotional security, and constructive conflict resolution. Psychological research consistently demonstrates that relationship quality depends less on traditional gender roles than on mutual respect, empathy, emotional regulation, effective communication, and shared commitment between partners [38]. Respect within intimate relationships satisfies fundamental psychological needs for belonging, acceptance, and emotional security, thereby strengthening long-term relationship stability [34, 35]. Contemporary social change has altered expectations regarding authority, decision-making, financial responsibility, and domestic roles, requiring couples to negotiate relationship dynamics more collaboratively than previous generations. These transitions have undoubtedly generated challenges as individuals adapt to changing conceptions of equality and partnership; however, empirical evidence suggests that successful relationships continue to depend on reciprocal respect rather than hierarchical authority. Deteriorating relationship quality cannot be attributed exclusively to changing women's roles but instead reflects the interaction of evolving expectations affecting both women and men.

Communication has become increasingly important as traditional gender norms have weakened, and interpersonal relationships have become more egalitarian. Contemporary couples often engage in more extensive negotiation regarding career aspirations, parenting responsibilities, financial management, household labour, and work-life balance than earlier generations. Although these negotiations may initially increase conflict, they also provide opportunities for greater mutual understanding and shared decision-making when characterised by emotional intelligence and

respectful dialogue [38]. Difficulties arise when communication becomes characterised by contempt, defensiveness, criticism, emotional withdrawal, or chronic hostility, all of which are consistently associated with relationship dissatisfaction and family instability irrespective of gender. Psychological evidence suggests that communication style, rather than gender alone, is a more reliable predictor of long-term relationship success.

Public discussions frequently suggest that contemporary women have become less respectful towards men or that traditional forms of interpersonal courtesy have declined. Empirical research, however, indicates that perceptions of declining respect are closely linked to broader societal changes, including increasing individualism, shifting expectations regarding authority, economic uncertainty, social media interactions, and changing cultural norms surrounding gender equality [42]. Similar concerns regarding declining civility have also been expressed in relation to men's behaviour, workplace interactions, political discourse, and digital communication. Accordingly, respect should be understood as a reciprocal interpersonal process shaped by family socialisation, emotional maturity, communication skills, and broader cultural influences rather than as a characteristic attributable to either women or men individually.

From a human development perspective, respectful communication promotes psychological security, emotional resilience, cooperative parenting, and healthier family environments. Individuals who experience relationships characterised by mutual dignity, active listening, emotional validation, and shared responsibility generally demonstrate greater life satisfaction, lower psychological distress, and stronger interpersonal functioning [21]. Promoting respect in families requires educational approaches that strengthen emotional intelligence, conflict resolution, empathy, and interpersonal competence among both girls and boys rather than reinforcing adversarial gender narratives. Such an approach contributes not only to healthier intimate relationships but also to broader patterns of social cohesion and sustainable human development.

Assertiveness, Social Perceptions of “Loudness,” and Emotional Expression

One of the more controversial contemporary stereotypes concerns the perception that contemporary women have become increasingly “loud,” confrontational, or aggressive in interpersonal interactions. From a psychological perspective, however, such descriptions require careful conceptual clarification because they frequently conflate assertiveness, dominance, aggression, emotional expressiveness, and communication style, each of which represents distinct behavioural constructs. Assertiveness refers to the confident expression of one's opinions, needs, and boundaries while simultaneously respecting the rights of others, whereas aggression involves hostility, coercion, intimidation, or attempts to dominate interpersonal exchanges [43]. Contemporary women may display greater assertiveness than previous generations due to increased education, occupational leadership, and social empowerment, but assertiveness should not be interpreted as evidence of psychological dysfunction or diminished femininity. Rather, it reflects broader changes in gender socialisation and expectations regarding women's participation in public and private decision-making.

At the same time, psychological research recognises that communication styles vary considerably across individuals, cultures, socioeconomic groups, occupational settings, and personality traits. Characteristics such as extroversion, emotional

expressiveness, openness, conscientiousness, and agreeableness influence interpersonal behaviour irrespective of gender [44]. Social media, reality television, celebrity culture, and digital communication may further amplify highly expressive or confrontational behaviours because such interactions attract greater public attention than quieter, cooperative exchanges. Highly visible examples of aggressive communication may create exaggerated perceptions regarding women's behaviour while obscuring the considerable diversity that exists among contemporary women. Similar amplification effects have been observed in public discussions concerning men's aggression, political extremism, and online hostility, suggesting that media representation frequently distorts broader population patterns.

Psychological investigations consistently indicate that emotionally intelligent communication is associated with greater relationship satisfaction, improved leadership, enhanced conflict resolution, and stronger mental health outcomes. Emotional intelligence encompasses self-awareness, emotional regulation, empathy, social awareness, and constructive interpersonal skills rather than emotional suppression or passivity [45]. Women generally demonstrate higher average levels of emotional recognition and interpersonal sensitivity than men, although considerable variation exists in both sexes. Communication quality depends more on emotional regulation, empathy, and interpersonal competence than on vocal intensity or communication style alone. The critical issue is not whether women have become more assertive but whether assertiveness is exercised constructively in relationships characterised by mutual respect and emotional maturity.

Human development benefits when individuals possess the confidence to express their views while simultaneously maintaining empathy, civility, and respect for others. Educational systems, families, workplaces, and communities have important roles in promoting communication skills that balance confidence with cooperation and personal autonomy with social responsibility. Rather than encouraging either passive conformity or interpersonal domination, healthy psychological development requires individuals to cultivate emotional intelligence, active listening, perspective-taking, and constructive dialogue. Such competencies strengthen intimate relationships, improve workplace collaboration, reduce interpersonal conflict, and contribute to healthier families and more cohesive communities. Accordingly, contemporary debates concerning women's communication styles should be reframed from simplistic stereotypes towards evidence-based understanding of the psychological competencies that promote effective human relationships.

Family Transformation, Partnership, and Human Development

Perhaps no aspect of contemporary womanhood has generated greater public debate than the changing nature of family life. Declining marriage rates, delayed childbearing, increasing cohabitation, rising divorce, dual-career households, and changing parenting arrangements have prompted widespread concern regarding family stability across many societies [8]. Public commentary often attributes these developments primarily to women's increasing independence or changing expectations. However, longitudinal demographic and psychological research suggests that family transformation reflects a far more complex interaction of economic restructuring, educational expansion, labour-market participation, housing affordability, technological change, declining fertility, cultural individualism, and evolving expectations affecting both women and men [9, 6]. Assigning responsibility for family change to one gender is inconsistent

with the multidimensional evidence available from contemporary family research.

From the perspective of Family Systems Theory, families function as dynamic emotional systems in which changes affecting one member influence the behaviour and well-being of all others [29]. Women's increased educational attainment, economic participation, and leadership have transformed family organisation by redistributing responsibilities, increasing shared decision-making, and expanding opportunities for collaborative parenting. These changes have produced substantial benefits, including greater economic security, improved educational opportunities for children, enhanced parental involvement, and increased resilience among many households. Nevertheless, they have also required both women and men to renegotiate traditional expectations concerning caregiving, financial provision, domestic labour, and authority. Families that adapt successfully to these transitions generally exhibit stronger communication, greater flexibility, and healthier developmental outcomes than those characterised by rigid role expectations or persistent interpersonal conflict.

Ultimately, the evidence suggests that healthy families are not determined by adherence to traditional or contemporary gender roles alone but by the quality of interpersonal relationships in the household. Mutual respect, shared responsibility, emotional intelligence, effective communication, psychological maturity, and cooperative parenting consistently emerge as stronger predictors of family functioning than any particular division of labour or authority. From a human development perspective, strengthening families requires policies and educational initiatives that support healthy relationships, work-family balance, parenting competence, mental health, and economic stability for both women and men. Such an approach recognises that contemporary family transformation presents both opportunities and challenges while affirming that sustainable human development depends on resilient partnerships founded on reciprocity, trust, and shared commitment rather than gender-based competition or blame.

Contemporary Controversies and Misconceptions About Contemporary Womanhood **Social Media, Digital Culture, and the Construction of Female Identity**

The emergence of digital technology and social media represents one of the most influential developments affecting women's psychological experiences during the twenty-first century. Platforms such as Instagram, TikTok, Facebook, YouTube, LinkedIn, and X have transformed how women construct identity, communicate, establish relationships, pursue careers, and evaluate personal success. Unlike previous generations, contemporary women increasingly develop aspects of their self-concept in highly visible digital environments characterised by continuous social comparison, public evaluation, and algorithm-driven exposure to idealised lifestyles, physical appearance, and material success [16, 46]. While these platforms provide opportunities for entrepreneurship, advocacy, professional networking, education, and community building, they also create psychological pressures associated with perfectionism, body image, external validation, and fear of social exclusion. Digital environments simultaneously function as sources of empowerment and psychological vulnerability, illustrating the complex relationship between technological advancement and human development.

Social comparison theory provides an important explanation for these psychological processes by suggesting that individuals

evaluate themselves through comparisons with others, particularly under conditions of uncertainty [47]. Social media amplifies these comparisons by exposing users to highly curated portrayals of beauty, wealth, relationships, parenthood, and occupational achievement that rarely reflect everyday reality. Research consistently demonstrates associations between excessive social media use and increased anxiety, depressive symptoms, body dissatisfaction, reduced self-esteem, and unrealistic relationship expectations among both women and men [48]. However, these effects vary considerably depending on individual resilience, digital literacy, personality characteristics, and patterns of platform engagement. Accordingly, social media should not be regarded as inherently harmful but rather as a powerful environmental influence whose psychological consequences depend on how individuals interpret and utilise digital experiences.

Digital culture has also transformed public conversations regarding gender, relationships, leadership, and personal identity. Influencers, online commentators, lifestyle coaches, and relationship experts increasingly shape public perceptions concerning femininity, masculinity, success, attractiveness, parenting, and interpersonal expectations. While some digital communities promote psychological growth, education, and healthy relationships, others reinforce polarised narratives portraying women or men as fundamentally responsible for contemporary social problems. Such polarisation often simplifies complex developmental processes into adversarial gender ideologies that receive widespread online attention because controversy generates greater audience engagement. Contemporary psychological scholarship emphasises the importance of developing critical digital literacy that enables individuals to distinguish evidence-based information from ideological narratives, misinformation, or commercially motivated content. Strengthening digital literacy represents an increasingly important component of psychological resilience in contemporary societies.

Ultimately, the influence of social media should be interpreted in Bronfenbrenner's Ecological Systems Theory, which recognises that technological environments constitute important developmental contexts interacting with family, education, culture, and broader social institutions [14]. Women's identities are shaped not only by personal experiences but also by digital ecosystems that increasingly influence self-esteem, aspirations, interpersonal relationships, and psychological well-being. Future policies should promote responsible digital engagement, media literacy, online safety, and psychological resilience while encouraging digital environments that support authentic self-expression rather than unrealistic social comparison. Such an approach enables technological innovation to contribute positively to women's development while minimising associated psychological risks.

Dating Culture, Relationship Expectations, and the Psychology of Partnership

Contemporary dating culture has undergone substantial transformation through educational expansion, economic independence, delayed marriage, online dating platforms, and changing social norms regarding intimacy and partnership. Contemporary relationships increasingly emphasise emotional fulfilment, personal growth, and negotiated intimacy rather than traditional economic or institutional obligations [49]. Women now exercise considerably greater autonomy in selecting partners, determining relationship timing, pursuing higher education before marriage, and establishing independent careers than at any previous period in history [6]. These developments have expanded

individual choice while simultaneously increasing the complexity of relationship formation because partnerships increasingly depend on psychological compatibility, shared values, emotional intelligence, and mutual satisfaction rather than economic necessity or social obligation. Contemporary relationships often involve higher expectations regarding communication, emotional support, equality, and personal fulfilment than those observed in previous generations.

Public discourse frequently suggests that contemporary women possess unrealistic expectations regarding potential partners, often reinforced through social media and digital dating platforms. Psychological research acknowledges that changing educational attainment, occupational achievement, and economic independence may influence partner preferences by increasing the importance placed on shared values, emotional maturity, financial stability, and intellectual compatibility. However, similar trends have also been documented among men, whose expectations concerning attractiveness, education, occupational success, and relationship quality have likewise evolved. Rather than representing female entitlement alone, these developments reflect broader societal changes in which both women and men increasingly seek emotionally satisfying partnerships characterised by mutual respect and shared aspirations. Relationship expectations should be understood as reciprocal adaptations to changing social conditions rather than evidence of psychological dysfunction among either sex.

Digital dating has further altered interpersonal relationships by increasing opportunities to meet potential partners while simultaneously expanding perceived choice. Expanding relationship choices may paradoxically reduce satisfaction by increasing comparison, uncertainty, and unrealistic expectations, a phenomenon described as the “paradox of choice” (Schwartz, 2004). Although greater choice may facilitate compatibility, behavioural research also suggests that excessive options can contribute to indecision, reduced commitment, and continual comparison among potential partners. These dynamics affect women and men alike and may partly explain contemporary concerns regarding relationship instability, delayed commitment, and declining marriage rates. Importantly, empirical evidence consistently indicates that long-term relationship satisfaction depends less on initial partner selection than on communication quality, trust, emotional regulation, shared goals, and conflict management developed throughout the relationship. The psychological competencies sustaining healthy partnerships remain remarkably consistent despite changing social contexts.

Healthy relationships continue to require reciprocity, accountability, empathy, and mutual investment from both partners. Contemporary psychology increasingly rejects explanations portraying successful relationships as dependent on one partner exercising dominance over the other. Instead, secure attachment, emotional intelligence, constructive communication, and shared responsibility remain the strongest predictors of enduring relationship quality across cultures and socioeconomic groups [38]. Accordingly, contemporary dating culture presents both opportunities and challenges, requiring women and men to develop interpersonal competencies that enable meaningful commitment in increasingly complex social environments. Although partner preferences are influenced by education, culture, and socioeconomic conditions, evolutionary perspectives suggest that mate selection also reflects long-standing adaptive preferences interacting with contemporary social environments [50].

8.3 Beyond Gender Conflict: Towards Partnership and Shared Human Development

One of the most concerning developments in contemporary public discourse has been the increasing tendency to frame gender relations as a competition between women and men. Social media algorithms, political commentary, and commercial content frequently amplify narratives portraying one sex as responsible for declining family stability, deteriorating relationships, or broader social problems. Such narratives often encourage adversarial thinking by emphasising difference rather than cooperation, thereby reinforcing stereotypes that undermine mutual understanding. Contemporary psychological research provides limited support for these polarised perspectives, instead demonstrating that healthy relationships emerge through reciprocal processes involving communication, empathy, trust, emotional regulation, and shared responsibility [34,35]. Human development is best understood as a collaborative process rather than a zero-sum struggle between competing gender interests.

The evidence reviewed throughout this study indicates that both women and men have experienced substantial psychological and social transitions during recent decades. Women have increasingly assumed leadership positions, expanded educational attainment, and strengthened economic participation, while men have simultaneously faced changing expectations concerning fatherhood, caregiving, emotional expression, and partnership. These reciprocal changes require adaptation by both sexes rather than unilateral adjustment by either women or men. Decades of observational research indicate that relationship longevity depends primarily upon communication quality, emotional regulation, mutual respect, and effective conflict management rather than rigid gender roles [51]. Successful human development depends on creating social environments that enable both women and men to flourish individually while strengthening their capacity to build healthy relationships, resilient families, and cohesive communities. Such an approach recognises that psychological well-being and social development are fundamentally relational rather than individualistic achievements.

Future societies will benefit most from moving beyond ideological debates concerning gender superiority or victimhood towards evidence-based approaches emphasising partnership, mutual respect, emotional intelligence, and shared responsibility. Educational institutions, healthcare systems, workplaces, community organisations, faith communities, and governments all possess important roles in promoting healthy relationship skills, psychological resilience, family stability, and respectful dialogue across gender differences. Rather than asking whether contemporary women have become too powerful or whether men have become disadvantaged, a more productive question concerns how both sexes can utilise expanding educational, economic, and social opportunities to strengthen families, improve psychological well-being, and promote sustainable human development. Ultimately, the psychology of contemporary womanhood should be understood not as a challenge to men’s development but as an integral component of a broader societal transformation in which the flourishing of women and men remains mutually reinforcing, advancing healthier families, stronger communities, and more equitable societies.

Contemporary Womanhood, Family Functioning, and Human Development

Women as Agents of Human Development

Human development extends beyond economic growth to

encompass the expansion of individuals' capabilities, freedoms, opportunities, and overall quality of life [17, 30]. In this broader framework, women occupy a uniquely influential position because they contribute simultaneously to biological reproduction, early childhood development, educational attainment, economic productivity, family cohesion, community leadership, and intergenerational socialisation. Research consistently demonstrates that investments in women's education, health, employment, and psychological well-being generate benefits extending beyond individual women to children, families, communities, and national development [52]. Educated women are more likely to access healthcare, participate in preventive health behaviours, invest in children's education, support household nutrition, and contribute to economic growth. Contemporary women's psychological development represents not merely an individual outcome but a strategic component of sustainable human development.

Women's increasing participation in higher education and professional employment has significantly expanded human capital throughout many societies. Contemporary women contribute substantially to science, medicine, education, engineering, entrepreneurship, public administration, and political leadership, thereby strengthening innovation, institutional effectiveness, and economic productivity [5]. Psychological empowerment enhances confidence, creativity, problem-solving, leadership, and resilience, all of which contribute positively to organisational performance and national development. Nevertheless, these expanding opportunities have also increased pressures associated with balancing occupational achievement, family responsibilities, caregiving, and personal well-being. The resulting work-family interface represents one of the defining developmental challenges confronting contemporary women and requires institutional support through family-friendly workplace policies, equitable parental leave, affordable childcare, and flexible employment arrangements.

Psychological well-being remains fundamental to women's capacity to contribute effectively across these multiple domains. Depression, anxiety, burnout, chronic stress, intimate partner violence, workplace discrimination, and unrealistic social expectations can diminish both individual functioning and broader developmental outcomes [53]. Conversely, women who experience secure relationships, supportive communities, educational opportunity, meaningful employment, and adequate social protection generally demonstrate greater resilience, higher life satisfaction, and stronger parenting capacities. These findings emphasise that women's empowerment should not be understood solely in terms of formal equality or occupational advancement but also through the creation of environments that promote psychological health, meaningful relationships, and balanced life development. Human development depends on strengthening the social conditions that enable women to flourish across the lifespan.

Finally, recognising women as agents of human development does not diminish the importance of men's contributions but instead highlights the complementary roles that both sexes play in fostering healthy societies. Sustainable development is strengthened when women and men collaborate as equal partners in raising children, supporting families, participating in civic life, and promoting economic progress. Accordingly, policies designed to advance women's psychological well-being should also encourage healthy fatherhood, positive masculinity, shared parenting, and cooperative family relationships. Human development is ultimately a collective enterprise in which the well-being of women, men, and children remains fundamentally interconnected.

Parenting, Child Development, and Intergenerational Influence

Among the most enduring contributions women make to society is their influence on children's psychological, emotional, cognitive, and social development. Although fathers, extended family members, schools, and communities all contribute to child development, mothers often remain central figures during infancy and early childhood, shaping attachment security, emotional regulation, language development, and early socialisation [27,14]. Maternal warmth, responsiveness, consistency, and emotional availability are consistently associated with healthier psychological development, stronger academic achievement, greater emotional resilience, and improved interpersonal functioning across the life course. These developmental processes influence not only individual children but also the quality of future families, workplaces, and communities.

The changing roles of contemporary women have transformed parenting in important ways. Increased educational attainment, workforce participation, and economic independence have expanded family resources while simultaneously requiring greater coordination between mothers and fathers regarding caregiving responsibilities. Contemporary parenting increasingly reflects collaborative models in which both parents participate in nurturing, discipline, education, and emotional support rather than maintaining rigid gender divisions. Research indicates that children benefit most when caregivers demonstrate consistent parenting practices, secure attachment, emotional warmth, constructive communication, and cooperative co-parenting irrespective of traditional role allocation [54]. Successful parenting depends less on whether mothers work outside the home than on the quality of parent-child relationships and the overall stability of the family environment.

At the same time, contemporary parenting occurs in social contexts characterised by rapid technological change, digital media, educational competition, economic uncertainty, and evolving cultural expectations. Mothers frequently experience substantial psychological pressures associated with balancing professional responsibilities, parenting, caregiving for older relatives, and maintaining personal well-being. Social media has further intensified these pressures by promoting idealised representations of motherhood, family life, and personal success that may contribute to perfectionism, guilt, and chronic stress. These realities underscore the importance of strengthening social support networks, parental mental health services, flexible employment arrangements, and community-based family programmes capable of promoting both parental well-being and positive child development.

From a human development perspective, investments in mothers represent investments in future generations. Maternal education, health, psychological resilience, and economic security influence children's educational attainment, physical health, emotional stability, and long-term socioeconomic outcomes [17]. Accordingly, policies that support maternal well-being—including accessible healthcare, mental health services, parental leave, affordable childcare, parenting education, and family-friendly workplaces—yield developmental benefits extending far beyond individual households. Strengthening mothers contributes directly to stronger families, healthier children, and more resilient societies.

Contemporary Challenges, Relationship Stability, and the Future of Families

Family structures have undergone significant transformation during recent decades, reflecting broader changes in education,

employment, gender equality, technology, and cultural values. Marriage is increasingly delayed, fertility rates have declined in many societies, cohabitation has become more common, and dual-income households now represent the dominant family model across numerous countries [8]. These demographic changes should not automatically be interpreted as indicators of family decline but rather as evidence of evolving social organisation. Nevertheless, they introduce new psychological and relational challenges that require greater adaptability from both women and men. Negotiating careers, parenting, financial responsibilities, caregiving, and relationship expectations in rapidly changing environments demands high levels of emotional intelligence, communication, flexibility, and mutual commitment.

Public discourse often attributes family instability primarily to women's increasing independence or changing expectations. Empirical evidence, however, suggests that relationship stability is influenced by a much broader range of factors, including economic insecurity, housing affordability, educational differences, employment instability, mental health, communication quality, substance misuse, exposure to conflict during childhood, and broader cultural changes affecting both sexes [9, 8]. Healthy partnerships consistently demonstrate mutual respect, shared responsibility, emotional support, constructive conflict resolution, and commitment to common goals irrespective of traditional or contemporary gender roles. Understanding contemporary family change requires moving beyond simplistic narratives of blame towards a more comprehensive appreciation of the structural, psychological, and relational factors shaping contemporary intimate relationships.

Looking forward, the future of families will depend on society's capacity to cultivate emotionally healthy women and men who possess the interpersonal competencies required for enduring partnerships. Educational systems, faith communities, healthcare providers, workplaces, and public institutions all have important roles in strengthening emotional intelligence, relationship education, parenting competence, mental health, and work-family balance. Rather than framing gender relations as a competition for power or authority, sustainable human development requires a partnership model grounded in reciprocity, shared responsibility, empathy, accountability, and mutual respect. Contemporary women have undoubtedly transformed the social landscape through expanded opportunities and increased participation across every sector of society. The central challenge for the twenty-first century is not whether women should possess greater power or autonomy but how both women and men can utilise these expanding opportunities to build stronger families, healthier relationships, more cohesive communities, and more equitable societies.

Psychological Well-Being, Mental Health, and Contemporary Pressures Facing Women

The Changing Landscape of Women's Mental Health

Women's psychological well-being has become an increasingly important focus of contemporary mental health research because the rapid expansion of educational, occupational, familial, and social responsibilities has fundamentally altered the contexts within which psychological development occurs. Although women have achieved unprecedented gains in education, political participation, economic independence, and leadership, these advances have not eliminated psychological distress. Instead, many contemporary women simultaneously negotiate multiple and sometimes competing responsibilities associated with employment, parenting, caregiving, intimate relationships, financial security, community

engagement, and personal fulfilment [53]. These overlapping demands frequently generate chronic stress, emotional exhaustion, and role overload that may compromise psychological well-being despite objective indicators of social and economic progress. Consequently, women's mental health should be understood within a broader developmental framework recognising that empowerment and psychological burden may coexist rather than operate as mutually exclusive experiences.

Epidemiological evidence consistently indicates that women report higher prevalence rates of depression, anxiety disorders, post-traumatic stress disorder, eating disorders, and certain stress-related conditions than men across many societies [53]. Multiple explanations have been proposed, including biological influences, hormonal changes across the life course, greater exposure to gender-based violence, caregiving responsibilities, socioeconomic inequality, discrimination, and differences in emotional processing and help-seeking behaviour. Importantly, psychological vulnerability should not be interpreted as evidence of personal weakness but rather as reflecting the cumulative interaction of biological, developmental, interpersonal, and environmental influences. Protective factors such as secure attachment, supportive relationships, emotional intelligence, resilience, economic security, and access to mental healthcare substantially reduce psychological distress while promoting adaptive functioning throughout adulthood.

Contemporary women also experience increasing psychological pressure arising from rapidly changing social expectations. Whereas previous generations often encountered relatively well-defined social roles, contemporary women frequently confront expectations to achieve simultaneously as professionals, mothers, partners, caregivers, community leaders, and individuals pursuing personal fulfilment. These multiple role expectations may enhance life satisfaction when adequately supported but can also contribute to perfectionism, chronic self-evaluation, guilt, and psychological fatigue when institutional support is limited [21]. Consequently, understanding women's mental health requires recognising the cumulative psychological effects of balancing multiple identities rather than focusing exclusively upon isolated stressors.

From a developmental perspective, psychological well-being should be viewed as a dynamic process characterised by resilience, emotional regulation, adaptive coping, meaningful relationships, and opportunities for personal growth rather than merely the absence of psychiatric symptoms. Public policy and clinical practice should therefore prioritise prevention, early intervention, mental health literacy, and community support alongside treatment services. Such an approach recognises that strengthening women's mental health contributes directly to healthier families, improved parenting, stronger workplaces, and more sustainable human development.

Work-Family Conflict, Burnout, and Emotional Labour

Among the defining psychological challenges confronting contemporary women is the increasing complexity of balancing occupational responsibilities with family commitments. Women's labour-force participation has expanded dramatically over recent decades; however, domestic labour, caregiving, and household management continue to be distributed unevenly in many societies [6]. Consequently, many women perform what has been described as a "second shift," combining full-time employment with substantial responsibilities for childcare, elder care, household management, and emotional support. This accumulation of responsibilities contributes to work-family conflict, chronic

stress, reduced leisure, and increased risk of burnout, particularly among women occupying demanding professional roles while simultaneously caring for young children or ageing parents.

Emotional labour further intensifies these pressures. Women frequently assume responsibility for maintaining family relationships, organising social activities, resolving interpersonal conflict, and providing emotional support to partners, children, relatives, and colleagues. Although these contributions are often essential to family functioning and organisational cohesion, they frequently remain invisible within traditional economic measures of productivity. Persistent emotional labour may contribute to compassion fatigue, emotional exhaustion, and diminished psychological well-being when not balanced by reciprocal support from partners, families, or workplaces. Research therefore increasingly emphasises the importance of equitable sharing of caregiving and household responsibilities as a means of promoting psychological health for both women and men.

Workplace environments also influence women's psychological well-being. Although organisational cultures have become increasingly inclusive, women continue to report challenges related to gender bias, unequal promotion opportunities, workplace harassment, salary disparities, and underrepresentation in senior leadership within some sectors. These experiences may undermine psychological safety, occupational satisfaction, and career progression while increasing stress and emotional fatigue. Organisations can mitigate these challenges through supportive leadership, mentoring, flexible work arrangements, transparent promotion processes, and policies addressing discrimination and harassment. Such institutional reforms contribute not only to gender equity but also to healthier organisational cultures and improved workforce productivity.

Ultimately, achieving balance between professional aspirations and family responsibilities requires supportive social institutions rather than individual adaptation alone. Governments, employers, educational institutions, and families all possess important roles in developing policies that facilitate parental leave, affordable childcare, flexible employment, caregiver support, and work-life integration. By reducing structural barriers to balanced living, societies strengthen psychological well-being while enabling women to participate fully in economic, family, and community life without disproportionate emotional burden.

Body Image, Social Media, and Psychological Resilience

Body image has become one of the most extensively researched aspects of women's psychological health because perceptions of physical appearance influence self-esteem, identity formation, interpersonal relationships, and mental well-being across the lifespan. Contemporary media environments expose women to idealised images of beauty that are frequently digitally enhanced, commercially motivated, and unattainable for most individuals [48]. Repeated exposure to these standards has been associated with body dissatisfaction, disordered eating, anxiety, depression, and reduced self-esteem, particularly among adolescents and young adults. Social comparison processes further reinforce these effects by encouraging continual evaluation of appearance against unrealistic social ideals. Consequently, body image concerns should be understood as socially constructed psychological experiences rather than individual shortcomings.

Despite these challenges, increasing emphasis has been placed on cultivating psychological resilience and positive body image. Resilience enables individuals to adapt constructively

to adversity, recover from setbacks, and maintain psychological well-being despite external pressures [55]. Women possessing high levels of resilience typically demonstrate stronger emotional regulation, adaptive coping, optimism, and self-compassion while remaining less vulnerable to negative effects of social comparison and unrealistic appearance standards. Family support, secure attachment, positive peer relationships, meaningful work, spiritual engagement, and access to mental health services further strengthen resilience across the life course. Educational initiatives promoting media literacy and critical evaluation of digital content also reduce susceptibility to harmful social comparisons.

Contemporary mental health promotion increasingly recognises that psychological resilience represents a lifelong developmental process requiring supportive social environments. Healthcare providers, schools, universities, workplaces, and community organisations should therefore integrate resilience training, stress management, emotional intelligence, mindfulness, and mental health literacy into health promotion programmes. Such interventions enable women to navigate increasingly complex social environments while maintaining positive psychological functioning despite unavoidable life challenges. Strengthening resilience contributes not only to individual well-being but also to healthier families, stronger communities, and improved societal productivity.

Ultimately, the evidence suggests that contemporary women's mental health should not be viewed solely through the lens of pathology but rather through the broader developmental framework of flourishing. Psychological flourishing encompasses autonomy, competence, purpose, positive relationships, resilience, and opportunities for meaningful participation in society [56]. Policies and practices that strengthen these dimensions promote not only women's mental health but also the broader goals of human development by enabling women to contribute fully to family life, community leadership, economic productivity, and social progress. Recognising women's psychological well-being as a strategic societal resource therefore represents an essential step towards building healthier, more equitable, and more resilient societies.

Policy Implications for Healthy Relationships, Family Resilience, and Human Development

The evidence reviewed throughout this study suggests that the psychology of contemporary womanhood should be understood in the broader context of rapidly changing social institutions, technological advancement, educational expansion, and evolving gender relations. Public policy should move beyond ideological debates concerning women's empowerment or traditional gender roles and instead focus on promoting psychological well-being, healthy interpersonal relationships, and family resilience. Governments, educational institutions, healthcare systems, workplaces, and civil society organisations should recognise that women's psychological health is closely associated with broader developmental outcomes, including children's educational achievement, family stability, labour productivity, community cohesion, and national development [17, 30]. Policies that improve women's access to quality education, healthcare, mental health services, leadership opportunities, and economic participation while simultaneously supporting healthy family relationships are likely to produce benefits extending across generations. Investments in women's development should be viewed not merely as gender policy but as an essential component of sustainable human development.

Educational systems have a particularly important role in strengthening the psychological competencies required for healthy adult relationships. Although schools increasingly emphasise academic achievement and occupational preparation, considerably less attention is devoted to emotional intelligence, interpersonal communication, conflict resolution, relationship education, parenting preparation, and psychological resilience. Contemporary societies would benefit from educational programmes that teach both girls and boys the principles of mutual respect, empathy, emotional regulation, cooperation, shared responsibility, and constructive communication from an early age [45]. Such programmes should challenge harmful stereotypes concerning masculinity and femininity while encouraging balanced understandings of leadership, autonomy, caregiving, and partnership. Higher education institutions should similarly expand interdisciplinary teaching on family psychology, human development, gender relations, and mental health to prepare future professionals capable of responding to increasingly complex social realities. Strengthening psychological literacy throughout the educational system would contribute to healthier relationships, improved mental health, and stronger family functioning across adulthood.

Mental health policy should also recognise the increasing psychological demands experienced by contemporary women as they negotiate multiple social roles across family, work, and community life. Expanding access to affordable psychological services, maternal mental healthcare, trauma-informed counselling, stress management programmes, and community-based support networks would enhance women's psychological well-being while reducing the long-term burden of depression, anxiety, burnout, and relationship distress [53]. Equally important is the promotion of workplace policies that support work-life balance through flexible employment arrangements, parental leave, childcare support, career development, and organisational cultures that recognise family responsibilities for both women and men. Healthcare providers should increasingly adopt family-centred approaches that integrate mental health, reproductive health, parenting support, relationship counselling, and chronic disease management, recognising that psychological well-being and family functioning are mutually reinforcing. Such integrated services strengthen not only women's health but also broader family resilience and child development.

Finally, sustainable human development requires renewed commitment to strengthening families as the primary social institutions through which psychological development, moral values, emotional security, and social responsibility are transmitted across generations. Contemporary evidence demonstrates that healthy societies are not created through competition between women and men but through cooperative partnerships characterised by mutual respect, shared leadership, emotional maturity, accountability, and reciprocal support. Accordingly, public policy should encourage initiatives that strengthen marriage preparation, relationship education, responsible fatherhood, positive motherhood, parenting competence, conflict resolution, and community engagement while reducing interpersonal violence, discrimination, and social exclusion. Faith-based organisations, community groups, educational institutions, employers, and governments all possess complementary roles in promoting environments where women and men can develop their full human potential while contributing constructively to stable families and cohesive communities. Such an integrated developmental approach recognises that women's empowerment and strong families are not mutually exclusive objectives but complementary foundations of prosperous, equitable, and psychologically healthy societies.

Future Research Directions

The present review identifies several important opportunities for advancing scholarship on the psychology of contemporary womanhood. First, future investigations should employ longitudinal research designs capable of examining how women's identities, leadership, psychological well-being, relationship expectations, and family roles evolve across the life course. Much of the existing literature relies on cross-sectional data, limiting understanding of developmental change and causal relationships. Longitudinal studies would provide stronger evidence regarding the reciprocal influences of education, employment, marriage, parenting, socioeconomic status, and cultural change on women's psychological development.

Second, greater attention should be devoted to cultural diversity. Much of the current evidence originates from North America and Western Europe, whereas comparatively little research examines women's psychological experiences in the Caribbean, Latin America, Africa, Asia, and other culturally distinct societies. Comparative international research would improve understanding of how cultural values, religion, family structures, economic development, and public policy shape women's identity, empowerment, relationship quality, and psychological well-being. Such studies would also contribute to more culturally sensitive theories of gender and human development.

Third, emerging technologies warrant greater scholarly attention. Artificial intelligence, digital communication, social media, virtual relationships, remote employment, and online education continue to reshape identity formation, interpersonal communication, parenting, leadership, and psychological health in ways that remain only partially understood. Future research should examine how technological innovation influences women's self-concept, emotional well-being, family functioning, and social participation while identifying protective factors that promote resilience in increasingly digital societies.

Finally, future scholarship should increasingly adopt multidisciplinary approaches integrating psychology, sociology, economics, neuroscience, public health, education, anthropology, and political science. Contemporary womanhood represents a multidimensional phenomenon that cannot be adequately explained through any single disciplinary perspective. Greater collaboration across these fields will facilitate a more comprehensive understanding of how changing gender roles influence individual development, family resilience, community well-being, and sustainable human development. Such interdisciplinary scholarship will strengthen both scientific understanding and evidence-informed policymaking while contributing to healthier relationships and more equitable societies.

Conclusion

The psychology of contemporary womanhood reflects one of the most significant social transformations of the twenty-first century, driven by expanding educational opportunities, economic participation, technological innovation, changing family structures, and evolving gender expectations. This review demonstrates that contemporary women's psychological development cannot be understood through simplistic narratives of either empowerment or social decline but instead emerges through the interaction of biological, developmental, psychological, cultural, institutional, and socioeconomic influences. By integrating Social Role Theory, Social Identity Theory, Attachment Theory, Self-Determination Theory, Family Systems Theory, Ecological Systems Theory, and Human Development Theory, the study demonstrates that

women's identity, self-concept, leadership, authority, interpersonal relationships, and psychological well-being are products of reciprocal interactions between individual agency and broader social environments [13,14, 21,17]. The review further shows that increased educational attainment, financial independence, leadership opportunities, and social participation have substantially enhanced women's capabilities while simultaneously introducing new psychological demands associated with balancing multiple and often competing social roles. Contemporary womanhood should be interpreted as a dynamic developmental process rather than a fixed social category, requiring continuous adaptation to changing cultural, economic, and technological conditions.

The analysis also demonstrates that many contemporary public debates concerning women's power, authority, entitlement, communication styles, respect, and family relationships frequently oversimplify complex psychological and social processes. Empirical evidence does not support the proposition that contemporary women are inherently more entitled, less respectful, or principally responsible for changes in family structure. Instead, relationship quality and family functioning are influenced by reciprocal interactions among women and men, together with broader structural factors including economic uncertainty, educational expansion, labour-market transformation, technological change, social media, cultural individualism, and evolving expectations regarding partnership and parenting [9, 8, 38]. Psychological research consistently identifies emotional intelligence, secure attachment, empathy, effective communication, shared responsibility, and mutual respect as the principal determinants of healthy intimate relationships and family resilience rather than adherence to traditional or contemporary gender roles. Accordingly, sustainable family development depends on cooperative partnerships in which both women and men contribute to emotional security, caregiving, decision-making, and the socialisation of future generations.

From a human development perspective, contemporary women's psychological well-being represents a strategic societal resource because it directly influences children's development, family stability, educational attainment, workforce productivity, community cohesion, and national development. The findings suggest that future public policy should move beyond adversarial debates concerning gender and instead strengthen educational opportunities, psychological well-being, relationship education, parenting competence, mental health services, work-life balance, and supportive institutional environments that enable women and men to achieve their full developmental potential. Greater attention should also be devoted to cultivating emotional intelligence, resilience, empathy, and constructive communication throughout the life course, recognising that these competencies underpin healthy relationships, effective leadership, and resilient families. Future research should employ longitudinal, multidisciplinary, and cross-cultural approaches to examine how technological change, social media, demographic transition, and evolving gender norms continue to shape women's psychological development and interpersonal relationships. Ultimately, the psychology of contemporary womanhood should be understood not as a story of conflict between women and men but as part of the broader evolution of human development in which psychologically healthy women and men, working collaboratively through relationships founded on mutual respect, shared responsibility, and emotional maturity, contribute to stronger families, more cohesive communities, and more sustainable societies.

Appendix

Definition of Key Terms

Attachment

Attachment refers to the enduring emotional bond formed between individuals, particularly during early childhood, that influences emotional regulation, trust, intimacy, and relationship patterns throughout the life course [27, 28]

Authority

Authority refers to the legitimate or socially recognised right to make decisions, exercise leadership, or influence behaviour within families, organisations, institutions, or society. Authority may derive from expertise, experience, social roles, formal positions, or mutual recognition rather than coercion alone [23].

Contemporary Family

A contemporary family refers to the diverse forms of family organisation found in modern societies, including married couples, cohabiting partners, single-parent households, blended families, extended families, and other caregiving arrangements. These family structures are shaped by changing demographic patterns, economic conditions, cultural values, and evolving gender roles [8].

Contemporary Womanhood

Contemporary womanhood refers to the evolving psychological, social, cultural, economic, and political experiences of women living within modern societies characterised by expanded educational opportunities, increased labour-force participation, technological advancement, changing family structures, and greater autonomy in personal and professional decision-making. Rather than representing a single or uniform identity, contemporary womanhood encompasses diverse experiences shaped by culture, socioeconomic status, ethnicity, religion, age, and individual life circumstances [23, 3].

Emotional Intelligence

Emotional intelligence refers to the ability to perceive, understand, regulate, and appropriately express emotions while recognising and responding effectively to the emotions of others. It includes self-awareness, emotional regulation, empathy, motivation, and interpersonal competence [45, 57].

Family Functioning

Family functioning refers to the quality of interactions, communication, emotional support, adaptability, cohesion, and shared responsibilities among members of a family system. Healthy family functioning facilitates psychological well-being, child development, resilience, and social adjustment [29].

Family Resilience

Family resilience refers to the collective capacity of families to adapt successfully to adversity, stress, or significant life transitions while maintaining healthy relationships, effective communication, emotional support, and positive functioning.

Gender Roles

Gender roles refer to socially and culturally constructed expectations concerning behaviours, responsibilities, attitudes, and characteristics considered appropriate for women and men within societies. These roles evolve in response to cultural, economic, educational, and political change [22, 24].

Human Development

Human development refers to the process of expanding people's capabilities, freedoms, opportunities, and quality of life, enabling individuals to realise their full potential through education, health, meaningful participation, economic opportunity, and social inclusion. Human development extends beyond economic growth to encompass overall human well-being and societal progress [17, 30].

Identity

Identity refers to an individual's integrated sense of self, encompassing personal beliefs, values, goals, roles, and social affiliations that provide continuity, meaning, and direction throughout life. Identity develops through continuous interaction among biological maturation, socialisation, family relationships, education, culture, and individual experiences [15, 26].

Power

Power refers to the capacity to influence decisions, behaviours, resources, or social outcomes within interpersonal, organisational, or societal relationships. In contemporary psychology, power is understood not only as dominance over others but also as the ability to exercise agency, collaborate effectively, and contribute constructively to individual and collective well-being [39].

Psychological Empowerment

Psychological empowerment refers to an individual's perception of personal competence, autonomy, influence, and capacity to exercise meaningful control over decisions affecting personal and social life. It encompasses self-efficacy, perceived agency, confidence, and participation in decision-making across family, workplace, and community settings [37].

Psychological Entitlement

Psychological entitlement refers to a relatively stable belief that one deserves favourable treatment, privileges, or rewards irrespective of reciprocal effort or responsibility. It is distinguished from healthy self-confidence and has been associated with narcissistic tendencies, interpersonal conflict, and reduced empathy when present at elevated levels [41].

Psychological Well-Being

Psychological well-being refers to positive mental functioning characterised by emotional stability, life satisfaction, self-acceptance, autonomy, purpose in life, positive relationships, and opportunities for personal growth. It represents psychological flourishing rather than merely the absence of mental illness [56].

Psychology

Psychology is the scientific study of behaviour, cognition, emotion, motivation, personality, and mental processes, with particular attention to how individuals perceive themselves, relate to others, and adapt to their environments. Within the present study, psychology refers specifically to the processes influencing women's identity formation, self-concept, emotional well-being, interpersonal relationships, leadership, decision-making, and behavioural adaptation across the life course [58].

Resilience

Resilience refers to the dynamic capacity to adapt positively to adversity, stress, trauma, or significant life challenges while maintaining or restoring psychological well-being. It reflects the interaction of personal strengths, supportive relationships, and environmental resources that facilitate healthy adaptation and recovery ([55]).

Self-Concept

Self-concept refers to the organised collection of beliefs, perceptions, and evaluations individuals hold about themselves, including perceptions of competence, worth, personality, abilities, and social roles. It influences behaviour, emotional regulation, decision-making, and interpersonal relationships throughout development [13].

Self-Esteem

Self-esteem refers to an individual's overall evaluation of personal worth, value, and competence. Healthy self-esteem is associated with psychological resilience, emotional well-being, adaptive coping, and positive interpersonal functioning, whereas chronically low or unstable self-esteem may contribute to psychological distress and interpersonal difficulties [40].

Social Identity

Social identity refers to that aspect of an individual's self-concept derived from membership in social groups such as family, ethnicity, profession, religion, nationality, or gender. These group affiliations influence self-esteem, interpersonal behaviour, and social relationships [25, 26].

Social Role Theory

Social Role Theory proposes that behavioural differences between women and men arise primarily from culturally prescribed social roles, division of labour, and societal expectations rather than biological differences alone. Changes in educational opportunities, employment, and institutional structures consequently reshape gender-related behaviour over time [22, 24, 58-69].

References

1. Abu-Chaled M (2022) Women in Politics: The Correlation between Women's Representation in The Political Leadership and their Social Status. *Open Journal of Leadership* 11: 303-312.
2. United Nations Jamaica (2025) Accelerating rights, equality, and empowerment for all women and girls. <https://jamaica.un.org/en/296936-accelerating-rights-equality-and-empowerment-all-women-and-girls>.
3. United Nations Women (2024a) Progress on the Sustainable Development Goals: The gender snapshot 2024. UN Women.
4. United Nations Women (2024b) Facts and figures: Economic empowerment <https://www.unwomen.org/en/what-we-do/economic-empowerment/facts-and-figures>.
5. World Economic Forum (2024) Global gender gap report 2024. World Economic Forum.
6. Goldscheider F, Bernhardt E, Lappegård T (2015) The gender revolution. *Population and Development Review* 41: 207-239.
7. Pew Research Center (2023) Contemporary family life. Pew Research Center.
8. Cherlin AJ (2014) *Labour's love lost*. Russell Sage Foundation.
9. Amato PR (2010) Research on divorce: Continuing trends and new developments. *Journal of Marriage and Family* 72: 650-666.
10. Belingheri P, Chiarello F, Colladon A F, Rovelli P (2021) Twenty years of gender equality research: A scoping review based on a new semantic indicator. *PLoS ONE* 16: e0256474.
11. Kabeer N (1999) The conditions and consequences of choice: Reflections on the measurement of women's empowerment. United Nations Research Institute for Social Development. <https://cdn.unrisd.org/assets/library/papers/pdf-files/dp108.pdf>.
12. Kriger B (2024) The evolving role of contemporary women: Challenges, achievements, and misconceptions. *Medium*

- <https://medium.com/@krigerbruce/the-evolving-role-of-contemporary-women-challenges-achievements-and-misconceptions-7266dbe6475c>.
13. Bandura A (1986) Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory. Prentice Hall.
 14. Bronfenbrenner U (1979) The ecology of human development. Harvard University Press.
 15. Erikson EH (1968) Identity: Youth and crisis. Norton.
 16. Twenge JM (2017) iGen. Atria Books.
 17. Sen A (1999) Development as freedom. Oxford University Press.
 18. Peters MD J (2020) JBI manual for evidence synthesis. Joanna Briggs Institute.
 19. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, et al. (2018) PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 169: 467-473.
 20. Rethlefsen ML, Shona Kirtley, Siw Waffenschmidt, Ana Patricia Ayala, David Moher, et al. (2021) PRISMA-S: an extension to the PRISMA statement for reporting literature searches in systematic reviews *Systematic Reviews*. *J Med Libr Assoc* 109: 174-200.
 21. Deci E L, Ryan RM (2000) The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits. *Psychological Inquiry* 11: 227-268.
 22. Eagly AH (1987) Sex differences in social behavior. Erlbaum.
 23. Eagly AH, Wood W (2012) Social role theory. In P. A. M. Van Lange, A. W. Kruglanski, & E. T. Higgins (Eds.), *Handbook of theories of social psychology* Sage Publications pp. 458-476.
 24. Wood W, Eagly AH (2012) Biosocial construction of sex differences and similarities in behavior. In J. M. Olson & M. P. Zanna (Eds.), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*. Academic Press 46: 55-123.
 25. Tajfel H, Turner JC (1979) An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. In W. G. Austin & S. Worchel (Eds.), *The social psychology of intergroup relations*. Monterey, CA: Brooks/Cole pp: 33-37.
 26. Tajfel H, Turner J (2001) An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. In M. A. Hogg & D. Abrams (Eds.), *Intergroup relations: Essential readings*. Psychology Press pp: 94-109.
 27. Bowlby J (1969) Attachment and loss. Basic Books.
 28. Bowlby J (1988) A secure base. Basic Books.
 29. Bowen M (1978) Family therapy in clinical practice. Jason Aronson.
 30. United Nations Development Programme (1990) Human development report 1990. Oxford University Press.
 31. Beauvoir S. de. (2011) The second sex. Vintage.
 32. Goldin C (2006) The quiet revolution. *American Economic Review* 96: 1-21.
 33. Hooks B (2000) Feminism is for everybody. South End Press.
 34. Baumeister RF, Leary MR (1995) The need to belong. *Psychological Bulletin* 117: 497-529.
 35. Baumeister RF, Leary MR (1995) The need to belong: Desire for interpersonal attachments as a fundamental human motivation. *Psychological Bulletin* 117: 497-529.
 36. Gilligan C (1982) In a different voice: Psychological theory and women's development. Harvard University Press.
 37. Zimmerman MA (1995) Psychological empowerment: Issues and illustrations. *American Journal of Community Psychology* 23: 581-599.
 38. Gottman JM, Silver N (2015) The seven principles for making marriage work. Harmony Books.
 39. Keltner D, Gruenfeld D, Anderson C (2003) Power, approach, and inhibition *Psychological Review*, 110: 265-284.
 40. Orth U, Robins RW (2022) Self-esteem development from age 4 to 94 years: A meta-analysis. *Annual Review of Developmental Psychology* 4: 23-45.
 41. Campbell WK, Bonacci, AM, Shelton J, Exline J, Bushman B (2004) Psychological entitlement. *Journal of Personality Assessment* 83: 29-45.
 42. Twenge JM, Campbell WK (2009) The narcissism epidemic. Free Press.
 43. Alberti RE, Emmons ML (2017) Your perfect right: Assertiveness and equality in your life and relationships New Harbinger.
 44. McCrae RR, Costa PT (2008) The Five-Factor Theory of personality. In O. P. John et al. (Eds.) *Handbook of personality*.
 45. Goleman D (1995) Emotional intelligence. Bantam.
 46. Vogel EA, Rose JP, Roberts LR, Eckles K (2014) Social comparison, social media, and self-esteem. *Psychology of Popular Media Culture* 3: 206-222.
 47. Festinger L (1954) A theory of social comparison processes. *Human Relations* 7: 117-140.
 48. Fardouly J, Vartanian LR (2016) social media and body image concerns: Current research and future directions. *Current Opinion in Psychology* 9: 1-5.
 49. Giddens A (1992) The transformation of intimacy: Sexuality, love and eroticism in contemporary societies. Stanford University Press.
 50. Buss DM (2016) The evolution of desire: Strategies of human mating (4th ed.). Routledge.
 51. Gottman JM (1999) The marriage clinic: A scientifically based marital therapy. W.W. Norton.
 52. World Bank (2012) World Development Report 2012: Gender equality and development. World Bank.
 53. World Health Organization (2022) World mental health report: Transforming mental health for all. World Health Organization.
 54. Lamb ME (2010) The role of the father in child development. Wiley.
 55. Masten AS (2014) Ordinary magic: Resilience in development. Guilford Press.
 56. Seligman ME P (2011) Flourish: A visionary new understanding of happiness and well-being. Free Press.
 57. Mayer JD, Salovey P, Caruso DR (2008) Emotional intelligence. *American Psychologist* 63: 503-517.
 58. American Psychological Association (2023) Stress in America™ 2023: A nation recovering from collective trauma. American Psychological Association.
 59. Allen TD, Herst DEL, Bruck CS, Sutton M (2000) Consequences associated with work-to-family conflict: A review and agenda for future research. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology* 5: 278-308.
 60. Carlson DS, Kacmar KM, Williams LJ (2000) Construction and validation of a multidimensional measure of work-family conflict. *Journal of Vocational Behavior* 56: 249-276.
 61. Finkel EJ, Eastwick PW, Karney BR, Reis HT, Sprecher S (2012) Online dating: A critical analysis from the perspective of psychological science. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest* 13: 3-66.
 62. Grandey AA (2000) Emotion regulation in the workplace: A new way to conceptualize emotional labour. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology* 5: 95-110.
 63. Heckman JJ (2006) Skill formation and the economics of investing in disadvantaged children. *Science* 312: 1900-1902.
 64. Hochschild A R, Machung A (2012) The second shift: Working families and the revolution at home. Penguin Books.
 65. Marmot M (2008) Closing the gap in a generation. *The Lancet* 372: 1661-1669.
 66. Pierson P (2004) Politics in time. Princeton University Press.

67. Ryan RM, Deci EL (2017) *Self-determination theory: Basic psychological needs in motivation, development, and wellness*. Guilford Press.
68. Schwartz B (2004) *The paradox of choice: Why more is less*. HarperCollins.
69. World Bank. Gender <https://www.worldbank.org/ext/en/topic/gender>.

Copyright: ©2026 Paul Andrew Bourne. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.