ISSN: 2755-8339

Journal of Business Research and Reports

Research Article

Open Access

Unlocking Indonesia's Oil Palm Productivity Potential: A Strategic Analysis of Germplasm Diversity and Seed Quality Enhancement through Advanced Breeding Research

Loso Judijanto

IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

Indonesia's position as the world's largest palm oil producer is increasingly threatened by a persistent productivity gap, with actual yields of 3-4 tons CPO/ha significantly trailing technical potentials exceeding 10 tons CPO/ha. This qualitative literature review examines the strategic role of germplasm diversity and seed quality improvement in bridging this productivity chasm. Through thematic analysis of recent scholarly literature (2020-2025) and industry reports, this study reveals that Indonesia's limited genetic resource base-sourced from only four countries compared to Malaysia's eighteen-represents a critical bottleneck. The recent 2024 Tanzania germplasm expedition, yielding 82,000 seeds from 102 accessions, exemplifies emerging multi-stakeholder collaborations involving government agencies, industry associations, and research consortia. Our synthesis demonstrates that integrating marker-assisted selection (MAS) and genomic selection (GS) with conventional breeding can accelerate varietal development from 15-20 years to 10-12 years, while DNA tracing technologies enhance the integrity of seed certification. Thematic findings highlight three critical pathways: (1) international germplasm enrichment through Nagoya Protocol-compliant exchanges, (2) molecular breeding technology adoption with 0.33-0.66 prediction accuracies for clonal selection, and (3) policy frameworks strengthening seed certification and distribution systems. We identify systemic challenges including weak enforcement of seed standards, limited smallholder access to certified seeds, and inadequate research infrastructure. This review concludes that achieving productivity targets requires a paradigm shift toward germplasm-led innovation, supported by enhanced R&D funding, strengthened public-private partnerships, and regulatory reforms prioritizing genetic resource conservation. Evidence-based recommendations emphasize establishing a national germplasm bank, accelerating molecular breeding programs, and implementing targeted subsidies for certified seed adoption among smallholders.

***Corresponding author**
Loso Judijanto, IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia.

Received: January 03, 2026; **Accepted:** January 08, 2026; **Published:** January 18, 2026

Keywords: Oil Palm Germplasm, Seed Quality Improvement, Indonesian Palm Oil Productivity, Molecular Breeding, Genetic Diversity, Marker-Assisted Selection, Genomic Selection, Seed Certification, Tanzania Germplasm, Sustainable Intensification

JEL Classification: Q16, O13, Q57, L52, O31

Introduction

Background

Indonesia's Global Dominance in Palm Oil Production and Its Sustainability Imperatives

Indonesia's palm oil industry represents a cornerstone of the national economy, contributing approximately 3.5% to GDP and employing over 16 million people across the value chain. As the world's largest producer, Indonesia supplied 59% of global palm oil demand in 2024, with exports reaching 34.5 million tons. However, this quantitative dominance masks a qualitative challenge: productivity stagnation. While the theoretical potential of modern oil palm hybrids exceeds 10 tons of crude palm oil (CPO) per hectare annually, national averages remain stubbornly fixed at 3-4 tons CPO/ha. This productivity gap-estimated at 60-70% below potential-translates into significant economic losses, foregone foreign exchange earnings, and unnecessary land-expansion pressures [1].

The urgency of addressing this productivity deficit intensifies amid sustainability commitments. Indonesia's moratorium on new plantation permits, coupled with international demands for deforestation-free supply chains, necessitates intensification over expansion. The Indonesian Sustainable Palm Oil (ISPO) certification system, mandatory since 2020, further compels productivity improvements to maintain competitiveness while adhering to environmental standards. Within this constrained operational landscape, seed quality emerges as the foundational determinant of productivity potential, embodying the genetic capacity that subsequent agronomic practices can either realize or constrain [2].

The Seed Quality-Productivity Nexus: A Critical Bottleneck

Seed quality represents the most technologically embedded input in oil palm cultivation, determining the genetic ceiling for yield, disease resistance, and environmental adaptability over the crop's 25-year economic lifespan. Despite its strategic importance, Indonesia faces systemic challenges in managing seed quality. The national seed industry, while quantitatively adequate—with production capacity growing from 120 million to 250 million seedlings between 2000 and 2020—suffers from deficiencies in quality assurance and distribution inefficiencies. A 2025 industry analysis revealed that approximately 40% of smallholders still use uncertified seeds, perpetuating yield stagnation and vulnerability to diseases [3].

The genetic uniformity of commercial planting materials compounds these challenges. Most Indonesian plantations rely on limited parental lines, primarily derived from Deli Dura and selected Pisifera populations, creating genetic bottlenecks that increase susceptibility to emerging pathogens like Ganoderma boninense. This genetic vulnerability underscores the critical need for germplasm enrichment-introducing novel genetic diversity from centers of origin to broaden the breeding base and develop resilient, high-yielding varieties [4].

Germplasm as the Genetic Foundation for Productivity Enhancement

Germplasm conservation and utilization serve as the foundation for any successful crop improvement program. For oil palm, centers of genetic diversity span West and Central Africa, with Tanzania, Cameroon, Angola, and Nigeria harboring wild populations exhibiting exceptional genetic variation. These wild accessions possess valuable traits absent in commercial populations, including drought tolerance, disease resistance, and adaptation to marginal soils. Indonesia's germplasm acquisition efforts, while historically significant, remain limited compared to regional competitors. Malaysia has systematically collected germplasm from 18 countries, whereas Indonesia's collections derive from only four nations. This disparity places Indonesia at a competitive disadvantage in breeding program sophistication and varietal development capacity [5].

The recent Tanzania germplasm expedition-conducted in 2024 through collaboration between the Directorate General of Plantations, GAPKI, and the Indonesian Oil Palm Germplasm Consortium-exemplifies a strategic shift toward aggressive germplasm enrichment. This initiative secured 82,004 seeds from 102 accessions collected across coastal lowlands and highland regions, capturing extensive genetic diversity for future breeding programs. Such efforts align with global best practices, in which germplasm serves as the raw material for molecular breeding, enabling the development of "designer" varieties tailored to specific agroecological zones and market requirements [6].

Urgency

Escalating Global Sustainability Pressures and Land Constraints

The palm oil industry is facing unprecedented scrutiny over its environmental footprint. European Union Deforestation Regulation (EUDR), effective 2024, mandates strict traceability and sustainability verification, threatening market access for non-compliant producers. Domestically, Indonesia's permanent moratorium on primary forest conversion, established in 2018, restricts plantation expansion to approximately 14 million hectares of degraded land. These constraints transform productivity enhancement from an economic aspiration to a survival imperative. Without yield improvements, Indonesia cannot meet the growing global demand—projected to reach 110 million tons by 2030-within existing land boundaries [7].

The productivity gap carries profound environmental implications. Low yields necessitate larger cultivated areas to meet production targets, indirectly driving deforestation and biodiversity loss. Research indicates that closing yield gaps through improved seed genetics and agronomy could reduce required land area by 30-40%, preserving critical ecosystems while maintaining production levels. This land-sparing potential elevates germplasm-led productivity initiatives as central to Indonesia's climate commitments under the Paris Agreement [8].

Genetic Vulnerability and Emerging Biotic Threats

Indonesia's oil palm genetic base is concerning for its narrowness. Molecular analyses of commercial planting materials reveal limited allelic diversity compared to wild African populations. This genetic uniformity creates systemic vulnerability to disease epidemics. Ganoderma basal stem rot, the most destructive oil palm disease, has spread to over 50% of plantations in some regions, causing yield losses of 30-80% in infected palms. The absence of complete genetic resistance in current varieties necessitates ongoing breeding efforts that incorporate novel resistance genes from wild germplasm [9].

Climate change exacerbates these biotic pressures. Altered rainfall patterns, increased temperature variability, and prolonged droughts stress palms, reducing yields and increasing disease susceptibility. Wild germplasm from Tanzania's highland regions offers pre-adapted genetic variants tolerant to water stress and temperature fluctuations. Without proactive germplasm integration, Indonesia risks productivity collapse under climate scenarios projecting 2-4°C temperature increases by 2050 [10].

Policy Momentum and Multi-Stakeholder Mobilization

Recent policy developments signal a heightened commitment to germplasm-led innovation. The 2020-2024 National Medium-Term Development Plan explicitly prioritized agricultural biotechnology and the conservation of genetic resources. BPDP's funding allocation for germplasm exploration increased by 300% between 2020 and 2024, reflecting recognition of the importance of upstream research. The Indonesian Palm Oil Association (GAPKI) has actively advocated for strengthened African partnerships, facilitating government-to-government agreements that streamline germplasm access [11].

This policy momentum aligns with industry readiness. Major plantation companies, including PT Socfindo and Asian Agri, have established advanced breeding programs integrating molecular markers and genomic selection. The Indonesian Oil Palm Germplasm Consortium, comprising 15 member companies, coordinates collective action in germplasm acquisition, conservation, and breeding. This multi-stakeholder architecture-combining public funding, industry expertise, and research capacity-creates unprecedented opportunities for systemic productivity enhancement [12].

Objectives

Primary Objective

This qualitative literature review aims to systematically analyze the strategic role of germplasm diversity and seed quality improvement in enhancing Indonesian oil palm productivity. By synthesizing recent scholarly literature, industry reports, and policy documents, we seek to elucidate the causal pathways linking genetic resource conservation, molecular breeding technologies, and productivity outcomes.

Specific Objectives

- **To Identify and Analyze Thematic Patterns** in international germplasm exploration initiatives, notably the Tanzania 2024 expedition, and their implications for Indonesia's genetic resource base.
- **To Evaluate the Integration of Modern Breeding Technologies**-including marker-assisted selection (MAS),

genomic selection (GS), and genome editing-within Indonesia's oil palm improvement programs, assessing their potential to accelerate varietal development.
- **To Examine Policy Frameworks and Institutional Arrangements** governing seed certification, germplasm exchange, and technology transfer, identifying systemic bottlenecks and opportunities for reform.
- **To Formulate Evidence-Based Recommendations** for policymakers, industry stakeholders, and research institutions to optimize germplasm utilization and seed quality enhancement strategies.

Literature Review

Conceptual Foundations of Indonesian Oil Palm Productivity

Definitional Framework and Productivity Metrics

Oil palm productivity is conventionally measured as CPO yield per hectare per year, reflecting both biological potential and management efficiency. However, this metric encompasses multiple underlying determinants operating across genetic, environmental, and agronomic domains. The genetic component, determined by parental combinations and breeding values, establishes the theoretical yield ceiling. Environmental factors-including soil fertility, rainfall, temperature, and solar radiation-define the operational yield potential. Agronomic management, covering fertilization, pest control, and harvesting practices, determines the realized yield gap.

Recent literature emphasizes the disproportionate impact of genetic improvement on productivity trajectories. Quantitative genetic analyses indicate that breeding progress contributes 50-60% of historical yield gains in commercial plantations, with the remainder attributed to improved agronomy. This genetic primacy underscores the strategic importance of germplasm quality as the foundation for productivity enhancement initiatives.

Productivity Gap Analysis: Smallholders vs. Estates

Indonesia's oil palm sector exhibits stark productivity disparities between smallholders and large estates. Smallholder plantations, comprising 41% of total oil palm area, achieve average yields of 2.5-3.5 tons CPO/ha, significantly below estate averages of 4.5-5.5 tons CPO/ha. This gap stems from multiple factors: limited access to certified seeds, suboptimal fertilization practices, delayed harvesting, and inadequate pest management. However, seed quality emerges as the most persistent constraint. A 2021 survey revealed that only 35% of smallholders used certified seeds, with 65% relying on uncertified seedlings from informal nurseries [13].

The productivity differential carries significant national implications. If smallholder yields could be elevated to estate levels through improved seed genetics and extension support, national production would increase by 15-20% without additional land conversion. This potential gain exceeds the output of several central producing provinces, highlighting the transformative impact of seed quality improvement.

The Seed Quality-Productivity Causal Chain

Seed quality influences productivity through multiple pathways. Genetically superior seeds exhibit higher photosynthetic efficiency, optimized assimilate partitioning, and enhanced stress tolerance. These physiological advantages translate into measurable field outcomes: earlier maturity (by 6-12 months), higher bunch weight (15-25% increase), improved oil extraction rates (2-3 percentage points), and greater disease resistance. Long-term trials demonstrate that elite hybrids produce 8-10 tons CPO/ha under optimal management, compared to 4-5 tons CPO/ha for standard varieties [14].

The economic returns to seed quality improvement are substantial. Cost-benefit analyses indicate that premium seeds costing $2.50 per seedling generate net present values 3-4 times higher than standard seeds over a 25-year plantation lifecycle. Despite these advantages, market failures persist. Information asymmetries, credit constraints, and weak enforcement of seed standards prevent optimal adoption, particularly among smallholders.

Seed Quality Determinants and Industry Dynamics

Genetic Purity and Viability Standards

Oil palm seed quality encompasses genetic, physiological, and physical dimensions. Genetic purity ensures that seedlings match the declared parental combination, which is critical for realizing expected yield potential. Physiological viability, measured by germination rates (>85% for certified seeds), determines establishment success. Physical quality includes seed size, weight, and freedom from pathogens [15].

Indonesian seed standards, regulated under Permentan No. 38/2020, mandate genetic purity verification through parental records and molecular testing for elite varieties. However, enforcement remains inconsistent. DNA tracing technologies, adopted by leading seed producers since 2023, enable verification of genetic identity, but coverage remains limited to approximately 30% of certified production. This enforcement gap allows counterfeit seeds to infiltrate markets, undermining productivity gains from legitimate breeding efforts [16,17].

Evolution of Indonesia's Seed Industry Structure

Indonesia's oil palm seed industry has undergone a significant transformation. The 1980s-1990s era saw heavy reliance on imported seeds from Malaysia and limited domestic breeding capacity. The 2000s witnessed capacity expansion, with domestic production meeting national demand by 2010. Current production capacity exceeds 250 million seedlings annually, with major producers including PT Socfindo (45 million), Asian Agri (30 million), and SumBio (25 million) [14].

Despite quantitative sufficiency, qualitative challenges persist. Industry consolidation has created an oligopoly in which three producers control 60% of the certified seed supply. This concentration limits variety and creates supply vulnerabilities. Furthermore, the transition from shortage to surplus has triggered price competition, pressuring margins and potentially compromising the maintenance of quality investments [12].

Impact of Seed Quality on Field Performance

Empirical evidence from multi-location trials demonstrates the effects of seed quality. Elite DxP (Dura x Pisifera) hybrids from advanced breeding programs achieve average yields of 7.8 tons CPO/ha in the first five years, compared to 5.2 tons CPO/ha for standard hybrids. Oil extraction rates differ by 3-4 percentage points, translating into significant revenue differences. Disease resistance profiles also vary substantially; elite varieties show 40-60% lower Ganoderma incidence rates [4].

The compounding effect of seed quality over the 25-year economic lifespan amplifies these differences. Net present value analyses, discounting at 10%, reveal that elite hybrids generate $12,000-15,000/ha additional value compared to standard varieties. However, these benefits remain inaccessible to the 60% of smallholders who use uncertified seeds, perpetuating productivity disparities and income inequality within the sector [12,18].

Germplasm Diversity and Genetic Resource Conservation
Theoretical Foundations of Germplasm Value
Germplasm represents the total of genetic diversity available for crop improvement, encompassing wild relatives, landraces, and advanced breeding lines. For oil palm, genetic diversity is concentrated in West and Central African centers of origin, where wild Elaeis guineensis populations have evolved over millions of years, accumulating adaptive traits for diverse ecological niches. These wild accessions harbor alleles absent in commercial breeding populations, including genes conferring resistance to emerging diseases, tolerance to abiotic stresses, and novel oil composition profiles [19,20].

The economic value of germplasm is realized through its integration into breeding programs. Each unique accession represents a genetic lottery ticket, potentially containing combinations of alleles that could revolutionize commercial varieties. However, germplasm value remains latent without characterization, evaluation, and pre-breeding efforts to transfer desirable traits into elite backgrounds. This activation process requires sustained investment in conservation infrastructure, phenotyping facilities, and molecular characterization capabilities [19,20].

Global Germplasm Status and Indonesia's Competitive Position
International germplasm collection efforts reveal Indonesia's relative disadvantage. Malaysia's MPOB (Malaysian Palm Oil Board) maintains germplasm derived from 18 countries, including strategic collections from Cameroon (2,000+ accessions), Angola (500+ accessions), and multiple West African sources. This diversified portfolio provides Malaysian breeders with extensive genetic options for tailoring varieties to market requirements and emerging challenges. Indonesia's germplasm base, by contrast, consists of collections from only four countries: Cameroon (2008), Angola (2010), Ecuador (2016), and Tanzania (2024), totaling approximately 400-500 accessions across all origins [17].

This germplasm disparity has direct competitive implications. Malaysian breeding programs have released 30+ new varieties in the past decade, while Indonesia's domestic releases number fewer than 10. The diversity deficit constrains Indonesia's capacity for simultaneous improvement across multiple traits-a critical limitation when market demands increasingly emphasize sustainability attributes (low methane production, higher oleic acid content) alongside traditional yield metrics [21].

The recent Tanzania expedition partially addresses this disparity. The 82,004 seeds from 102 accessions obtained in 2024 represent the largest single-country germplasm acquisition in Indonesia's history. This material encompasses exceptional phenotypic variation, from dwarf types suitable for mechanical harvesting to drought-tolerant genotypes adapted to marginal areas. Early morphological assessments indicate the presence of multiple pest resistance traits and novel fruit color variants absent in commercial populations [6].

Characterization and Evaluation of African Oil Palm Germplasm
Comprehensive phenotypic characterization of African germplasm reveals extensive diversity. Morphological studies of Tanzanian accessions document variation in bunch characteristics (bunch weight ranges from 8-30 kg), fruit type (dura, tenera, pisifera types represented), and vegetative traits (height, leaflet width, petiole color). Multivariate analyses cluster accessions into distinct genetic groups, reflecting differentiation in response to local environmental selection pressures [9,10].

Molecular characterization adds further resolution. SSR (simple sequence repeat) and SNP (single-nucleotide polymorphism) analyses reveal genetic distances among accessions, enabling the assessment of functional diversity. Studies demonstrate that African wild populations harbor significantly higher heterozygosity (0.45-0.65) than commercial elite lines (0.15-0.35), suggesting untapped genetic variation. This molecular diversity translates into potential breeding advantages: wild populations likely contain novel alleles that have escaped fixation in commercial germplasm and could confer novel trait combinations [9].

Evaluation for agronomic performance traits is ongoing. Tanzania accessions are currently undergoing multi-year field trials in Indonesia, with preliminary data (available 2025) indicating some accessions exceed commercial checks for drought tolerance and disease resistance. However, comprehensive evaluation requires a minimum of 4-year observation cycles across multiple agro-ecological conditions, necessitating extended timeframes before breeding deployment recommendations [3,22].

Institutional Frameworks for Germplasm Exchange and Conservation
The Nagoya Protocol on Access and Benefit Sharing, adopted in 2014, fundamentally restructured international germplasm exchanges. Indonesia and Tanzania, both convention parties, must negotiate Benefit Sharing Agreements (BSAs) specifying monetary and non-monetary compensation for accessed genetic resources. The Tanzania expedition operated under formal government-to-government agreements and consortium funding, combining BPDP's public resources with industry compensation mechanisms to comply with Nagoya requirements [23].

This institutional innovation enables sustainable germplasm acquisition while ensuring equitable distribution of benefits. The Tanzanian government receives direct compensation, as well as capacity-building support, including training in breeding program management and the application of molecular technologies. This model, championed by GAPKI and endorsed by Indonesia's Ministry of Foreign Affairs, creates replicable templates for future expeditions to West African countries [23].

Indonesia's institutional architecture for germplasm management remains nascent but rapidly evolving. The Indonesian Oil Palm Germplasm Consortium, established in 2015 and expanded in 2022, coordinates conservation and breeding efforts among 15 member companies. Government support through BPDP provides for germplasm-related research, representing increased institutional commitment compared to pre-2020 allocations. However, conservation infrastructure remains limited-Indonesia lacks climate-controlled facilities that meet international standards for long-term seed storage, creating a dependency on periodic regeneration in field nurseries [6].

Modern Breeding Technologies and Accelerated Improvement
Paradigm Shift from Phenotypic to Genomic Selection
Conventional oil palm breeding operates through iterative cycles of crossing, field evaluation, and selection. Elite palms are identified through phenotypic assessment (yield, bunch characteristics, oil

quality), crossed to generate progeny, and evaluated under field conditions for 4-8 years before commercial release decisions. This lengthy cycle, typically requiring 15-20 years from initial cross to varietal release, represents the fundamental bottleneck in breeding efficiency [3].

Modern molecular technologies enable a paradigm shift toward genomic selection (GS). Rather than waiting for phenotypic expression in field trials, breeders can predict breeding values from DNA markers applied to young seedlings or even gametes. This early prediction truncates evaluation cycles, enabling the completion of several selection generations within the timeframe previously required for a single conventional cycle. Genomic prediction accuracies for oil palm range from 0.33-0.66 for clonal selection, demonstrating practical utility despite lower accuracies than phenotypic selection in traditional settings. The accuracy advantage is greater for traits that are difficult or expensive to phenotype, such as oil composition or disease resistance genes [24,25].

Marker-Assisted Selection (MAS): Applications and Current Practices

Marker-assisted selection utilizes DNA markers (predominantly SNPs and indels) physically linked to genes controlling traits of interest. For oil palm, major applications include resistance to Ganoderma basal stem rot and selection for high-oleic-acid oil. Indonesian breeding programs have increasingly adopted MAS platforms, with PT Socfindo implementing KASP™ (Kompetitive Allele Specific PCR) genotyping for Ganoderma resistance screening since 2022 [26].

A 2023 study documented in silico QTL mapping in an Indonesian oil palm breeding program, identifying quantitative trait loci associated with bunch weight, oil percentage, and disease resistance. The research identified 47 significant SNP markers distributed across the oil palm genome (16 chromosomes), enabling the development of marker panels for simultaneous selection across multiple traits. Predictive accuracies ranged from 0.41-0.58 depending on trait heritability, establishing practical utility for routine breeding operations [26].

The implementation of MAS has shortened the genetic lag time-the interval between identifying superior genotypes and deploying them commercially-from 8-12 years to 4-6 years. This acceleration compounds across generations, potentially reducing breeding cycle length by 20-30% while improving selection accuracy. However, full MAS integration remains incomplete; only approximately 15% of Indonesian breeding program crosses currently incorporate marker-based selection due to cost constraints (currently $5-15 per sample) and infrastructure limitations [27,28].

Genomic Selection (GS): Precision and Acceleration

Genomic selection represents the frontier of breeding technology, using genome-wide marker information (typically 1,000+ SNPs) to predict complex-trait breeding values without phenotypic data. GS advantages include: (1) early prediction enabling rapid generation turnover, (2) simultaneous optimization across multiple traits, and (3) improved accuracy through linkage disequilibrium capture [29].

A landmark 2020 study published in Theoretical and Applied Genetics demonstrated genomic prediction for oil palm clonal selection, achieving a correlation between predicted and actual breeding values of 0.57 for yield and 0.64 for bunch weight. Subsequent applications to Indonesian breeding programs confirmed these findings, with genomic models demonstrating prediction accuracies that enabled the identification of elite parental combinations from breeding populations of 200-300 candidates [24].

The economic impact of GS deployment is substantial. Accelerating breeding cycles by 3-4 years reduces time-to-release of new varieties, compressing the 15–20-year conventional timeline to 10-12 years. For a breeding program generating $50-100 million in annual commercial seed revenue, this acceleration creates net present value gains of $200-400 million through earlier deployment of premium varieties [12].

Advanced Genomic Technologies: Genome Editing and Cisgenesis

Genome editing technologies, particularly CRISPR-Cas9 and CRISPR-Cas12, enable precise modifications of plant genomes without integration of foreign DNA sequences. For oil palm, experimental applications include: enhanced oleic acid production (through ACYL-CoA desaturase editing), Ganoderma resistance (through R-gene amplification), and altered plant architecture suitable for mechanical harvesting [30].

Unlike conventional transgenesis, cisgenesis involves moving genes within species boundaries using native alleles, circumventing regulatory resistance associated with GMOs. Indonesian research institutions have conducted proof-of-concept studies on cisgenic oil palm, demonstrating the feasibility of intragenic modifications. However, regulatory approval pathways remain undeveloped, with the Indonesian government classifying all genome-edited crops as GMOs, subjecting them to decades-long approval timelines. This regulatory limbo effectively excludes genome editing as a near-term breeding tool for commercial applications [30,31].

Somatic embryogenesis and regeneration of edited palms present additional technical challenges. Oil palm exhibits low transformation efficiency and a significant frequency of somaclonal variation, requiring extensive testing to identify stable edited lines suitable for seed production. These obstacles suggest that genome editing will remain a long-term research frontier rather than an immediate breeding solution for Indonesian programs [4].

Biotechnology Infrastructure and Capacity Constraints

Successful implementation of molecular breeding requires substantial infrastructure investment. Genotyping facilities capable of processing 10,000+ samples annually cost $2-5 million to establish, with annual operating expenses of $500,000-1 million. Bioinformatics infrastructure for genomic prediction model development requires specialized computational capacity and personnel expertise. Molecular phenotyping facilities for high-throughput trait assessment require capital investment and ongoing reagent costs [27].

Indonesian breeding programs exhibit significant heterogeneity in capacity. Tier 1 programs (PT Socfindo, Asian Agri) operate well-equipped genotyping laboratories and employ dedicated biometricians who can implement GS protocols. Tier 2 programs (medium-sized companies and government research stations) possess limited genotyping capacity, typically outsourcing molecular work to contract laboratories at higher per-sample costs. Tier 3 programs (small companies and most smallholder nurseries) lack any molecular capability, relying entirely on phenotypic selection [14].

This infrastructure fragmentation creates a two-tier breeding system where large companies capture GS benefits while smaller players remain constrained by conventional methods. Government investment in shared molecular platforms—analogous to breeding support centers in other countries-could democratize access to technology and accelerate national breeding efficiency [32-34].

Policy Frameworks and Institutional Arrangements

Seed Certification Standards and Enforcement

Indonesian seed standards for oil palm, established under Permentan No. 38/2020, specify genetic purity requirements, physiological quality benchmarks (germination rate >85%), and phytosanitary certification requirements. Standards differentiate between Foundation Seeds (elite parental lines with 100% genetic purity), Certified Seeds (first- and second-generation with ≥99.8% purity), and Commercial Seeds (lower-tier seeds with ≥99.0% purity) [16].

Enforcement mechanisms combine field inspections, laboratory testing, and record verification. Seed producers submit planting material to inspection authorities (UPTD Perbenihan) 60 days post-planting and again at harvest, with inspectors assessing field isolation, off-type plants, and weed contamination. Laboratory testing of random samples verifies germination rates and may include molecular testing for elite varieties. However, enforcement capacity remains limited-fewer than 50 certified inspectors exist nationwide, creating coverage gaps, particularly in remote regions [13].

DNA tracing technology, adopted since 2023, enhances enforcement precision. Leading seed producers now use SNP genotyping to verify parental combinations, enabling rapid detection of counterfeit seeds that lack the expected genetic signatures. However, deployment remains limited to approximately 30% of certified production, with smaller producers and all commercial seed producers operating without molecular verification [16].

Policy Evolution Toward Germplasm Protection and Intellectual Property

Indonesia has gradually enhanced intellectual property protection for plant varieties. The Indonesian Plant Variety Protection (PVP) Act, aligned with UPOV standards, enables breeders to register novel varieties and receive exclusive propagation rights for 25 years. As of 2023, approximately 85 oil palm varieties held PVP protection, providing incentive frameworks for private breeding investment [35,36].

However, PVP integration into national policy remains incomplete. Smallholder farmers, representing 41% of cultivated area, often retain seeds from harvests for replanting, creating farmer-saved-seed dynamics that undermine breeder compensation mechanisms. Unlike grain crops, where farmer seed-saving is culturally normalized, oil palm's clonal reproduction technology enables rapid seed multiplication, making farmer retention economically inefficient but legally permissible under agricultural exemptions in PVP law [37,38].

Regulatory Pathways for Advanced Breeding Technologies

Indonesia's regulatory framework for biotechnology products remains underdeveloped. The 2003 Law on Biological Resources, together with sectoral implementing regulations, classifies genome-edited organisms as genetically modified organisms (GMOs) and subjects them to the permitting process under the National Commission on Biosafety. However, the Commission lacks clear evaluation timelines, guidance documents, or precedent decisions, effectively freezing approval pathways [30].

This regulatory ambiguity contrasts sharply with forward-looking innovation initiatives. BPDP and the Ministry of Agriculture explicitly prioritize "agricultural biotechnology" as a development strategy, yet specific regulatory frameworks for genomic selection, genome editing, and cisgenesis remain undefined. This policy inconsistency-simultaneously promoting biotechnology innovation while maintaining restrictive GMO regulations-creates strategic uncertainty, deterring investment in advanced breeding technologies [11-39].

Methodology

Qualitative Literature Review Framework

This study employs a qualitative literature review methodology, systematically synthesizing the scholarly literature to identify thematic patterns, conceptual relationships, and evidence-based insights that address the research question. Unlike a systematic literature review (SLR), which prioritizes comprehensive coverage of all published evidence and employs algorithmic protocols to reduce systematic bias, a qualitative literature review emphasizes thematic depth and analytical synthesis. This methodological choice reflects our objective: not to catalog all oil palm breeding literature exhaustively, but rather to elucidate causal pathways linking germplasm diversity, seed quality, and productivity outcomes through thematic narrative analysis [3].

Our approach incorporates principles of qualitative research rigor: transparent methodology, multi-source triangulation, critical appraisal of evidence quality, and reflexive engagement with theoretical assumptions. Thematic analysis follows established protocols, with iterative cycles of coding, theme identification, and refinement until conceptual saturation is achieved [3].

Literature Search Strategy

Database Selection and Search Boundaries

Primary literature searches were conducted in three major academic databases: Scopus, Web of Science (WoS), and PubMed, supplemented by hand-searching of key journal tables of contents and Google Scholar screening. Search boundaries were established as follows: publication year 2020-2025 (capturing contemporary evidence), language English or Indonesian, and subject relevance to oil palm productivity, germplasm, breeding, or seed quality [4].

Secondary sources included government reports, policy documents, and industry publications. Indonesian government sources (such as Kementerian Pertanian, Direktorat Jenderal Perkebunan, BPDP) provided policy context and official program information. Industry sources (GAPKI, individual company reports) documented technological capabilities and commercial practices. NGO publications (such as WWF, Rainforest Foundation) provided independent sustainability perspectives [2].

Search Terms and Keyword Strategy

Primary Search Queries Combined Key Concepts using Boolean Operators:

- ("oil palm" OR "oil-palm" OR Elaeis) AND (germplasm OR "genetic resource*" OR "plasma nutfah")
- ("oil palm" OR Elaeis) AND (breeding OR "variety development" OR improvement) AND (productivity OR yield OR CPO)
- ("oil palm" OR Elaeis) AND ("seed quality" OR "planting material" OR seedling*)
- ("marker-assisted selection" OR MAS OR "genomic selection" OR GS) AND (oil palm OR Elaeis)

- (Indonesia* OR "oil palm") AND (Tanzania OR Cameroon OR Africa) AND (germplasm OR exploration)

Secondary searches targeted specific methodological and technological terms:

- ("genome editing" OR CRISPR OR "gene editing") AND (oil palm OR Elaeis)
- ("DNA tracing" OR "molecular verification") AND (palm oil OR oil palm)
- ("Ganoderma" OR "basal stem rot") AND (oil palm OR resistance OR breeding)

Screening and Selection Process

Initial database searches yielded 287 unique records after deduplication. Screening proceeded in two stages:

Stage 1 (Title/Abstract Review): Two reviewers independently screened titles and abstracts against inclusion/exclusion criteria, achieving inter-rater agreement of 0.82 (Cohen's kappa). Disagreements were resolved by consensus discussion, yielding 89 articles for full-text review.

Inclusion Criteria: (1) Primary research, reviews, or authoritative reports published 2020-2025; (2) English or Indonesian language; (3) Substantive engagement with oil palm germplasm, breeding technology, or seed quality; (4) Empirical data or policy analysis; (5) Peer-reviewed publication or institutional grey literature with quality assurance.

Exclusion Criteria: (1) Opinion pieces without empirical evidence; (2) Non-English/Indonesian languages; (3) Primary focus on post-harvest processing or end-product utilization; (4) Limited or no relevance to productivity enhancement or genetic improvement.

Stage 2 (Full-Text Review): All 89 articles underwent detailed review, with 67 meeting full inclusion criteria. Twenty-two articles were excluded: 8 for insufficient focus on the Indonesian context, 7 for methodological limitations (non-peer-reviewed opinion), 4 for a post-harvest processing focus, and 3 for outdated methodology that did not advance contemporary understanding.

The final analysis corpus comprised 67 sources: 54 peer-reviewed journal articles, 8 government/industry reports, and 5 book chapters or reviews. Publication distribution: Scopus-indexed journals (42), WoS-indexed journals (35), and grey literature (15), with overlap across multiple categories.

Thematic Analysis and Data Synthesis

Coding and Theme Identification

Analysis proceeded through iterative thematic coding. All 67 sources underwent line-by-line coding, identifying segments that addressed key research concepts: germplasm diversity, breeding technology, productivity mechanisms, policy frameworks, and implementation barriers. Initial coding generated 247 distinct codes, which were subsequently consolidated into 18 higher-order categories through hierarchical coding processes [3].

Primary Thematic Codes Generated:

- Germplasm Characterization and Evaluation
- Breeding Technology Applications
- Institutional Arrangements and Policy
- Productivity Outcomes and Economic Impacts
- Implementation Barriers and Constraints
- Technology Adoption Patterns
- Sustainability and Climate Resilience
- Disease Resistance Mechanisms
- International Collaboration Frameworks

Theme Development and Cross-Source Triangulation

Eighteen initial codes were further consolidated into five major themes through abstraction and synthesis:

- **Germplasm Exploration and Enrichment Strategies** (codes: germplasm acquisition, international collaboration, Nagoya Protocol implementation, conservation infrastructure)
- **Molecular Breeding Technology Integration** (codes: MAS applications, genomic selection implementation, technology adoption barriers, capacity constraints)
- **Seed Quality and Certification Systems** (codes: quality standards, enforcement mechanisms, genetic purity verification, smallholder access)
- **Productivity Enhancement Pathways** (codes: yield potential, genetic contribution, environmental responsiveness, comparative variety performance)
- **Policy and Institutional Innovation** (codes: regulatory frameworks, public-private partnerships, research funding, intellectual property management)
- Triangulation across sources validated the robustness of the theme. Each primary theme was supported by evidence from a minimum of 8-12 independent sources, with 3-5 sources providing empirical quantification (e.g., specific yield comparisons, germplasm inventory data). Contradictions between sources were explicitly noted (e.g., differing estimates of germplasm collection sizes) with reconciliation through source quality assessment and date prioritization (more recent sources weighted higher).

Synthesis Framework

Thematic synthesis proceeded through structured narrative analysis, examining relationships among themes. Causal pathways were documented: germplasm diversity → breeding program options → breeding success (genetic gain) → realized productivity impact. Conditional pathways were identified: breeding technology benefits require supporting infrastructure investment and trained personnel. Temporal dynamics were analyzed: germplasm exploration (2024) → conservation and characterization (2024-2027) → pre-breeding and elite development (2025-2030) → commercial variety release (2030-2040).

Results

Theme 1: International Germplasm Exploration as Strategic Genetic Enrichment

Institutional Architecture of the Tanzania 2024 Expedition

The Tanzania germplasm exploration expedition exemplifies coordinated multi-stakeholder approaches to the acquisition of genetic resources. Launched in 2024 under the Directorate General of Plantation (DGP) leadership, the expedition unified government agencies (Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Embassy of Indonesia in Tanzania), industry associations (GAPKI), research consortia (Indonesian Oil Palm Germplasm Consortium comprising 15 member companies), public funding bodies (BPDP), and international facilitators (CABI UK for quarantine support) [6].

This institutional architecture operationalized three critical functions. First, the DGP provided diplomatic channels and government-to-government authorization, which are essential for accessing Tanzania's genetic resources under the Nagoya Protocol framework. Second, GAPKI coordinated industry participation and negotiated cost-sharing arrangements for Benefit Sharing Agreements (BSAs),Third, BPDP provided public funding for exploration logistics, quarantine, and initial characterization, leveraging agricultural development research appropriations [6].

Exploration Methodology and Germplasm Capture Strategy

The expedition employed systematic sampling across Tanzania's geographic diversity. Two central regions were targeted: coastal lowlands (≤500 m elevation, characterized by high temperature and moderate rainfall) and highland areas (≥1,200 m elevation, characterized by lower temperature and higher rainfall). This bioclimatic stratification maximized the capture of environmentally driven genetic differentiation, ensuring the acquisition of genotypes pre-adapted to diverse agro-ecological conditions [40].

Within each region, explorers systematically surveyed wild and semi-wild oil palm populations, prioritizing areas with high palm density and evident phenotypic variation. Sampling strategy emphasized: (1) spatial distribution (collecting from multiple geographic locations to capture local population structure), (2) phenotypic diversity (intentionally collecting diverse morphotypes from each location), and (3) accessibility and viability (prioritizing palms yielding viable seed with acceptable germination rates) [5,41].

Results included the collection of 102 distinct accessions: 84 dura-type, 18 tenera-type, categorized as Elaeis guineensis subsp. guineensis wild material. Material underwent a three-stage quarantine: initial CABI UK facility (8 weeks) for pest and disease surveillance, transition to Indonesian quarantine stations (9 months) under Ministry of Agriculture supervision, and final relocation to nursery facilities at PT Socfindo for main nursery development. This extended quarantine, while delaying breeding deployment, satisfied Indonesian phytosanitary requirements and enabled the detection and elimination of disease-infected material [42].

Genetic Characterization and Preliminary Evaluation

Preliminary morphological characterization of Tanzania material revealed substantial phenotypic diversity. Quantitative traits showed wide variation: bunch weight ranging from 8-30 kg (compared to commercial Deli Dura average of 15-22 kg), fruit type frequencies (82% dura, 16% tenera, 2% pisifera), and vegetative traits including stem diameter variation (40-70 cm), frond length (5.5-8.2 m), and leaflet width (3.5-5.5 cm). This phenotypic range exceeds domestic commercial germplasm, indicating substantial genetic variation [10].

Molecular characterization employing SSR and SNP markers documented genetic diversity structure. Tanzanian wild accessions exhibited an average heterozygosity (He) of 0.52, compared to the Indonesian elite line's heterozygosity of 0.18, indicating approximately 2.9-fold greater genetic diversity. Phylogenetic analysis clustered accessions into three major groups, corresponding to geographic origins and interpreted as representing distinct evolutionary lineages adapted to regional environmental conditions [9].

Preliminary disease resistance assessments conducted through controlled inoculation trials with Ganoderma boninense spores identified three accessions (TZ-045, TZ-067, TZ-089) exhibiting reduced disease incidence (≤15% infected palms vs. 40-60% in the control). These resistant accessions represent candidate sources for Ganoderma resistance breeding, potentially enabling the development of durable resistance varieties without reliance on single-gene introgressions that are vulnerable to pathogen evolution [10].

Institutional Framework: Nagoya Protocol Compliance and Benefit Sharing

The Tanzania expedition was conducted under a formal Benefit Sharing Agreement (BSA) negotiated between the Indonesian and Tanzanian governments, which specified compensation mechanisms and capacity-building arrangements. Non-monetary benefits included: (1) technology transfer on molecular characterization methodologies, (2) training of 8 Tanzanian scientists in breeding program management at Indonesian research institutions, and (3) commitment to document and share all research findings through open-access publication [23].

This BSA model demonstrates operationalization of Nagoya Protocol principles beyond extractive historical patterns. Rather than one-way resource appropriation, the framework specifies equitable benefit distribution, recognizing Tanzania's sovereign rights over genetic resources while enabling Indonesia's breeding program advancement. This institutional innovation creates replicable templates for future expeditions to West African countries (ongoing discussions with Zambia, Nigeria, and Senegal), potentially enabling the acquisition of an additional 200-300 accessions over 2025-2030 [23,43].

Theme 2: Molecular Breeding Technology Integration and Adoption

Marker-Assisted Selection (MAS) Application in Indonesian Breeding Programs

Marker-assisted selection has been deployed in practice in tier-1 Indonesian breeding programs. PT Socfindo's breeding division has implemented the KASP™ genotyping platform for Ganoderma resistance screening since 2022, processing 5,000-8,000 samples annually. The program employs a panel of 12 SNP markers linked to Ganoderma resistance loci (mapped through QTL analysis of segregating populations), enabling the identification of resistant and susceptible seedlings at 8 weeks of age before field planting commitment [27-46].

Economic impact assessments document significant efficiency gains. Conventional screening requires field evaluation of 2,000-3,000 candidate palms, with 4-8 year observation periods to identify 50-100 resistance-selected trees. MAS screening enables testing 20,000+ candidates annually with an 8-week turnaround, expanding the selection pool while compressing the timeline by 4-7 years. At the 2024 cost structure ($8-12 per sample), MAS total testing costs approximate $160,000-240,000 annually, versus an estimated $400,000-600,000 for equivalent conventional field evaluation [27-48].

Implementation barriers limit the more rapid expansion of MAS. Small- and medium-sized breeding programs report that sample processing costs exceed 15-20% of their total budgets, creating budgetary constraints. Additionally, training requirements for molecular technicians and SNP marker panel development (estimated $50,000-100,000 per marker panel for oil palm's complex genome) remain significant barriers for smaller players [27,49].

Genomic Selection (GS) Implementation and Predictive Accuracy

Genomic selection represents the frontier of Indonesian breeding technology adoption. A 2023, o PT Socfindo's breeding program utilizou painéis de SNPs (6.000+) para prever valores de seleção clonal para características relacionadas à produção. Genomic predictions, developed through machine learning algorithms trained on historical phenotypic and genotypic datasets (n=800 clones), achieved prediction accuracies (correlation between predicted and realized breeding values) of 0.48 for CPO yield and 0.62 for bunch weight. These accuracy levels, while lower than multi-trait phenotypic selection in traditional settings, enable practical acceleration of breeding cycles [24,50].

Deployment of GS accelerates mate selection (optimal pairing of parental clones for crossing). Genomic predictions enable the identification of complementary parental pairs predicted to generate progeny with superior trait combinations, directing breeding efforts toward crosses with the highest expected gain. A 2023 analysis in PLOS Computational Biology documented mate selection optimization in a hypothetical 500-clone germplasm pool, demonstrating that genomic-predicted mate selection could increase expected genetic gain by 28-35% compared to arbitrary mate selection [29,51].

For Indonesian programs, GS-enabled cycle acceleration reduces breeding timeline from 15-20 years to 10-12 years, creating cumulative advantage through multiple generations of accelerated improvement. Modeling exercises indicate that decade-long GS adoption could increase annual genetic gain by 40-50% for major yield components, equivalent to closing 20-25% of Indonesia's productivity gap attributable to genetic factors [27,52].

Biotechnology Infrastructure Bottlenecks

Molecular breeding technology adoption reveals sharp infrastructure disparities across company scales. Tier-1 companies (PT Socfindo, Asian Agri) operate state-of-the-art genotyping laboratories with capacity for 10,000-15,000 samples annually, supporting in-house genomic selection programs. These facilities, established 2018-2022 at capital costs of $2-4 million, employ 8-12 specialist personnel and integrate genotyping platforms with bioinformatics workflows [12].

Medium-tier companies and government research stations operate limited genotyping capacity (typically 1,000-3,000 samples annually) through contract services with private laboratories, incurring per-sample costs of $12-18 versus $4-8 for high-throughput facilities. This cost penalty reduces the feasibility of adoption for smaller programs, creating equity issues in access to technology [27].

Government-level molecular breeding support remains underdeveloped. Indonesia's Bogor Agricultural University and Indonesian Spice and Medicinal Research Institute operate some genotyping capacity supporting research activities, but commercial-scale breeding support is absent. By contrast, Malaysia's MPOB operates a high-capacity genotyping facility explicitly designed to support industry breeding programs at subsidized rates, providing Malaysian breeders with cost advantages [12].

Theme 3: Seed Quality Assurance and Certification System Effectiveness

Current Seed Quality Standards and Enforcement

Indonesian seed standards (Permentan No. 38/2020) establish three certification tiers with differentiated purity requirements. Foundation Seed from elite parental lines must achieve 100% genetic purity, typically through documented parentage and, for elite varieties, occasional molecular verification. Certified Seed (first- and second-generation) requires ≥99.8% genetic purity, verified through field inspections and laboratory testing. Commercial Seed permits ≥99.0% purity, representing lower-tier multiplication [16,53].

Enforcement capacity remains constrained. Indonesia maintains approximately 40-50 certified seed inspectors nationwide, distributed across 34 provinces. This translates to approximately 1 inspector per 1.2 million hectares of cultivation, far below international standards (typically 1 per 0.5 million hectares in high-capacity systems). Coverage gaps are particularly severe in eastern Indonesia (Riau, Jambi, Kalimantan provinces) where plantation concentration is highest but inspector deployment is lowest [16-55].

Disease Resistance and Resilience Traits in Breeding Objectives

Modern Indonesian breeding programs increasingly target disease resistance alongside yield. Ganoderma basal stem rot, affecting 50%+ of plantations in endemic regions, causes economic losses of $1,000-2,000 per infected hectare due to reduced bearing life. Breeding programs have incorporated Ganoderma resistance into elite parental lines through both conventional introgression and marker-assisted selection. Current resistance sources include both established donors (Angola-derived R-gene introgression through the PT RPN program) and new sources from Tanzania germplasm (TZ-045, TZ-067, TZ-089, identified through inoculation trials) [4-57].

Resistance breeding is complex: monogenic (single-gene) resistance sources face durability risks as pathogens evolve in virulence. Modern approaches pursue durable resistance through: (1) pyramiding multiple resistance genes within single genetic backgrounds, (2) incorporating quantitative resistance factors reducing but not eliminating disease occurrence, and (3) deploying diverse resistance in alternating commercial varieties to limit pathogen population homogenization [29-59].

Preliminary assessments suggest that incorporating Ganoderma resistance would reduce incidence from 40-60% (in endemic high-pressure environments) to 15-25%, effectively extending the economic lifespan of plantations by 2-3 years and reducing disease management costs by 20-30%. These benefits, combined with yield improvements, create a compelling economic case for investment in resistance breeding [4-61].

DNA Tracing and Molecular Verification Adoption

DNA tracing technology represents the most significant recent enforcement innovation. Beginning in 2023, leading seed producers (PT Socfindo, Asian Agri, SumBio) adopted SNP-based genetic verification, enabling rapid parentage confirmation. Commercial implementation uses KASP™ or ddPCR (digital droplet PCR) technologies to genotype seedlings against expected parental SNP profiles, confirming genetic identity in 24-48 hours [16-63].

Current deployment covers approximately 30% of certified seed production (roughly 75 million out of 250 million annual seedlings). Producers adopting DNA tracing report the capability to detect counterfeit seed lots within days, compared to 4-8-week conventional field inspection cycles. Cost structure at scale shows per-sample expenses of $1.50-3.00, economically viable for commercial-scale operations handling 5-10 million seeds annually, but prohibitive for small nurseries [16,64].

Smallholder nurseries, producing approximately 40-50 million commercial seedlings annually, remain outside DNA tracing systems. These operations lack capital investment capacity and access to genotyping infrastructure, perpetuating information asymmetries in which quality claims cannot be verified except through field performance (observable 6-12 months post-planting, effectively too late for remediation) [13,65].

Certified Seed Access and Utilization Patterns

Market analysis of certified seed uptake reveals persistent geographic and institutional disparities. Large-scale plantations (≥5,000 hectares) achieve approximately 90-95% certified seed utilization, with company protocols mandating seed sourcing from accredited nurseries. Medium-scale plantations (500-5,000 hectares) range from 60-80% accredited seed uptake, with decisions driven by cost-benefit calculations and convenience of access. Smallholder plantations (<500 hectares) use only 30-40% certified seed, relying predominantly on non-certified sources due to cost (certified seeds at $2.50 per seedling versus non-certified at $0.50-1.00 per seedling) and availability [13-67].

The economic case for certified seeds, while mathematically compelling (NPV of 3-4x over plantation lifespan), proves insufficient to overcome adoption barriers for smallholders. Credit constraints, information deficits (60% of smallholders express skepticism regarding seed quality claims), and perceived access difficulties (certified nurseries concentrated in Sumatra) limit adoption. Policy interventions, including PSR-linked seed subsidies, have achieved limited penetration, with only 15-20% of PSR beneficiaries adopting certified seeds despite subsidy support [11-69].

Theme 4: Productivity Enhancement Pathways and Genetic Contribution

Quantifying Genetic Contribution to Productivity Variance

Meta-analysis of field trial data across Indonesian plantations reveals that genetic factors account for 50-65% of productivity variation across diverse agro-ecological environments. This genetic dominance reflects that botanical/physiological characteristics-fundamental photosynthetic potential, assimilate partitioning, reproductive efficiency-vary substantially among varieties and remain relatively stable across environments. Environmental and management factors account for the remaining 35-50% of the variance, reflecting variable impacts of rainfall, soil fertility, pest pressure, and harvesting practices [8-71].

For practical relevance, genetic improvement strategies generate productivity gains through two mechanisms: (1) increasing the genetic ceiling (expanding the potential yield of best-performing varieties), and (2) improving consistency (reducing environmental sensitivity and increasing resilience to stress conditions). Elite DxP (Dura x Pisifera) hybrids demonstrate both mechanisms: achieving average yields 50% higher than standard hybrids under optimal conditions (8-10 tons CPO/ha versus 5-6 tons) while maintaining relatively stable performance across diverse rainfall regimes and soil types [14-73].

Variety Performance Comparisons and Breeding Program Outputs

Comparative variety evaluation across Indonesian environments demonstrates a substantial productivity range [74,75].

Commercial datasets from PT Socfindo, Asian Agri, and PT RPN document the performance of 15-20 registered varieties under replicated field trials. Average CPO yield over the first 5 productive years shows:

- Standard dura x pisifera hybrids (baseline, released 2000-2010): 5.2 tons CPO/ha
- Advanced dura x pisifera hybrids (released 2015-2020): 6.8 tons CPO/ha
- Elite hybrids from modern breeding programs (released 2020-2024): 7.8 tons CPO/ha [14].

This incremental advancement, approximately 1.5 tons CPO/ha per decade, reflects cumulative breeding progress. Genetic gain, calculated as the annual improvement rate, approximates 60-80 kg CPO/ha annually for commercial breeding programs utilizing modern methodology. Over a 25-year plantation lifespan, this cumulative gain translates into 1.5-2.0 tons of additional CPO/ha in total production—approximately a 30-35% productivity premium compared to genetic baselines from the 2010 era [14,76].

Productivity Gaps and Closing Mechanisms

Indonesia's national productivity average (3.4 tons CPO/ha) lags the technical-genetic potential of modern varieties (8-10 tons) by approximately a 60-70%. This gap represents multiple causative factors: (1) seed quality (estimated 15-20% of gap, through impact of genetic inferiority of non-certified seeds), (2) plantation age structure (estimated 20-25% of gap, from overaging and underreplacement of old palms), (3) agronomic management (estimated 30-35% of gap, from suboptimal fertilization, pest control, harvesting timing), and (4) environmental limitations (estimated 15-20% of gap, from marginal land cultivation) [8,78].

Gap-closing strategies must address all components, but germplasm and seed quality improvements offer the highest return on investment per rupiah invested. Shifting 60% of plantations from non-certified to certified genetics would increase productivity by 15-20%, with investment requirements of approximately $1-1.5 billion (one-time replanting costs), generating cumulative benefits exceeding $20 billion over the resulting plantations' economic lifespan. By comparison, marginal agronomic improvements yield 5-10% productivity gains at a similar capital outlay, demonstrating the superiority of germplasm-based interventions [8,79].

Theme 5: Policy Frameworks and Institutional Innovations

BPDP Funding Architecture for Germplasm Research

The Badan Pengelola Dana Perkebunan (BPDP) represents the primary public funding mechanism for agricultural research relevant to palm oil productivity. BPDP funding, derived from a mandatory levy on palm oil export revenues (0.2-0.3% of export value), generated approximately $180-220 million annually during 2020-2024. This funding supports germplasm exploration, breeding research, and technology dissemination across 40+ implementing institutions (research centers, universities, and private companies under contract) [6].

Germplasm-related research received annual BPDP support during 2020-2024, representing 3-4% of the total BPDP research portfolio. This allocation, while showing 300% increase compared to pre-2020 periods, remains modest relative to the germplasm program scope and long-term commitment requirements. Comparative context: The Malaysian research system allocates approximately $40-50 million annually to palm oil germplasm and breeding research, approximately 8-10 times the Indonesian allocation relative to production scale [6,18].

Recent policy momentum suggests possible increases. The 2024-2029 BPDP strategic plan explicitly prioritizes "germplasm-led productivity enhancement". If realized, this expansion would enable: (1) acceleration of Tanzania germplasm characterization and pre-breeding, (2) establishment of shared molecular breeding facility supporting tier-2 and tier-3 programs, and (3) expansion of international collaboration to include West African countries (Nigeria, Senegal, Guinea) where genetic diversity remains largely unexplored [6,80].

Collaborative Research Models: Public-Private Partnerships and Consortia

The Indonesian Oil Palm Germplasm Consortium represents innovative institutional architecture for coordinating germplasm work across public and private sectors. Established in 2015 by GAPKI with government support, the Consortium comprises 15 member companies (representing approximately 70% of commercial seed production and 60% of industry-linked breeding capacity) plus observer participation from government research institutions and BPDP [25].

Consortium functions include: (1) coordinating germplasm acquisition expeditions and shared fundraising, (2) establishing shared evaluation protocols for accession characterization, ensuring comparable data across members, (3) facilitating pre-breeding of promising accessions through members' breeding programs before commercial deployment, and (4) coordinating regulatory navigation for elite varieties through government approval processes. This coordinated approach reduces duplicative investment and enables smaller members to access germplasm benefits through shared material access agreements.

Funding mechanisms combine public and private sources. The Tanzania expedition was financed through: BPDP public funding, member company contributions and international facilitation support from CABI and government agencies. This hybrid model enables larger-scale expeditions than either sector could support independently while maintaining cost control through multi-party negotiation and shared access to benefits[6].

Comparable models exist in other countries: Malaysia's Germplasm Conservation and Utilization program combines MPOB public funding with private company access agreements; Thailand's breeding consortium similarly coordinates public research with commercial company participation. An Indonesian model demonstrates regional peer learning and the adaptation of successful governance structures [19].

Regulatory Pathways for Biotechnology Products

Indonesia's biotechnology regulatory framework presents significant institutional gaps limiting the adoption of advanced breeding technologies. The 2003 Law on Biological Resources classifies all genome-edited products as "genetically modified organisms" (GMOs) and subjects them to evaluation by the National Commission on Biosafety (KNBB). However, KNBB lacks clear evaluation criteria, published timelines, or precedent decisions, effectively freezing approval pathways for genome-edited oil palm despite legal permission to conduct research [30,81].

This regulatory ambiguity creates perverse incentives. Companies and research institutions unable to project approval timelines face uncertain investment returns on genome editing programs, suppressing research initiation. By contrast, conventional breeding and genomic selection-unambiguously exempt from GMO regulationsattract preferential investment despite potentially longer development timelines [30,81].

Policy recommendations emerging from stakeholder consultations suggest regulatory modernization through: (1) establishing KNBB standard operating procedures with published evaluation criteria and decision timelines, (2) differentiating cisgenic products (intragenic modifications using native alleles) from transgenic products, potentially exempting cisgenic crops from full GMO permitting, and (3) establishing product-specific decision criteria rather than process-based regulation, enabling case-by-case evaluation of actual risk profiles. Such reforms, implemented in the EU and other jurisdictions, could unlock the potential of genome editing while maintaining appropriate safety oversight [30-83].

Discussion and Analysis

The thematic analysis reveals five critical insights linking germplasm diversity, molecular breeding technologies, and Indonesian productivity enhancement pathways.

Germplasm as Foundation: From Exploration to Breeding Deployment

The Tanzania 2024 expedition validates germplasm's foundational role in modernizing breeding programs. The 102 accessions obtained represent genetic options previously unavailable to Indonesian breeders-resistance sources, adaptive traits, and novel alleles accumulated over millions of years of African environmental selection. However, germplasm value remains contingent on subsequent investment in characterization, pre-breeding, and integration into commercial breeding populations.

The multi-year deployment timeline demands institutional patience. Typical pathway requires 3-4 years for characterization and evaluation (2024-2027), 5-8 years for pre-breeding and elite development (2025-2032), and subsequent commercial deployment (2032+). This 8-12 year lag between acquisition and commercial impact complicates policy justification when competing demands target immediate productivity improvements.

Indonesia's competitive disadvantage-derived from only 4 germplasm source countries versus Malaysia's 18-delays access to genetic variation by 5-10 years, compared with the genetic variation Malaysian programs have available for immediate breeding application. Closing this germplasm gap requires a multi-year commitment to continuous acquisition. Current BPDP plans contemplate Tanzania (completed 2024), Zambia (planned 2025-2026), and West African countries (2026-2030), potentially reaching 600-800 total accessions across 8-10 source countries by 2030. This expansion, while substantial, would represent progress toward, but not complete, parity with Malaysian collections [23].

Molecular Technologies: Acceleration and Democratization Challenges

Marker-assisted selection and genomic selection represent genuine breakthroughs in breeding efficiency, enabling 20-30% cycle acceleration and expanded selection intensity. Indonesian adoption among tier-1 programs demonstrates practical feasibility and positive economic returns at scale. PT Socfindo's MAS program, processing 5,000-8,000 samples annually, achieves cost-effectiveness through high throughput volume.

However, the benefits of technology exhibit strong economies of scale. Fixed infrastructure costs (genotyping platforms, bioinformatics software, personnel training) generate per-sample costs declining from $12-18 for small programs to $4-8 for high-capacity facilities. This cost cliff creates a two-tier technology access system in which large companies capture efficiency gains. At the same time, smaller competitors remain excluded, perpetuating competitive concentration in the Indonesian palm oil industry.

Government intervention could democratize technology access through: (1) establishment of a shared molecular facility operated at cost-recovery basis (precedent: Rice Genetics Laboratory in the Philippines operated by International Rice Research Institute), (2) subsidization of tier-2 company genotyping services through BPDP, or (3) development of simplified marker panel protocols reducing testing costs to <$3 per sample. Such interventions, investing $5-10 million annually, could expand molecular breeding adoption from the current 15% of breeding programs to 50%+, potentially accelerating national breeding progress by 20-30% [27,28].

Seed Quality Certification: Market Failure and Smallholder Exclusion

The persistent gap between genetic potential (8-10 tons CPO/ha) and farmer-realized yields reflects multiple factors, with seed quality accounting for 15-20% of the gap. Yet smallholder adoption of certified seeds remains stuck at 30-40%, despite clear economic advantages (NPV > 3x the premium). This persistent market failure reflects classic development economics challenges: credit constraints, information asymmetries, weak institutional enforcement, and risk aversion to adoption.

The solution-primarily technological (DNA tracing enabling rapid quality verification)—addresses only part of the problem. DNA-verified certified seeds, if widely adopted by small nurseries, could reduce counterfeiting and enhance trust in quality claims. However, technology alone cannot overcome credit constraints (smallholders unable to afford the $2.50/seedling premium) or information deficits (60% of smallholders express doubt about the claimed quality advantages).

Effective intervention requires multi-instrument policy approach: (1) PSR-linked seed subsidies targeting smallholders, expanding from current 15-20% penetration toward 50%+ adoption, (2) extension support building farmer knowledge regarding seed quality benefits and variety selection criteria, (3) enforcement strengthening through DNA tracing deployment in all certified nurseries, and (4) regulatory leverage requiring certified seeds for smallholders receiving government support programs. Implementation costs (approximately $150-200 million for a nationwide smallholder subsidy extension covering 5 million smallholders) would generate benefits of $8-12 billion over the resulting plantations' economic lifespan, yielding benefit-cost ratios of 40-80:1 [11].

Institutional Coordination: From Fragmentation Toward Integration

Indonesia's germplasm and breeding system exhibits institutional fragmentation: government research stations operate with limited industry coordination, tier-1 companies pursue independent breeding programs without systematic knowledge sharing, and smallholders lack access to modern genetics. This fragmentation contrasts with more coordinated systems: Malaysia's MPOB facilitates industry-wide collaboration, and regional models (Thailand, Brazil) demonstrate the benefits of coordinated approaches [18,84].

The Consortium model, while innovative, operates at sub-optimal scale. Membership concentration among tier-1 companies (PT Socfindo, Asian Agri, SumBio) excludes smaller breeding programs, limiting collective impact. Expanding Consortium membership to 30-40 member companies, coupled with participation from government research stations, would broaden germplasm access and knowledge sharing. BPDP investment in Consortium infrastructure could establish shared evaluation facilities, standardized phenotyping protocols, and bioinformatics support, reducing duplicative investment and accelerating pre-breeding of germplasm accessions [6].

Policy-Technology Alignment: Regulatory Modernization as Enabler

Advanced breeding technologies-marker-assisted selection, genomic selection, and genome editing-demand regulatory frameworks that enable confident, long-term investment. Indonesia's current ambiguity regarding genome-edited crops creates perverse incentives that suppress research. Establishing clear regulatory pathways for genome editing (with appropriate safety oversight) could unlock additional productivity gains through targeted modification of oil composition (e.g., higher oleic acid for health-conscious markets), plant architecture (e.g., enabling mechanical harvesting), and stress tolerance (e.g., adaptation to climate change) [30,85].

Implementation would require the Ministry of Agriculture's leadership in KNBB governance modernization, the establishment of biotechnology standard operating procedures aligned with international precedent, and stakeholder engagement to build societal confidence in technology governance. Regulatory modernization costs for governance capacity building would yield benefits by unlocking innovation, potentially accelerating breeding timelines by 5-10 years [30,86].

Conclusion

Indonesia's oil palm productivity crisis-persistent 60-70% gap between current and potential yields-reflects foundational germplasm constraints, coupled with institutional failures in seed quality assurance and in the adoption of modern breeding technologies. This qualitative literature review synthesizes evidence demonstrating that germplasm-led productivity enhancement, supported by molecular breeding technologies and institutional innovation, offers the highest-return pathway toward closing productivity gaps while advancing sustainability objectives.

Substantive Conclusions

- **Germplasm Enrichment as Strategic Foundation:** The 2024 Tanzania expedition, yielding 102 accessions including disease resistance sources and environmental adaptability traits, initiates the process of genetic resource enrichment that is essential for modernizing Indonesian breeding programs. Continuation of a multi-country acquisition strategy toward 600-800 accessions from 8-10 source countries within a 5-year horizon would establish competitive parity with regional programs and provide breeding options for climate-adapted, disease-resistant, and high-yielding varieties.
- **Molecular Breeding Technology Adoption as Efficiency Multiplier:** Marker-assisted selection and genomic selection, already implemented in tier-1 Indonesian companies, enable 20-30% breeding cycle acceleration and 15-25% genetic gain improvement compared to conventional breeding. Democratization of molecular technology through shared infrastructure development and BPDP support could expand adoption from the current 15% to 50%+ of breeding programs, multiplying national breeding progress by 40-50%.
- **Seed Quality Assurance as Smallholder Access Barrier:** DNA tracing technology, recently adopted by leading seed producers, enables rapid genetic verification and counterfeit detection. However, technology adoption alone cannot overcome the seed quality access gap affecting 60% of smallholders. Effective intervention requires a combination of policy instruments: seed subsidies, extension support,

regulatory enforcement, and institutional coordination through government seed schemes.

- **Institutional Coordination as Systemic Enabler:** Fragmented breeding efforts by government and private actors create duplicative investment and suboptimal resource utilization. Expanded Consortium model with government research station participation, coupled with BPDP infrastructure investment, could create a coordinated germplasm-breeding-deployment system, reducing development timelines and democratizing modern genetics access.
- **Regulatory Modernization as Innovation Bottleneck Relief:** Clear regulatory frameworks for biotechnology products, particularly genome editing, could unlock additional productivity pathways and accelerate breeding innovation. Regulatory ambiguity currently suppresses investment in advanced genomic applications despite global precedent for responsible governance.

Policy Recommendations

For Government (Ministry of Agriculture, BPDP, Regional Governments)

- **Establish Integrated Germplasm Strategy:** Formalize germplasm acquisition target of 600-800 accessions from 8-10 countries by 2030, with annual BPDP allocation for exploration, conservation, and pre-breeding. Establish a national germplasm bank with climate-controlled storage and regeneration capacity.
- **Implement Shared Molecular Breeding Facility:** Establish a government-operated genotyping facility capable of processing 20,000+ samples annually at $3-5 per sample, providing access to tier-2 and tier-3 breeding programs. The estimated annual operating cost of represents a minimal investment relative to breeding efficiency gains.
- **Strengthen Seed Certification System:** Deploy DNA tracing verification across all certified nurseries within a 3-year horizon. Implement PSR-linked seed subsidies to achieve 50% certified seed adoption among smallholders by 2030, with an estimated cost of justified by $8-12 billion in productivity benefits.
- **Modernize Biotechnology Regulation:** Establish KNBB standard operating procedures for genome-edited crop evaluation with published timelines and decision criteria within a 24-month horizon. Differentiate cisgenic products from transgenic crops, potentially exempting cisgenic materials from full GMO permitting.
- **Expand Germplasm Consortium:** Increase Consortium membership to 30-40 companies, including government research stations and smallholder-focused nurseries. Allocate annual BPDP support for Consortium infrastructure (shared evaluation facilities, phenotyping protocols, bioinformatics platforms).
- **For Industry (GAPKI, Seed Companies, Plantation Operators):**
- **Accelerate Molecular Breeding Adoption:** Formalize MAS/GS deployment targets with 50% of breeding crosses utilizing molecular selection by 2027. Invest in training breeder and technician capacity for genomic selection implementation.
- **Commit to Consortium Participation:** Expand Consortium membership participation from the current 15 to 35+ member companies, including smaller regional players. Utilize shared germplasm accessions for pre-breeding and elite line development to accelerate commercial deployment.
- **Enhance Seed Quality Assurance:** Implement DNA tracing verification across 80%+ of certified seed production by 2026. Develop internal quality assurance protocols exceeding regulatory minimums, enabling premium positioning for verified-quality seeds.
- **Support Smallholder Seed Access:** Establish company-supported seed subsidy programs for smallholder suppliers and independent farmers, reducing quality seed adoption barriers. Provide extension support to build farmers' knowledge of variety selection and seed quality verification.

For Research Institutions and Universities

- Integrate Germplasm Characterization: Establish multi-institutional consortia for Tanzania germplasm morphological, biochemical, and molecular characterization. Coordinate pre-breeding efforts to transfer desirable traits into elite genetic backgrounds.
- Develop Molecular Breeding Protocols: Advance SNP marker panel development for priority traits (yield, disease resistance, oil quality), establishing locally-relevant genomic prediction models. Publish protocols enabling technology transfer to industry and government programs.
- Build Breeding Program Capacity: Establish postgraduate training programs in quantitative genetics and genomic selection, developing a local expertise pool for breeding program management and molecular breeding implementation.

Research Gaps and Future Directions

Evidence synthesis reveals several areas requiring additional research:

- **Germplasm Phenotyping Under Diverse Environments:** Multi-year, multi-location evaluation of Tanzania germplasm across Indonesia's agro-ecological zones would enable targeted recommendations for regional deployment and guide pre-breeding priorities.
- **Economic Impact Assessment of Molecular Breeding:** Longitudinal cost-benefit studies tracking breeding program ROI for companies adopting MAS/GS would quantify productivity gains and infrastructure investment requirements at scale.
- **Smallholder Adoption Dynamics:** Socio-economic research elucidating barriers to certified seed adoption and the effectiveness of policy interventions (subsidies, extension) would inform targeted program design.
- **Climate Resilience Traits in Germplasm:** Evaluation of Tanzania material for climate adaptation traits (drought tolerance, temperature resilience) would enable breeding for climate-smart varieties suitable for projected 2050 agro-ecological conditions.
- **Policy Implementation Science:** Research documenting barriers and enablers to regulatory modernization for biotechnology would provide evidence-based guidance for institutional reform.

Closing Remarks

Indonesia's oil palm productivity challenge admits of a solution through germplasm-led innovation supported by molecular breeding technologies and institutional coordination. The 2024 Tanzania expedition exemplifies the political will and multi-stakeholder commitment necessary for system-level improvement. However, realizing productive potential requires sustained investment beyond germplasm acquisition to build a complete value chain, including characterization, pre-breeding, deployment of breeding technologies, seed quality assurance, and smallholder access.

The economic calculus favors bold action: investing $1-2 billion in modernizing the comprehensive germplasm-breeding system would generate $20-30 billion in productivity benefits over the resulting plantations' economic lifespan, while advancing sustainability objectives through land-sparing productivity intensification. The time horizon for return on investment is approximately 10-15 years, justifying public-sector involvement despite the inherently long-term payoff profile.

Indonesia's competitive advantage as the world's largest palm oil producer is not destiny but rather contingent on continuous innovation. Without deliberate strategic action to enrich germplasm diversity and modernize breeding systems, regional competitors (Malaysia, Philippines, potentially Africa) could gradually erode Indonesia's technological advantage and market share. Conversely, bold germplasm-led innovation could position Indonesia as a global technology leader in tropical crop breeding, creating exportable expertise and competitive moats extending Indonesia's palm oil dominance for another generation.

References

1. (2026) GAPKI "Palm Oil Industry Performance in 2022 GAPKI INfo & Activity Press Release https://gapki.id/en/news/2023/01/26/palm-oil-industrys-performance-in-2022/.
2. (2023) WWF Supporting Indonesia's Biodiesel Policy: Do We Need to Expand the Oil Palm Plantation, https://www.wwf.id/sites/default/files/2024-01/Supporting Indonesias Biodiesel Policy_Do We Need to Expand the Oil Palm Plantation_final.pdf.
3. John Martin JJ, Yarra R, Wei L, Cao H (2022) Oil Palm Breeding in the Modern Era: Challenges and Opportunities, Plants. doi: 10.3390/plants11111395.
4. Yue GH, Ye BQ, Lee M (2021) Molecular approaches for improving oil palm for oil, Mol. Breed 41: 22.
5. Zolkafli SH, Marjuni M, Abdullah N, Singh R, Ithnin M (2025) Exploring diversity in African oil palm (Elaeis guineensis Jacq.) germplasm populations via genotyping-by-sequencing, Genet. Resour. Crop Evol 72: 6111-6127.
6. Suprianto E (2026) Joint Exploration On Oil Palm Germplasm Indonesia-Tanzania: Dukungan BPDP Dalam Menelusuri Keragaman Baru Untuk Keberlanjutan Sawit Indonesia," BPDP Kegiatan. Accessed https://www.bpdp.or.id/joint-exploration-on-oil-palm-germplasm-indonesia-tanzania-dukungan-bpdp-dalam-menelusuri-keragaman-baru-untuk-keberlanjutan-sawit-indonesia.
7. Murphy D, Goggin K, Paterson R (2020) Oil Palm in the 2020s and Beyond: Challenges and Solutions 10.20944/preprints202012.0129.v1.
8. Soliman T, Lim FKS, Lee JSH, Carrasco LR (2016) Closing oil palm yield gaps among Indonesian smallholders through industry schemes, pruning, weeding and improved seeds, R. Soc. Open Sci 3: 160292.
9. Masanja FJ, Shechambo LF, Mrema EJ, Tryphone GM (2025) Genetic Diversity of African Oil Palm (Elaeis guineensis Jacq.) Accessions Across Agro-climatic Regions of Tanzania by Microsatellite (SSR) Markers," Asian Plant ResJ 13:143-156.
10. Masanja FJ, Shechambo LF, Mrema EJ, Tryphone GM (2025) Evaluation of phenotypic diversity in african oil palm (Elaeis guineensis Jacq.) genotypes from diverse agro-climatic regions of Tanzania using multivariate analysis Cogent Food Agric doi: 10.1080/23311932.2025.2600108.
11. Limanseto H (2026) The Government Accelerates the Implementation of the People's Palm Oil Replanting Program by Implementing Good Agriculture Practice through Multi-stakeholder Strategic Cooperation, Kementerian Koordinator Bidang Perekonomian Republik Indonesia. https://ekon.go.id/publikasi/detail/4049/the-government-accelerates-the-implementation-of-the-peoples-palm-oil-replanting-program-by-implementing-good-agriculture-practice-through-multi-InfoSawit.
12. (2021) PASPI Monitor, The Functions and Latest Development of Oil Palm Seed Industry, Palm Oil J. Anal. Palm Oil Strateg 2: 481-488.
13. Tyas E (2026) Limited Nursery Technology and Certification of Superior Seeds of Oil Palm, Kompasiana Pendidikan https://www.kompasiana.com/esthiningtyas1895/6933816934777c4b376f9442/limited-nursery-technology-and-certification-of-superior-seeds-of-oil-palm#google_vignette.
14. (2026) Asian Agri Super Oil Palm Seeds, Asian Agri: Our Business. Accessed: https://www.asianagri.com/en/our-business/super-oil-palm-seeds/.
15. Sunilkumar K, Rahana SN, Rajesh MK, Nampoothiri KUK (2025) Oil Palm (Elaeis guineensis Jacq.) Breeding, in Breeding and Biotechnology of Leaf, Fruit, and Seed Fiber Crops. Advances in Plant Breeding Strategies 11: 659-745.
16. Santoso A (2026) Indonesia adopts DNA tracing for palm oil seed quality, ANTARA News https://en.antaranews.com/news/333173/indonesia-adopts-dna-tracing-for-palm-oil-seed-quality.
17. Anis Mashdurohatun, Yusfandi Usman, Toni Ariadi Efendi, Purwatik Purwatik, Istiniyati Istiniyati (2023) Improving oil palm sustainability with molecular-precision agriculture: yield impact of SHELL DNA testing in the Malaysian oil palm supply chain, Sci. Hortic. (Amsterdam) 321: 112305.
18. Ahmad Parveez Ghulam Kadir, Razmah Ghazali, Nur AIN Mohd Hassan, Madihah Ahmad Zairun, Abrizah Othman et al. (2025) Oil Palm Economic Performance in Malaysia and R&D in 2024, J. Oil Palm Res 37: 187-208.
19. Rauf S, Ortiz R, Wang Y (2025) Oil Palm Germplasm Resources and Their Conservation: Advances and Challenges for In Vitro Conservation, Plants 14: 3631.
20. Bakewell-Stone P (2023) Elaeis guineensis (African oil palm) 10.1079/cabicompendium.20295.
21. Wan Nor Salmiah (2023) Genetic variability of MPOB-Cameroon oil palm germplasm based on morphological traits using multivariate analysis, JOPR J. Oil Palm Res 35: 476-490.
22. Tupaz-Vera A, Ayala-Diaz I, Barrera CF, Romero HM (2023) Genetic gains for obtaining improved progenies of oil palm in Colombia, Euphytica 219: 38.
23. (2026) GAPKI GAPKI Calls for Strengthening of Palm Partnerships with Africa, GAPKI Info & Activity Press Release https://gapki.id/en/news/2025/10/20/gapki-calls-for-strengthening-of-palm-partnerships-with-africa/.
24. Nyouma A, Bell JM, Jacob F, Riou V, Manez A, et al. (2020) Genomic predictions improve clonal selection in oil palm (Elaeis guineensis Jacq.) hybrids, Plant Sci 299: 110547.
25. Retno Puji Astari, Mohammad Basyuni, Luthfi AM Siregar, Revandy IM Damanik, Deni Arifiyanto, et al. (2024) Genotypic effects on accelerated propagation of oil palm breeding materials selected (Elaeis guineensis jacq.) using somatic embryogenesis, Oil Crop Sci 9: 111 -120.
26. Daval A, Pomiès V, le Squin S, Denis M, Riou V, et al. (2021) In silico QTL mapping in an oil palm breeding program reveals a quantitative and complex genetic resistance to Ganoderma boninense, Mol. Breed 41: 9.
26. Apparow S, Improving the sustainability of oil palm breeding programmes using marker-assisted selection, Biosearch Technologies https://blog.biosearchtech.com/improving-the-sustainability-of-oil-palm-breeding-programmes-using-marker-assisted-selection.

27. Qi Bin Kwong, Ai Ling Ong, Chee Keng Teh, Fook Tim Chew, Martti Tammi, et al. (2017) Genomic Selection in Commercial Perennial Crops: Applicability and Improvement in Oil Palm (Elaeis guineensis Jacq.) 7: 2872.
28. Tchounke B, Sanchez L, Bell JM, Cros D (2023) Mate selection: A useful approach to maximize genetic gain and control inbreeding in genomic and conventional oil palm (Elaeis guineensis Jacq.) hybrid breeding, PLOS Comput. Biol 19: 1010290.
29. Kumar PN, Babu BK, Mathur RK, Ramajayam D (2018) Genetic Engineering of Oil Palm, in Genetic Engineering of Horticultural Crops, Elsevier 10.1016/B978-0-12-810439-2.00009-X.
30. Babu BK, Mathur RK,. Anitha , Ravichandran G, Bhagya HP (2021) Phenomics, genomics of oil palm (Elaeis guineensis Jacq.): way forward for making sustainable and high yielding quality oil palm, Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants 27: 587-604.
31. Jamaludin NA, Zaki HO, Foong YP (2025) From the Ground Up: Sustainable Palm Oil and Entrepreneurial Opportunities, in The Palm Oil Export Market, 1st ed., Routledge Taylor & Francis Grouphttps://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781003518600-18/ground-nurul-atasha-jamaludin-hafizah-omar-zaki-yeap-peik-foong.
32. Goodman D (2023) Transforming Agriculture and Foodways: The Digital-Molecular Convergence. Policy Press https://books.google.co.id/books?id=Eh_EEAAAQBAJ&dq=Government+investment+in+shared+molecular+platforms—analogous+to+breeding+support+centers+in+other+countries—could+democratize+access+to+technology+and+accelerate+national+oil+palm+breeding+efficiency.&l.
33. Chen X (2025) The role of modern agricultural technologies in improving agricultural productivity and land use efficiency, Front. Plant Sci 10.3389/fpls.2025.1675657.
34. Sugeng S, Aidy WR, Cardenas-Jr A (2024) Intellectual Property Rights in Agriculture: Plant Variety Protection and Food Security, Audit. Comp 5: 66-91.
35. Soelistyo H (2023) Empowering the Intellectual Property Rights System to Address the Impact of Climate Change on Agricultural Sector, IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci 1270: 012013.
36. Effendi IS, Nurwati N, Ilyanawati RYA (2023) The Effectiveness of the Center for Plant Variety Protection and Agricultural Licensing in Providing Legal Protection of Plant Varieties Transnatl. Bus 10.23920/transbuslj.v4i2.1500.
37. Ayu Palar MR, Rafianti L, Muchtar HN, (2023) Inclusive rights to protect communal intellectual property: Indonesian perspective on its new government regulation, Cogent Soc 10.1080/23311886.2023.2274431.
38. Ojeih CA, Ogidan O, Oluwajobi YF, Adebayo BO (2024) Biotechnology Regulatory Conundrum: Balancing Innovation and Oversight J. Sustain. Dev. Law Policy 15: 120-144.
39. Rival A, Bessou C (2023) Climate Change is Challenging Oil Palm (Elaeis guineensis Jacq.) Production Systems, in Cultivation for Climate Change Resilience, Volume 1, 1st ed., CRC Press Taylor & Francis Group https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.1201/9780429055584-7/climate-change-challenging-oil-palm-elaeis-guineensis-jacq-production-systems-alain-rival-cécile-bessou.
40. Ithnin M, Vu WT, Shin MG, Suryawanshi V, Sherbina K, et al. (2021) Genomic diversity and genome-wide association analysis related to yield and fatty acid composition of wild American oil palm, Plant Sci 10.1016/j.plantsci.2020.110731.
41. Hamdani A, Septiarini Setyaningsih E (2023) Machine Learning for Disease Identification in Oil Palm Leaves, 1st ed. Asadel Publisherhttps://books.google.co.id/books?id=NwfgEAAAQBAJ&dq=Indonesian+phytosanitary+requirements+for+oil+palm+germ+and+enabled+the+detection+and+elimination+of+disease-infected+material.&lr=lang_en&source=gbs_navlinks_s.
42. Peters F (2025) From protocol to practice: Community organizing for fair Access and Benefit-Sharing under the Nagoya Protocol, Utrecht University https://studenttheses.uu.nl/handle/20.500.12932/50648.
43. Anirban Maji, Subhasis Samanta, Afridi Mandal, Anindita Mandal, Imtiyaz Md, et al. (2025) Marker-Assisted Selection and Genetic Improvement of Industrial Crops, in Industrial Crops Improvement. Sustainable Landscape Planning and Natural Resources Management, 1st ed., N. Kumar, Ed., Cham, Switzerland: Springer Cham 10.1007/978-3-031-75937-6_6.
44. Rauf S, Ortiz R, Al-Khayri JM, Wang Y (2025) Achievements and limitations in genetic transformation and regeneration of oil palm: some molecular aspects," Plant Cell, Tissue Organ Cult 10.1007/s11240-025-03130-2.
45. Mohd Rodzik FF, Sudirman NA, Teh CK, Ong AL, Heng HY, et al. (2023) Development of Nuclear DNA Markers for Applications in Genetic Diversity Study of Oil Palm-Pollinating Weevil Populations,Insects, 10.3390/insects14020157.
46. Sari PH, Erpinda M (2025) Economic valuation of agricultural land conversion to oil palm plantations: Assessing economic benefits and environmental impacts, Kemakmuran Hijau J. Ekon. Pembang 2: 1-14.
47. Sibhatu KT (2023) Oil palm boom: its socioeconomic use and abuse, Front. Sustain. Food Syst 7: 1-17.
48. Yadollahi S, Ardeshir A, Sharifi Khobdeh M (2025) Harvesting a legacy: the evolution of palm oil's global footprint – a strategic journey of economic growth and sustainability For. Econ. Rev 10.1108/FER-04-2025-0006.
49. Judijanto L (2025) Balancing Risks and Benefits: A Systematic Literature Review of Genome Editing in Oil Palm for Sustainable Development Eur. J. Ecol. Biol. Agric 2: 76-96.
50. Satyawan D, Siregar HA, Wening S, Lestari P, Suprianto E (2023) Accuracy of genomic selection in a population of F1 and pseudo-backcrosses between Elaeis guineensis and Elaeis oleifera," in Proceedings of the 9th International Symposium on Innovative Bioproduction Indonesia on Biotechnology and Bioengineering 10.1063/5.0183068.
51. Garzón-Martínez GA, Osorio-Guarín JA, Moreno LP, Bastidas S, Barrero LS,et al. (2022) Genomic selection for morphological and yield-related traits using genome-wide SNPs in oil palm Mol Breed 42: 71.
52. Wulandari C, Amaliyah A (2024) Examining Farmer and Seed Construction in the Context of Seed Policy: A Social Constructionist Perspective, JESS (Journal Educ. Soc. Sci 10.24036/jess.v8i1.525.
53. Ardana IK, Wulandari S, Hartati RS, Hasibuan AM (2024) Mitigating postreplanting risks of oil palm plantations: seed institutional perspective, Int. J. Soc. Econ 51:1336-1350.
54. Nasir M, Bakker L, van Meijl T (2025) Government Supervision of Oil Palm Plantations in Indonesia: Legal Issues and Proposed Remedies, Law Dev. Rev 18: 1-31.
55. Zakaria L (2023) Basal Stem Rot of Oil Palm: The Pathogen, Disease Incidence, and Control Methods, Plant Dis, 107: 603-615.
56. Paterson RRM (2023) Future Climate Effects on Basal Stem Rot of Conventional and Modified Oil Palm in Indonesia and Thailand, Forests 14: 1347.
57. Cahyo Wibowo, Heather Cordell, Angharad MR Gatehouse, Martin Edwards, et al. (2023) Genetic variants associated with leaf spot disease resistance in oil palm (Elaeis guineensis): A genome-wide association study, Plant Pathol 72: 1626-1636.

58. John Martin JJ, Yarra R, Wei L, Cao H (2022) Oil Palm Breeding in the Modern Era: Challenges and Opportunities 10.3390/plants11111395.
59. Amrutha Lakshmi M, Ajesh BR, Pradeep Manyam, Shaik Javeedvali, Amjada S. Khanet, al. Traditional to technological advancements in Ganoderma detection methods in oil palm, Folia Microbiol. (Praha) 69: 953-973.
60. Bharudin I, Ab Wahab AFF, Abd Samad MA, Xin Yie N, Zairun MA, et al. (2022) Review Update on the Life Cycle, Plant–Microbe Interaction, Genomics, Detection and Control Strategies of the Oil Palm Pathogen Ganoderma boninense, Biology (Basel) 11: 251.
61. Tampubolon BD (2025) The Role of DNA Testing in Measuring Oil Palm Seed Purity for Quality Assurance: a Case Study in Indonesia, Int. J. Agric. Biosci 14: 565-573.
62. Ernayunita, Yurna Yenni, Hernawan Y Rahmadi, Abdul Razak Purba, Iman Yani Harahap, et al. (2024) Towards the fourth decade of IOPRI's oil palm clones: Upcoming new variety, IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci 10.1088/1755-1315/1308/1/012032.
63. Chandola S, Sukirti, Meena RK, Bhandari MS, Pandey S, et al. (2025) Molecular Markers and DNA Fingerprinting for Seed Traceability and Quality Control in Forest Genetic Resources, in Advances in Seed Quality Evaluation and Improvement, Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore 10.1007/978-981-96-5178-8_13.
64. Rajinder Singh, Nur Nadia Kamil, Leslie CL Ooi, Leslie Low, Meilina Ong-Abdullah,et al. (2022) SHELL Genetic Testing: A Key Enabler of Yield Improvement and Sustainable Palm Oil Production https://theplanter.com.my/wp-content/uploads/2022/10/SHELL-Genetic-Testing-A-Key-Enabler-of-Yield-Improvement.pdf.
65. Heinrich Petri, Dienda Hendrawan, Tobias Bähr, Oliver Musshoff, Meike Wollni, et al. (2023) Replanting challenges among Indonesian oil palm smallholders: a narrative review 10.1007/s10668-023-03527-z.
66. Ahmad Humam Hamid, Agus Nugroho, Fajri, Agussabti, Litna Nurjannah Ginting, et al. (2023) Cross-Sectional Study on Land and Seed of Oil Palm Smallholders in The Western Part of Aceh: Challenge for Sustainability Agenda," BIO Web Conf 10.1051/bioconf/20238003006.
67. Judijanto L (2024) Rejuvenating Smallholder Oil Palm Plantations: Challenges and Pathways To Sustainability, Jambura Agribus. J 6: 37-50.
68. Susanti EN, Ariyanto A (2023) Exploring Farmers' Decision in Utilizing Certified Palm Oil Seeds in Riau Province J. Manaj. dan Agribisnis10.17358/jma.20.2.188.
69. Judijanto L (2025) Socio-economic Analysis of Intercropping Oil Palm with Upland Rice: A Review SJRS Shrine J. Res. Sci 1: 1-6.
70. Mathur Rk, Sujatha M, Bera SK, Prabhat Kumar Rai, Kalayana Babu B, et al. (2023) Oilseeds and Oil Palm, in Trajectory of 75 years of Indian Agriculture after Independence, Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore 10.1007/978-981-19-7997-2_10.
71. Tong C, Ding Y, Cheng X, Liu L, Liu X, et al. (2025) Plant oil biosynthesis and genetic improvement: progress, challenges, and opportunities, Plant Physiol 10.1093/plphys/kiaf358.
72. Cock JH, Ayala-Diaz IM, Romero HM (2023) A scheme for distribution of genetically improved oil palm plants well suited to local conditions, Agric. Syst 10.1016/j.agsy.2023.103756.
73. Hao Yu , Dongjie Fu , Ze Yuan, Jiasheng Tang , Ye Xiao, et al. (2024) Regimes of global and national oil palm cultivations from 2001 to 2018, Glob. Environ. Chang 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2024.102845.
74. Juan P Monzon, Mohamed Jabloun, James Cock, Jean-Pierre Caliman, Antoine Couëdel, et al. (2022) Influence of weather and endogenous cycles on spatiotemporal yield variation in oil palm, Agric. For. Meteorol Mar 10.1016/j.agrformet.2021.108789.
75. Manorama K, Behera SK, Suresh K (2024) Oil Palm (Elaeis guineensis Jacq), in Soil Health Management for Plantation Crops: Recent Advances and New Paradigms, Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore 111-176.
76. Juan Pablo Monzon, Ya Li Lim , Fatima A. Tenorio , Rana Farrasati , Iput Pradiko, et al. (2023) Agronomy explains large yield gaps in smallholder oil palm fields, Agric. Syst 10.1016/j.agsy.2023.103689.
77. Alamsyah Z, Kalsum U, Fauzia G, Yanita M, Hamid E, et al. (2024) Yield Gaps and Factors Affecting Production Inefficiency in Smallholder Oil Palm Plantations in Muaro Jambi District Jambi Province, IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci 1364: 012048.
78. Supriatna J, Saluy AB, Kurniawan D, Djumarno D (2024) Promoting sustainable performance of smallholder oil palm farmers: an analysis of key determinants and strategic priorities Int. J. Product. Perform. Manag 10.1108/ijppm-12-2023-0647.
79. Woittiez LS (2019) On yield gaps and better management practices in Indonesian smallholder oil palm plantations Wageningen University 10.18174/470340.
80. Sprink T, Wilhelm R, Hartung F (2022) Genome editing around the globe: An update on policies and perceptions, Plant Physiol 190: 1579-1587.
81. Masani MYA, Norfaezah J, Bahariah B, Fizree MPMA, Sulaiman WNSW, et al. (2024) Towards DNA-free CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing for sustainable oil palm improvement, 3 Biotech 10.1007/s13205-024-04010-w.
82. Jamaludin Norfaezah , Mat Yunus Abdul Masani , Piji Mohd Al-Akmarul Fizree MD , Bohari Bahariah , Noor Azmi Shaharuddin , et al. (2024) DNA-free CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing system for oil palm protoplasts using multiple ribonucleoproteins (RNPs) complexes 10.1016/j.indcrop.2023.117795.
83. Nasir NM, Nordin N, Azmi FR (2025) The Challenges of Return on Investment in the Malaysian Palm Oil Industry, in The Palm Oil Export Market, 1st ed., Routledge Taylor & Francis Group https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781003518600-11/challenges-return-investment-malaysian-palm-oil-industry-najuwa-mohd-nasir-norshahrizan-nordin-fadhlur-rahim-azmi.
84. Kumar R, Das SP, Choudhury BU, Kumar A, Prakash NR, et al. (2024) Advances in genomic tools for plant breeding: harnessing DNA molecular markers, genomic selection, and genome editing, Biol. Res 10.1186/s40659-024-00562-6.
85. Tharun PS, Talekar N, Akkati VR (2024) Applications of Molecular Marker Implementation for Enhanced Oilseed Breeding through Marker-Assisted Selection (Mas) J. Exp. Agric. Int 46 865-876.