

Entangled Photon Electron Following Thermo Field Dynamics Method

Abidi A^{1,2}¹Tunis El Manar University, Faculty of Sciences of Tunis, Department of Physics, Nanostructured Materials, Quantum and Nonlinear Optics Laboratory, Tunisia²Higher Institute of Technological Studies of Jendouba, Tunisia**ABSTRACT**

Thermo-field dynamics (TFD) is a method linking quantum information to statistical thermodynamics, focus directly on the state because the states in the tilde space in TFD play a role of tracer of the initial states by defining an extended density matrix described on the double Hilbert space. The study of quantum entanglement is more complicated, so the TFD method makes it easier, this is the subject of this paper. We use Thermo-field Dynamics method to study entanglement entropies and transition probability of a Photon-electron system between equilibrium with heat bath, and non-equilibrium, based on the dissipative von Neumann equation. Numerically, at the equilibrium and by controlling the system through temperature, we show that entanglement entropies verify the Nernst's theorem. By increasing the quantization of a single-mode electromagnetic field, entanglement and transition probability decrease. At non-equilibrium, we show that entanglement entropies obey the second law of thermodynamics, and the emission of a photon decrease the probability of detecting the electron at a quantum level.

***Corresponding author**

Abidi A, Tunis El Manar University, Faculty of Sciences of Tunis, Department of Physics, Nanostructured Materials, Quantum and Nonlinear Optics Laboratory, Higher Institute of Technological Studies of Jendouba, Tunisia.

Received: November 11, 2025; **Accepted:** November 15, 2025; **Published:** November 30, 2025**Keywords:** Photon Electron System, Thermo Field Dynamics Method, Entanglement, Transition Probability**Introduction**

Entanglement is an exotic device between correlated particles that has no classical significance. Similar to the development of quantum information science, entanglement has acquired new importance in quantum communication: cryptography, quantum coding and teleportation, quantum computing etc.... One of the most important entangled quantum systems is the photon [1-4]. Quantum entanglement of photons could pave the way for insights into quantum optics and other areas of physics such as modern quantum technologies: quantum metrology, quantum information, simulate quantum transport, linear optical quantum computing (LOQC) etc. [5-10]. Entangled photons are generated through the interaction between an electromagnetic field and matter. The performance of generating entangled photons depends on several factors. The investigation of the interaction between photons and electrons is therefore an important part of modern physics specifying for example research on plasmons, it can be managed through the interaction of electrons and photons, it is possible also to use electrons to change the quantum statistics of photons, and to transfer the quantum statistics of photons to electrons [11-13].

Eigenenergy of Entangled Photon Electron System

The stationary Hamiltonian of the photon-electron system can be read as [14]

$$H = \frac{1}{2M_{eff}} P_{eff}^2 + \frac{1}{2M} P_z^2 + \hbar\Omega \left(A_0^+ A_0 + \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad (2.1)$$

In expression (2.1), P_{eff} , P_z are the transverse components of the momentum of the electron. $M_{eff} = \frac{1 + \frac{\omega_p^2}{2\omega^2}}{1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{2\omega^2}}$ is the transverse mass, M is the mass of the electron and $\Omega = \omega \left(1 + \frac{\omega_p^2}{2\omega^2} \right)$ is the frequency, where ω is the frequency of the mode, ω_p is the frequency of the plasma for an electron density $\frac{1}{L^3}$ and is given as $\omega_p^2 = \frac{4\pi e^2}{ML^3}$. We read L^3 is the quantization volume.

Their corresponding eigenenergy is

$$E_{\vec{k}, n_0} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2M_{eff}} k_{eff}^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2M} k_z^2 + \hbar\Omega \left(n_0 + \frac{1}{2} \right),$$

k_{eff} , k_z are the wave vector k components correspond to the projections on the y and z axes.

Expression (2.1) can be rewritten in the Fock space, in the base $|n_0; k_i\rangle$ by

$$H = \sum_{n_0=0}^{+\infty} \int d^2k_i \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2M_{eff}} k_{eff}^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2M} k_z^2 + \hbar\Omega \left(n_0 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] |n_0; k_i\rangle \langle n_0; k_i|, \quad (2.3)$$

To examine the statistical properties of the photon-electron system, we compute the corresponding partition function

$$Z = Tr_{1,2} e^{-\beta H} = \frac{2\pi}{\beta \hbar^2} \sqrt{M_{eff} M} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{\beta \hbar \Omega}{2}\right)}{\left(1 - \exp(-\beta \hbar \Omega)\right)}, \quad (2.4)$$

Entanglement and transition probability of system at equilibrium with heat bath

To move on the process of entanglement, we define the density matrix as

$$\rho_{eq} = \frac{e^{-\beta H}}{Z} = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{n_0=0}^{+\infty} \int d^2 k_i \exp\left[-\frac{\beta \hbar^2}{2M_{eff}} k_{eff}^2 - \frac{\beta \hbar^2}{2M} k_z^2 - \beta \hbar \Omega \left(n_0 + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right] |n_0; k_i\rangle \langle n_0; k_i|, \quad (3.1)$$

The wave vector corresponding to the statistical state is given following expression (3.1) by

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{n_0=0}^{+\infty} \int d^2 k_i \sqrt{\rho_{eq}} |n_0; k_i\rangle \langle n_0; k_i| = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{n_0=0}^{+\infty} \int d^2 k_i \exp\left[-\frac{\beta \hbar^2}{2M_{eff}} k_{eff}^2 - \frac{\beta \hbar^2}{2M} k_z^2 - \frac{\beta \hbar}{2} \Omega \left(n_0 + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right] |n_0; k_i\rangle |\tilde{n}_0; \tilde{k}_i\rangle, \quad (3.2)$$

The extended density matrix define by the relation between the statistical state and their conjugate as

$$\rho = |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| \quad (3.3)$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$|\rho\rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{m_0=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{n_0=0}^{+\infty} \int d^2 k_i d^2 \tilde{k}_i \exp\left[-\frac{\beta \hbar^2}{2M_{eff}} (k_{eff}^2 + \tilde{k}_{eff}^2) - \frac{\beta \hbar^2}{2M} (k_z^2 + \tilde{k}_z^2) - \frac{\beta \hbar}{2} \Omega (m_0 + n_0)\right] |m_0; k_i\rangle \langle n_0; \tilde{k}_i| |\tilde{m}_0; \tilde{k}_i\rangle \langle \tilde{n}_0; \tilde{k}_i|, \quad (3.4)$$

The reduced density matrix of particle 1 (photon) is obtained by computing the trace of the extended density matrix (3.4) on particle 2 (electron).

$$\rho_{0i} = Tr_{k_i} \rho = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{m_0=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{n_0=0}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{4\pi}{\beta \hbar^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{4\pi}{\beta \hbar^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{M_{eff} M} \exp\left[-\frac{\beta \hbar}{2} \Omega (m_0 + n_0)\right] |m_0\rangle \langle n_0| |\tilde{m}_0\rangle \langle \tilde{n}_0| \quad (3.5)$$

From expression (3.5), we derive the transition probability as

$$P_t = \frac{1}{Z} \left(\frac{4\pi}{\beta \hbar^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{4\pi}{\beta \hbar^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{M_{eff} M} \exp\left[-\frac{\beta \hbar}{2} \Omega (m_0 + n_0)\right], \quad (3.6)$$

and the von Neumann entropy

$$S_0 = -k_B Tr_{0,i} (\rho_{0i} \log \rho_{0i}) = 2 \frac{k_B}{\left(\exp\left[-\frac{\beta \hbar^2}{2} \Omega\right] - 1\right)^2} \left(\frac{\beta \hbar \Omega \left(\exp\left[-\frac{\beta \hbar^2}{2} \Omega\right] + \exp\left[-\beta \hbar \Omega\right]\right)}{\left(\exp\left[-\beta \hbar \Omega\right] - 1\right)} - \left(\exp\left[-\beta \hbar \Omega\right] - 1\right) \log\left[2\left(\exp\left[-\beta \hbar \Omega\right] - 1\right)\right]\right). \quad (3.7)$$

Rényi entropy at order α is defined by the expression

$$S_\alpha = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log(Tr_i \rho_{0i}^\alpha),$$

and it is given following expression (3.5) as

$$Tr \rho_i^\alpha = \sum_{\sigma_0=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{\tilde{\sigma}_0=0}^{+\infty} \dots \sum_{\sigma_\alpha=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{\tilde{\sigma}_\alpha=0}^{+\infty} \langle \sigma_0 | \langle \tilde{\sigma}_0 | \rho_0 | \sigma_0 \rangle | \tilde{\sigma}_0 \rangle \dots \langle \sigma_\alpha | \langle \tilde{\sigma}_\alpha | \rho_\alpha | \sigma_\alpha \rangle | \tilde{\sigma}_\alpha \rangle = \frac{1}{Z^\alpha} \left(\frac{4\pi}{\beta \hbar^2}\right)^\alpha (M_{eff} M)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{1}{\left(1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\beta \hbar}{2} \Omega\right)\right)^{2\alpha}} \quad (3.8)$$

$$S_\alpha = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log\left(2^\alpha \frac{e^{(-\alpha \frac{\beta \hbar}{2} \Omega)} \left(1 + e^{(-\frac{\beta \hbar}{2} \Omega)}\right)^\alpha}{\left(1 - e^{(-\frac{\beta \hbar}{2} \Omega)}\right)^\alpha}\right) \quad (3.9)$$

To start with the numerical analysis, we set $\hbar = 1$, $\omega = 3.9$, $M = 4.1$, $e = 1$, k_B and we evaluate results of expressions (3.6), (3.7) and (3.9), by considering the parameters β and L .




Figure 1: Plots of $\beta - L$, (3.7) and (3.6) expressions.




Figure 2: Plots of $\beta - L$, expression (3.9).

Entanglement and transition probability of system at nonequilibrium with heat bath

We can now understand the entangled state of the system at non-equilibrium. The non-equilibrium of the system requires time-dependent control, eventually described by the time-dependent density matrix as

$$\rho_{0neq} = e^{-\zeta t} U^+(t) \rho_0 U(t) + (1 - e^{-\zeta t}) \rho_{0eq}, \quad (4.1)$$

where ρ_0 is the density matrix of expression (3.5) at the vacuum state $|0, 0\rangle$

$$\rho_0 = \frac{1}{Z} \left(\frac{4\pi}{\beta \hbar^2}\right) \sqrt{M_{eff} M} |0, 0\rangle \langle 0, 0| \quad (4.2)$$

and $U(t)$ is the evolution operator

$$U(t) = e^{-\frac{iHt}{\hbar}} = \sum_{n_0=0}^{+\infty} \int d^2k_i \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{2M_{eff}} k_{eff}^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2M} k_z^2 + \hbar\Omega \left(n_0 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] t \right] |n_0; k_i\rangle \langle n_0; k_i| \quad (4.3)$$

consequently we get

$$\rho_{0neq} = \frac{\exp \left[-\frac{\beta\hbar}{2}\Omega \right]}{Z} \sum_{m_0} \sum_{n_0} \eta_{m_0, n_0}(t) \exp \left[-\frac{\beta\hbar}{2}\Omega (m_0 + n_0) \right] |m_0\rangle \langle n_0| |\tilde{m}_0\rangle \langle \tilde{n}_0|, \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$\eta_{m_0, n_0}(t) = \left(\left[\sqrt{(\delta_{m_0,0} - 1)e^{-\zeta t} + 1} \sqrt{(\delta_{n_0,0} - 1)e^{-\zeta t} + 1} \right] + (1 - e^{-\zeta t}) \left(\frac{4\pi}{\beta\hbar^2} \right) \sqrt{M_{eff}M} \right), \quad (4.5)$$

and ρ_{0neq} is the solution of the dissipative von Neumann equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_{0neq}(t) = [H, \rho_{0neq}(t)] - \zeta (\rho_{0neq}(t) - \rho_{0i}). \quad (4.6)$$

Equivalently to (3.6), we obtain from expression (4.4) the transition probability

$$P_t(t) = \frac{\exp \left[-\frac{\beta\hbar}{2}\Omega \right]}{Z} \eta_{m_0, n_0}(t) \exp \left[-\frac{\beta\hbar}{2}\Omega (m_0 + n_0) \right] \quad (4.7)$$

In this case, we write the entanglement von Neumann entropy as

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= -k_B Tr_{0,0} (\rho_{0neq} \log \rho_{0neq}) \\ &= -k_B \frac{\exp \left[-\frac{\beta\hbar}{2}\Omega \right]}{Z} \left(A_1(t) \log \left(\frac{A_1(t) \exp \left[-\frac{\beta\hbar}{2}\Omega \right]}{Z} \right) - \frac{A_2(t) \beta\hbar\Omega \exp \left[\frac{\beta\hbar}{2}\Omega \right]}{2 \left(\exp \left[\frac{\beta\hbar}{2}\Omega \right] - 1 \right)^2} \right. \\ &+ \frac{A_2(t)}{\exp \left[\frac{\beta\hbar}{2}\Omega \right] - 1} \log \left(\frac{A_2(t) \exp \left[\frac{\beta\hbar}{2}\Omega \right]}{Z} \right) - \frac{\beta\hbar\Omega \exp \left[\frac{\beta\hbar}{2}\Omega \right]}{2 \left(\exp \left[\frac{\beta\hbar}{2}\Omega \right] - 1 \right)^2} \left(\frac{2A_3(t)}{\exp \left[\frac{\beta\hbar}{2}\Omega \right] - 1} + A_2(t) \right) \\ &\left. + \frac{A_2(t)}{\exp \left[\frac{\beta\hbar}{2}\Omega \right] - 1} \log \left(\frac{A_2(t) \exp \left[-\frac{\beta\hbar}{2}\Omega \right]}{Z} \right) + \frac{A_3(t)}{\left(\exp \left[\frac{\beta\hbar}{2}\Omega \right] - 1 \right)^2} \log \left(\frac{A_3(t) \exp \left[-\frac{\beta\hbar}{2}\Omega \right]}{Z} \right) \right), \quad (4.8) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$A_1(t) = \left(1 + \left(1 - e^{-\zeta t} \right) \left(\frac{4\pi}{\beta\hbar^2} \right) \sqrt{M_{eff}M} \right),$$

$$A_2(t) = \left(\sqrt{\left(1 - e^{-\zeta t} \right) + \left(1 - e^{-\zeta t} \right) \left(\frac{4\pi}{\beta\hbar^2} \right) \sqrt{M_{eff}M}} \right),$$

and

$$A_3(t) = \left(\left(1 - e^{-\zeta t} \right) + \left(1 - e^{-\zeta t} \right) \left(\frac{4\pi}{\beta\hbar^2} \right) \sqrt{M_{eff}M} \right). \quad (4.9)$$

The R'enyi entropy is described as

$$S_\alpha = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log (Tr_i \rho_{neq}^\alpha), \quad (4.10)$$

Where

$$Tr_i \rho_{neq}^\alpha = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{2\pi}{\beta\hbar^2} \right)^\alpha \left(M_{eff}M \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \frac{1}{\left(1 - e^{-\left(\frac{\beta\hbar}{2}\Omega \right)} \right)^{2\alpha} \left(1 - e^{-\beta\hbar\Omega} \right)^\alpha} \eta_{\sigma_\alpha, \tilde{\sigma}_\alpha}(t) \dots \eta_{\sigma_\alpha, \tilde{\sigma}_\alpha}(t) \quad (4.11)$$

Consequently, (4.10) give

$$S_\alpha = \frac{-\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \log \left(\left(\frac{2\pi}{\beta\hbar^2} \right)^\alpha \left(M_{eff}M \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right) + \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log \left(\frac{1}{\left(1 - e^{-\left(\frac{\beta\hbar}{2}\Omega \right)} \right)^{2\alpha} \left(1 - e^{-\beta\hbar\Omega} \right)^\alpha} \eta_{\sigma_\alpha, \tilde{\sigma}_\alpha}(t) \dots \eta_{\sigma_\alpha, \tilde{\sigma}_\alpha}(t) \right) \quad (4.12)$$

We set $\hbar = 1$, $\omega = 3.8$, $M = 3.3$, $e = 1$, $L = 3$ and $k_B = 1$.




Figure 3: Plot of expressions (4.7) and (4.8). The couple (m_0, n_0) for example $m_0 = 3$, $n_0 = 2$ are denoted (3, 2).




Figure 4: Plot of expressions (4.12)

Clearly, entropy obeys the second law of thermodynamics. The passage of the electron from a higher energy level will decrease the probability of detecting it at that level.

Observe Figs2 and 4, by increasing α , entanglement is reduced, reflecting a less dispersed configuration of the harmonic oscillator while showing that the overall correlations remain large at small α .

To study entanglement of different quantum system, the TFD method is applied in refs [15-17].

Conclusion

This work deal with entanglement entropies and transition probability of photon-electron system used the thermo-field dynamics method at equilibrium and non-equilibrium with heat bath. Results of entanglement entropies to be consistent with thermodynamics laws. At equilibrium, the less the electromagnetic field is quantified, the more the system is entangled, the more the probability of detecting the system in a well-specified quantum state increases. At non-equilibrium, excitation of the electron disturbs its transition probability

References

1. Bennett CH, Shor PW (1998) IEEE Transactions on Information Theory. 44: 2724. <https://www.itsoc.org/it-trans>.
2. Ekert AK (1991) Quantum cryptography based on Bell's theorem. Phys Rev Lett 67: 661.
3. Bennett CH Wiesner SJ (1992) Communication via one and two particle operators on Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen states. Phys Rev Lett 69: 2881.
4. Shor PW (1995) Scheme for reducing decoherence in quantum computer memory. Phys Rev 52: R2493.
5. Pezze L, Smerzi A, Oberthaler MK, Schmied R, Treutlein P (2018) Quantum metrology with nonclassical states of atomic ensembles. Rev Mod Phys 90:035005.
6. Weedbrook C (2012) Gaussian quantum information. Rev Mod Phys 84:621.
7. Nicholas CH (2017) Quantum transport simulations in a programmable nanophotonic processor. Nat Photonics 11:447–52.
8. Knill E, Laflamme R, Milburn GJ (2001) A scheme for efficient quantum computation with linear optics. Nat 409:46–52.
9. Kok P, (2007) Linear optical quantum computing with photonic qubits. Rev Mod Phys 79:135.
10. Tan SH, Rohde PP (2019) The resurgence of the linear optics quantum interferometer—recent advances and applications. Rev Phys 4:100030.
11. Bharadwaj P, Bouhelier A, Novotny L (2011) Electrical Excitation of Surface Plasmons. Phys Rev Lett 106:226802.
12. You C, Hong M, Bhushal N (2021) Observation of the modification of quantum statistics of plasmonic systems. Nat Commun 12:5161.
13. Dahan R, Gorlach A, Haeusler U (2021) Imprinting the quantum statistics of photons on free electrons. Sc 373:7128.
14. Varr'ó S (2008) Entangled photon–electron states and the number-phase minimum uncertainty states of the photon field. N Jour Phys 10:053028.
15. Hashizume Y, Suzuki M (2013) Understanding quantum entanglement by thermo field dynamics. Phys A 10:053028.
16. Nakagawa K (2016) Entanglement entropies of coupled harmonic oscillators. Cond mat stat mech 1601:03584.
17. Abidi A (2023) The Thermo-field Dynamics Method for Electron with Two-mode Electro-magnetic Field. A J Phys Appl 11:21-30.

Copyright: ©2025 Abidi A. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.