

Opinion Article

Open Access

We Should Adapt to Global Warming, as Fighting CO₂ is in Vain – An Opinion

Manfred Doepp

Holistic Center, 13 Haupt St., Abtwil 9030, Switzerland

ABSTRACT

Global warming is under discussion. About 95% of scientists agree that CO₂ and other climate gases are responsible for it. About 5% disagree. In this article it is claimed that the fight against CO₂ is and will be unsuccessful and is a loss of money. Non-manmade are natural cycles which occurred in the whole history again and again, with high CO₂ concentrations in the past. Manmade and enormously increasing is technical electromog which can heat the atmosphere. CO₂ is necessary for the food supply of mankind and will be needed in our future.

*Corresponding author

Manfred Doepp, Holistic Center, 13 Haupt St., Abtwil 9030, Switzerland.

Received: March 18, 2026; Accepted: March 25, 2026; Published: May 05, 2026

Introduction

Climate change takes place; indeed, it is global warming. Such warm phases occurred regularly in the Earth's history, alternating cyclically with cold phases or even ice ages [1]. The concentration of CO₂ in the atmosphere was often higher in earlier times than it is today, at times much higher [2,3]. The plant world needs CO₂ for plants to grow [4]. The Sahara Desert, for example, was fertile 2000 years ago and a granary for the Roman empire. Lions and elephants lived there. Hence, an increase of CO₂ allows higher food production for mankind.

Correlation is no Causal Relationship

There is a correlation between the rise in temperature and the rise of CO₂. However, a causal relationship has not been proven. Reason and effect can be confused in correlations. Or a third reason is responsible for both. The sun exhibits cyclical changes in its irradiation and irradiance, which has been increased for several years now [5,6]. Our galaxy (the "Milky Way") is in constant rotation, which means that our solar system reaches areas that are richer in radiation [7,8].

What may be Manmade?

Insofar climate change may be manmade partially: Technical electromog and thus its energy emissions from the large number of 5G transmitters, satellites, and Haarp emitters are increasing exponentially [9,10]. Internet, telecommunications and AI require increasingly large amounts of energy, which is provided by new power plants which produce heat and have to be cooled.

Huge areas of taiga and tundra can be reclaimed for food production in future due to the rise in temperature [11]. The ongoing battle against CO₂ cannot be won, nor can the battle against global warming. It is a wrong narrative. Figure 1 shows the difference between 73 computer climate models and real observations.




Figure 1: Difference Between Computer Models and Real Observations

No End of Oil Production?

Crude oil and natural gas are not finite, but are constantly being produced anew by our planet Earth. Oil is the «Blood» of the planet. The Club of Rome in 1972 was wrong [12]. And, crude oil is too valuable to be burned. Wind turbines are an ancient technology and primitive. They pollute the environment considerably, e.g. by infrasound. Covering arable land with solar panels reduces the amount of land for food production, the panels should be placed into deserts.

China demonstrates how to make deserts green by planting trees. And: nobody knows if and when a volcano eruption may produce a cooling of the atmosphere. The geo-engineering we see nowadays may result in a cooling, too. There are predictions that the atlantic

gulf stream which serves for gentle temperatures in Europe, may break down [13].

Energy Production

The ideology of necessary and constant quantitative growth of gross national products ends mathematically in infinity, i.e. in catastrophe. So far, mankind has not been able to set a qualitative growth ("steady state" as in nature) as a goal. A disaster may result. Nikola Tesla's patents on the use of free energy (from the "vacuum") as the most sustainable form of energy production are not being put into practice up to now [14-18].

Conclusions

The current views on climate change and sustainable energy production are largely based on opinions and interests rather than facts. A rethink should take place, as continuation of the predominant actions, e.g. against the «climate gases» is not expedient and will be unsuccessful. It is waste of money. The CO₂ tax is nonsense [19].

Instead of fighting against CO₂, we should adapt to climate change, which is a natural phenomenon and which we cannot alter. We should make the best of it. All engineering resources and Artificial Intelligence should be used for that.

References

1. Cenozoic CO₂ Proxy Integration Project Consortium, Science, doi: 10.1126/science.adi5177. <https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.adi5177#tab-contributors>.
2. Owen Mulhern (2025) A Graphical History of Atmospheric CO₂ Levels Over Time. https://earth.org/data_visualization/a-brief-history-of-co2/.
3. <https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=1240577>.
4. Wikipedia. Carbon dioxide in the atmosphere of Earth https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Carbon_dioxide_in_the_atmosphere_of_Earth.
5. www.solarstormwarnings.com.
6. https://www.nasa.gov/mission_pages/sunearth/multimedia/Solar-Events.html.
7. <https://nationalgeographic.de/wissenschaft/2018/01/10-fakten-ueber-unsere-milchstrasse/>.
8. Isabelle Kessler, Ortwin Gerhard (2012) Die Milchstraße – Tomographie einer Balkenspiralgalaxie. https://www.mpe.mpg.de/478456/News_20120215.
9. <http://www.bfs.de/elektro>.
10. International Agency for Research on Cancer (2013) Non-Ionizing Radiation, Part 2: Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields. 102. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK304630/>.
11. Deserts 'greening' from rising CO₂ (2013) <https://www.csiro.au/Portals/Media/Deserts-greening-from-rising-CO2.aspx>.
12. Michael Sypien (1972) Der Club of Rome und die Grenzen des Wachstums: Anmerkungen zur Zukunft der Menschheit. Bachelor Masters Publishing. <https://www.amazon.in/Club-Rome-die-Grenzen-Wachstums-ebook/dp/B076XPLY7L>.
13. Jan Oliver Löffken (2025) Is the Gulf Stream collapsing? <https://www.weltderphysik.de/gebiet/erde/nachrichten/2025/meeresstroemungen-amoc-kollabiert-der-golfstrom/>.
14. http://www.teslasociety.com/tesla_and_swami.htm.
15. Hunt Inez, Draper, Wanetta W (1981) Lightning In His Hand, The Life Story Of Nikola Tesla, Omni Publications, Hawthorne <https://archive.org/details/lightninginhisha0000inez>.
16. O'Neal, John J (2008) Prodigal Genius, The Life of Nikola Tesla, Ives Washington, Inc., 1944. Anderson, Leland, personal communication.
17. Anderson LI, Ratzlaff JT (1997) Dr. Nikola Tesla Bibliography, Ragusan Press https://books.google.co.in/books/about/Dr_Nikola_Tesla_Bibliography.html?id=4EQnAQAAIAAJ&redir_esc=y.
18. <https://gradesfixer.com/blog/multiple-scientists-confirm-the-reality-of-free-energy-heres-the-proof/>.
19. Govinda R Timilsina (2022) Carbon Taxes. Journal of Economic Literature 60: 1456-1502.

Copyright: ©2026 Manfred Doepp. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.