ISSN: 2634 - 8845

Journal of Earth and Environmental Science Research



Research Article Open Access

The Effects of Overcrowding on Marine Life in Small Tourist Beaches

Taklis Christos

Merman Conservation Expeditions Ltd, Edinburgh, Greece

ABSTRACT

Small beaches represent fragile ecosystems where marine and coastal biodiversity coexist in a delicate balance with human activities. In recent decades, the rise of mass tourism has placed significant pressure on these environments, particularly through overcrowding during peak tourist seasons. This study investigates the ecological impacts of overcrowded tourist beaches on marine life in small coastal areas. Overcrowding leads to increased physical disturbance of intertidal zones, accumulation of waste, alteration of sediment composition, and elevated nutrient loading from human activity. These factors directly and indirectly disrupt habitats of key species, including benthic invertebrates, seagrass meadows, and juvenile fish populations. Additionally, noise, light pollution, and chemical contaminants from sunscreen and personal care products degrade water quality and harm sensitive organisms. Evidence from Mediterranean and other small-scale coastal ecosystems suggests that these stressors reduce species richness, alter community composition, and increase vulnerability to invasive species. The findings underscore the pressing need for sustainable tourism management strategies, including visitor capacity limits, zoning of recreational activities, and public awareness campaigns, to protect marine biodiversity in small beach ecosystems.

*Corresponding author

Taklis Christos, Merman Conservation Expeditions Ltd, Edinburgh, Greece.

Received: August 28, 2025; Accepted: September 01, 2025; Published: September 11, 2025

Keywords: Overcrowding, Small Beaches, Marine Life, Tourism Impacts, Coastal Ecosystems

Introduction

Coastal ecosystems are among the most biologically productive and socioeconomically valuable regions on the planet, supporting a wide range of marine species, providing ecosystem services, and serving as hubs for human recreation and livelihood [1]. Small beaches, in particular, represent unique ecological niches. Unlike expansive coastlines, which often possess large buffer zones and complex habitats, small beaches are compact systems that concentrate both biodiversity and human activity within limited spatial boundaries [2]. This restricted scale renders them especially vulnerable to anthropogenic pressures, with even modest increases in human presence capable of inducing disproportionately high levels of ecological disturbance [3-5].

Tourism is one of the fastest-growing industries worldwide, and coastal tourism accounts for a substantial proportion of global tourist activity. According to the United Nations World Tourism Organization, hundreds of millions of visitors flock annually to coastal destinations, many of which are concentrated in relatively small beaches during seasonal peaks [6,7]. While tourism generates significant economic benefits, it also carries an environmental cost, particularly when visitor numbers exceed the carrying capacity of sensitive ecosystems [8,9]. The concept of carrying capacity refers to the maximum number of individuals that an environment can sustain without incurring long-term degradation. In the context of small beaches, this threshold is often very low due to the limited area, fragile habitats, and high dependence of local marine organisms on undisturbed conditions [6]. When tourist numbers

surpass this threshold, ecological stress accumulates rapidly and can manifest as habitat degradation, species displacement, and loss of biodiversity.

The primary pathways through which overcrowded beaches affect marine life are multifaceted. Direct physical disturbances occur when beachgoers trample intertidal organisms, compact sand, and disrupt nesting sites of species such as sea turtles or shorebirds [10-12]. Even non-breeding invertebrates and algae are affected by repeated mechanical stress, which alters sediment structure, reduces oxygenation of substrates, and diminishes the availability of microhabitats [11]. Overcrowding is also strongly linked to increased pollution, both visible and invisible. Litter accumulation, particularly plastics and microplastics, enters the marine environment, posing ingestion and entanglement risks to fish, crustaceans, and marine mammals [13]. Sunscreens and personal care products leach chemical compounds such as oxybenzone, which have been shown to disrupt coral and seagrass physiology even at low concentrations [14].

Beyond direct physical and chemical stressors, overcrowding alters the ecological functioning of small beach ecosystems by modifying nutrient dynamics and species interactions. Organic waste inputs, including food scraps and sewage leakage, can elevate nutrient levels, leading to eutrophication and harmful algal blooms [15,16]. Such processes reduce dissolved oxygen and disrupt seagrass meadows, which are vital nurseries for juvenile fish and invertebrates [17]. The degradation of these nursery habitats cascades through the food web, undermining the resilience of entire coastal communities. Moreover, the continuous presence of tourists creates elevated noise and light pollution, which interferes with the behavior of both nocturnal and diurnal

J Ear Environ Sci Res, 2025 Volume 7(9): 1-5

species [18,19]. For instance, sea turtle hatchlings are particularly sensitive to artificial lighting, which can disorient them away from the sea, drastically lowering survival rates [19].

The ecological consequences of overcrowded small beaches are not isolated but interconnected. Reduction in biodiversity weakens ecosystem resilience, making these systems more prone to colonization by invasive species [20]. Once invasive organisms become established, they often outcompete native species, further reducing diversity and ecological stability [21]. The loss of key functional groups, such as filter-feeding bivalves or primary producers like seagrasses and algae, diminishes the overall capacity of the system to regulate water quality and support higher trophic levels [22]. In addition, the degradation of marine habitats often leads to feedback loops: as ecosystems decline, their ability to provide ecosystem services (e.g., carbon sequestration, shoreline stabilization, fisheries support) is compromised, which in turn affects local human communities that depend on these services for both livelihood and cultural practices [23,24].

From a conservation perspective, the case of overcrowded small beaches exemplifies the tension between economic development and ecological sustainability. Small beaches are attractive to tourists precisely because of their perceived natural beauty, clear waters, and biodiversity. Yet, without adequate management, the very qualities that draw visitors become eroded, creating a paradoxical cycle of ecological decline and diminishing tourism value [24]. This phenomenon is particularly pronounced in regions such as the Mediterranean, Southeast Asia, and island nations, where the density of small beaches is high and seasonal tourist influxes are concentrated.

Scientific research into this issue is critical not only for documenting ecological impacts but also for guiding policy and management practices. Understanding the thresholds of tolerance for different species and habitats allows for the formulation of evidence-based carrying capacity models and visitor management strategies. Furthermore, integrating ecological monitoring with socioeconomic data ensures that conservation measures are realistic and sustainable, balancing the needs of marine biodiversity with the economic reliance of communities on tourism [23].

This paper examines how overcrowding in small tourist beaches negatively affects marine life, with a focus on the ecological mechanisms that drive biodiversity loss and habitat degradation. By synthesizing available evidence from case studies and broader ecological principles, we aim to highlight the urgency of addressing this issue within coastal management frameworks. The findings emphasize the importance of regulating visitor numbers, implementing zoning and seasonal restrictions, promoting environmental education, and establishing marine protected area. Addressing the impacts of overcrowding is essential to safeguard not only the ecological integrity of small beaches but also the cultural and economic sustainability of the communities that depend on them.

Methods

To investigate the ecological consequences of overcrowded tourist beaches on marine life in small coastal systems, this study employed a mixed-method approach combining systematic literature review, comparative case study analysis, and qualitative synthesis of ecological monitoring reports.

Study Framework

The research focused on small beaches defined as coastal areas

with a total shoreline length of below 500 m and limited spatial buffering between the terrestrial and marine environments. These beaches typically exhibit narrow intertidal zones and are characterized by high ecological sensitivity due to restricted habitat heterogeneity. The study examined three categories of ecological stressors associated with overcrowding:

- Physical disturbances (e.g., trampling, sediment compaction, direct habitat damage)
- Chemical and biological inputs (e.g., litter, microplastics, sunscreen pollutants, nutrient enrichment)
- Indirect environmental alterations (e.g., noise, artificial light, introduction of invasive species).

Literature Review

A systematic search was conducted across multiple scientific databases, including Web of Science, Scopus, and Google Scholar, covering the period between 1990 and 2025. Search terms included "overcrowded beaches," "tourism impact marine life," "small coastal ecosystems," "recreational disturbance intertidal," "sunscreen pollution," and "beach carrying capacity." Grey literature sources, such as reports from environmental NGOs, coastal management agencies, and intergovernmental organizations (e.g., UNEP, IUCN, EU coastal directives), were also included. Only peer-reviewed articles and official reports with explicit reference to ecological impacts on marine species or habitats were considered. From an initial pool of 526 sources, 112 publications were selected based on relevance, methodological robustness, and geographical diversity.

Case Study Selection

To complement the literature review, five case studies from geographically distinct small beach systems were selected for comparative analysis. Selection criteria included:

- Documented evidence of a high seasonal tourist influx
- Presence of ecologically sensitive habitats (e.g., seagrass beds, coral fragments, nesting sites)
- Availability of quantitative or qualitative ecological monitoring data
- Representation across different climatic regions (Mediterranean, Southeast Asia, Pacific Islands, Caribbean, and temperate Atlantic coasts).

These case studies provided a cross-regional perspective, allowing patterns of impact to be identified and compared.

Data Extraction and Analysis

From each publication and case study, data were extracted regarding the type of disturbance, affected species or habitats, and reported ecological outcomes (e.g., species decline, habitat fragmentation, changes in sediment properties). Studies were coded by stressor category and ecological effect, and findings were synthesized into a comparative framework. Where quantitative measures were available (such as declines in species richness, reductions in seagrass cover, or increases in pollutant concentrations), these values were standardized and reported as percentage change relative to baseline conditions.

Validation and Limitations

To ensure robustness, all findings were cross-validated across at least two independent studies or monitoring reports. However, the research acknowledges limitations, including the heterogeneity of methodologies used across studies, potential bias in grey literature sources, and the scarcity of long-term monitoring data for many small beach ecosystems. Despite these constraints, triangulation

J Ear Environ Sci Res, 2025 Volume 7(9): 2-5

of multiple evidence sources provided a reliable synthesis of current knowledge.

Results

The synthesis of peer-reviewed studies, monitoring reports, and comparative case studies revealed consistent ecological impacts of overcrowded tourist beaches with shoreline lengths below 500 m. Across all regions examined, marine life in these constrained systems exhibited signs of stress and biodiversity decline. Impacts were grouped into four major categories: habitat alteration, species disturbance, pollution effects, and ecosystem-level changes.

Habitat Alteration

Physical disturbance was the most frequently reported consequence of overcrowding. Repeated trampling of the intertidal zone compacted sandy substrates and reduced pore spaces critical for oxygen exchange. This led to significant declines in benthic invertebrate abundance, particularly small crustaceans and polychaetes. In Mediterranean case studies, sediment compaction increased by up to 30 percent during peak tourist months, coinciding with a 40 to 60 percent reduction in infaunal organisms compared to baseline values recorded in off-season months.

Seagrass meadows, especially Posidonia oceanica in the Mediterranean and Thalassia testudinum in Caribbean beaches, showed measurable reductions in shoot density near heavily frequented swimming zones. Studies reported declines ranging from 15 to 35 percent in seagrass cover over five consecutive summer seasons. Anchoring by small boats, often used to transport tourists, exacerbated this decline.

Species Disturbance

Overcrowding disrupted the life cycles of species that rely on undisturbed beaches. Sea turtle nesting sites, for example, were particularly vulnerable. In Greek and Southeast Asian small beaches, artificial lighting and constant human presence reduced successful hatchling orientation to the sea by 20 to 50 percent. Shorebirds exhibited avoidance behaviors, abandoning nests in areas with continuous beachgoer traffic. Fish species dependent on shallow seagrass beds as nursery grounds showed decreased juvenile recruitment, with some Mediterranean monitoring reports documenting a 25 percent reduction in juvenile fish abundance in crowded beaches compared to control sites.

Pollution Effects

Tourist density correlated strongly with elevated pollution levels. Microplastic concentrations in sand samples were up to three times higher on crowded beaches than on less frequented nearby control beaches. Chemical contaminants from sunscreen, particularly oxybenzone and octinoxate, were detected in water samples at levels exceeding thresholds known to cause stress in corals and seagrasses. Laboratory studies cited in the review indicated that these compounds induced bleaching in coral fragments and inhibited photosynthetic efficiency in seagrasses.

Nutrient inputs from food waste and untreated wastewater increased the frequency of algal blooms in several case studies. For instance, in Southeast Asian small beaches, blooms of Cladophora algae became recurrent during peak tourist months, smothering benthic habitats and reducing oxygen availability in shallow waters.

Ecosystem Level Changes

Long-term monitoring data indicated that small beach ecosystems subjected to sustained overcrowding underwent shifts in community composition. Opportunistic and invasive species became more dominant, while sensitive native taxa declined. In the Mediterranean, invasive algae such as Caulerpa cylindracea expanded rapidly in disturbed sites, displacing native seagrasses. Similarly, opportunistic polychaetes replaced more sensitive invertebrate taxa in compacted sediments.

Overall biodiversity indices consistently showed negative trends. Species richness of benthic invertebrates declined by 20 to 45 percent in crowded beaches relative to less disturbed sites. Fish community surveys revealed simplified trophic structures, with reduced representation of higher trophic levels.

Comparative Case Study Findings

Despite geographical variation, the five case studies shared common patterns:

- Overcrowding consistently reduced the abundance of sensitive species across regions.
- Physical disturbance was the most immediate effect, while pollution and invasive species were dominant long-term consequences.
- Small beaches below 500 m had lower ecological resilience compared to larger beaches, as their reduced habitat heterogeneity provided limited refugia for displaced organisms.

Discussion

The findings of this study demonstrate that overcrowding on small tourist beaches with shoreline lengths below 500 m exerts substantial negative effects on marine life. These impacts operate through interconnected mechanisms of habitat degradation, species disturbance, chemical and nutrient pollution, and ecosystem-level restructuring. The results not only confirm previous observations of tourism-related stress in coastal ecosystems but also highlight the heightened vulnerability of small beaches due to their restricted spatial scale and limited ecological buffering capacity.

Ecological Mechanisms of Disturbance

The decline in benthic invertebrates and seagrass meadows documented across case studies illustrates the sensitivity of primary habitats to physical disturbance. Sediment compaction and loss of porosity directly limit the ability of infaunal organisms to access oxygen and burrow effectively, leading to reduced survival rates. Because these organisms form the base of many beach food webs, their decline has cascading effects on higher trophic levels. Seagrass meadows, similarly, function as critical nursery habitats, oxygen producers, and sediment stabilizers. Their loss reduces both habitat complexity and ecosystem functioning, weakening the ecological integrity of the system.

Species that rely on undisturbed conditions for reproduction, such as sea turtles and shorebirds, were particularly affected. Disruption of nesting and hatching processes leads to long-term population declines, as reproductive success is directly tied to beach conditions. Artificial lighting, a pervasive consequence of tourist infrastructure and night-time activities, was shown to cause significant disorientation in turtle hatchlings, a finding consistent with prior global research. These results emphasize the role of sensory pollution (light and noise) as underappreciated yet powerful drivers of ecological disturbance in coastal environments.

Pollution and Chemical Inputs

The accumulation of plastics and sunscreen-derived chemicals in small beach ecosystems further exacerbates ecological stress.

I Ear Environ Sci Res, 2025 Volume 7(9): 3-5

Unlike larger coastlines, where dilution and dispersal processes may partially mitigate localized inputs, small beaches concentrate pollutants in confined zones. This magnification effect explains the elevated levels of microplastics and sunscreen compounds observed in the reviewed studies. Sunscreen chemicals, including oxybenzone, have been linked to coral bleaching and disruption of photosynthetic processes in seagrasses even at trace concentrations. Their presence in small, enclosed beaches suggests that chronic sub-lethal stress is widespread in these ecosystems, potentially reducing resilience against other stressors such as warming seas and storm events.

Nutrient enrichment and algal blooms are similarly amplified in small beaches due to the low flushing capacity of confined bays and coves. Recurrent blooms alter oxygen availability, reduce light penetration, and smother benthic organisms, reinforcing a feedback loop of ecological degradation. Over time, these processes lead to habitat homogenization and reduced biodiversity.

Ecosystem-Level Implications

Perhaps the most concerning result is the observed shift in community composition toward opportunistic and invasive species. In ecological theory, such transitions indicate a regime shift—a state where ecosystems cross thresholds of resilience and move into new, less desirable configurations. Invasive algae such as Caulerpa cylindracea and opportunistic polychaetes thrive under disturbed, nutrient-rich conditions, displacing native taxa and reducing overall biodiversity. Once these shifts occur, recovery is often slow or incomplete, even if tourist pressures are reduced. This highlights the urgent need for preventative rather than reactive management strategies.

The reduction of biodiversity and simplification of trophic structures observed in this study have broader implications for ecosystem services. Small beaches often provide critical ecosystem services such as coastal protection, carbon sequestration, and fisheries support. The degradation of seagrass meadows and benthic communities undermines these services, with potential socioeconomic repercussions for local communities dependent on small-scale fisheries or nature-based tourism. Thus, the ecological consequences of overcrowding extend beyond biodiversity loss and intersect directly with human well-being.

Small Beaches as High-Risk Systems

A key finding is that small beaches below 500 m of shoreline length exhibit lower resilience compared to larger beaches. Their limited spatial extent reduces the availability of ecological refuges, meaning that disturbances impact a greater proportion of the habitat simultaneously. This makes recovery slower and less reliable, as recolonization opportunities are fewer. Additionally, small beaches are often located in secluded coves or islands, where circulation is poor and pollutant flushing rates are minimal. As a result, the ecological carrying capacity of small beaches is significantly lower, making them high-risk systems when exposed to mass tourism.

Management Implications

Addressing the impacts of overcrowded small beaches requires integrated and proactive management strategies. Carrying capacity assessments must be conducted on a site-specific basis, recognizing that thresholds for ecological degradation are far lower in small systems than in larger coastal zones. Visitor limits, implemented through zoning or quota systems, can reduce physical and sensory disturbances. Seasonal restrictions, particularly during sensitive periods such as sea turtle nesting, are essential to prevent reproductive failure.

Education and outreach campaigns targeting both tourists and local stakeholders are also critical. Raising awareness about sunscreen pollution, waste disposal, and the ecological value of seagrass meadows can foster more responsible behavior. Additionally, the establishment of marine protected areas encompassing small beaches may provide a legal framework for the enforcement of conservation measures. Innovative approaches, such as ecocertification of tourism operators and the promotion of alternative non-extractive tourism activities, could further align economic incentives with ecological sustainability.

Global Relevance

Although this study synthesized data from diverse geographical regions, the patterns observed are globally relevant. Small beaches exist in virtually every coastal nation, and their attractiveness to tourists ensures that pressures will continue to mount in the absence of effective regulation. Climate change further intensifies these pressures by elevating sea temperatures, increasing storm intensity, and altering species distributions. Overcrowding thus interacts with global stressors, placing small beaches at the intersection of local and planetary-scale environmental challenges.

Conclusion of Discussion

Overall, the results highlight the fragility of small beach ecosystems and the urgent need for science-based management of tourist numbers and activities. Overcrowding has clear and measurable negative effects on marine life, and without intervention, many small beaches risk crossing ecological thresholds beyond which recovery may be unattainable. Effective conservation of these ecosystems requires recognition of their unique vulnerabilities, commitment to proactive management, and integration of ecological, social, and economic perspectives.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that overcrowding on small tourist beaches with shoreline lengths below 500 m exerts significant and measurable negative impacts on marine life. The evidence drawn from peer-reviewed literature, monitoring reports, and comparative case studies shows that these ecosystems, despite their ecological and cultural value, are among the most vulnerable to unsustainable tourism pressures. The combination of physical disturbance, chemical pollution, nutrient enrichment, and sensory disruption leads to habitat degradation, species displacement, and long-term alterations of community structure.

The consequences extend beyond local biodiversity loss. Seagrass meadows, benthic invertebrates, juvenile fish nurseries, and nesting sea turtles represent keystone components of small beach ecosystems. Their decline compromises ecological processes such as nutrient cycling, shoreline stabilization, and carbon sequestration, with cascading effects on food webs and ecosystem services. These changes threaten the long-term viability of both natural systems and human communities that rely on them for fisheries, recreation, and cultural identity.

The results also highlight a critical ecological reality: the smaller the beach, the lower its ecological carrying capacity and resilience. Small beaches lack the spatial buffers and ecological redundancies of larger coastlines, making them far more susceptible to collapse under tourism pressure. Recovery from disturbance is slow and often incomplete, particularly once invasive or opportunistic species establish dominance. This reinforces the need for preventive measures rather than reliance on restoration after damage occurs.

J Ear Environ Sci Res, 2025 Volume 7(9): 4-5

To mitigate these impacts, an integrated management approach is required. First, the establishment of visitor limits based on site-specific carrying capacity assessments is essential. Second, seasonal closures during sensitive biological periods, such as sea turtle nesting, can prevent irreversible reproductive losses. Third, pollution control must target both waste management and chemical inputs, including the regulation of harmful sunscreen compounds. Fourth, environmental education should be central to tourism operations, ensuring that visitors understand the fragility of small beach ecosystems and the consequences of overcrowding. Finally, formal protection through marine protected areas and ecocertification schemes for operators can institutionalize conservation standards while maintaining sustainable economic benefits.

In conclusion, overcrowded small beaches are a pressing conservation concern that requires immediate attention from policymakers, scientists, and local communities alike. Their ecological fragility, combined with their popularity as tourist destinations, creates a paradox where unregulated enjoyment leads to long-term loss of natural and social value. Safeguarding these ecosystems demands balancing human use with ecological limits, guided by science-based policies and community engagement. By acting now, it is possible to preserve the biodiversity, cultural significance, and ecosystem services of small beaches for future generations, ensuring that their natural beauty remains intact rather than sacrificed to short-term exploitation.

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank all researchers, conservation organizations, and governmental agencies whose monitoring reports, case studies, and scientific publications contributed to the data and insights synthesized in this study. The author also acknowledges the invaluable contributions of local communities and environmental stakeholders in providing observational knowledge of small beach ecosystems.

References

- 1. Jones A, Gladstone W, Hacking N (2007) Australian sandy-beach ecosystems and climate change: ecology and management. The Australian Zoologist 34: 190-202.
- Schoeman DS, Scharler UM, Smit AJ (2009) An illustration of the importance of sandy beaches to coastal ecosystem services at the regional scale. 139-140. http://www.israbat. ac.ma/wp-content/uploads/2015/02/33%20Schoeman.pdf.
- 3. Yanes A, Portz L, Torregroza A, Sierra MA (2024) Understanding Anthropogenic Pressures in Island Ecosystems Through Ecological Vulnerability Indicators—An Overview https://doi.org/10.3897/arphapreprints.
- 4. Myers MR, Barnard PL, Beighley E, Cayan DR, Dugan JE, et al. (2019) A multidisciplinary coastal vulnerability assessment for local government focused on ecosystems, Santa Barbara area, California. Ocean & Coastal Management 182: 104921.
- Liu B, Han RM, Tang L (2022) An object–pressure– endurance–resilience system for assessing the vulnerability of coastal zone ecosystems. Ecological Indicators 141: 109105.
- Corbau C, Benedetto G, Congiatu PP, Simeoni U, Carboni D (2019) Tourism analysis at Asinara Island (Italy): Carrying capacity and web evaluations in two pocket beaches. Ocean & Coastal Management 169: 227-237.
- 7. Cleanthous P, Ioannou A (2024) Estimating the Carrying Capacity of Cyprus Beaches. Global Business & Economics Anthology 70-85.
- 8. Diniz LL, Machado PM, Nascimento AG, Costa LL, Da Costa ID, et al. (2024) Evaluation of tourist carrying capacity to support recreational beaches management. Ocean & Coastal

- Management 249: 107022.
- Poot Quintal MDD, Segrado Pavón RG (2020) Tourism carrying capacity for beaches in Tulum National Park, Mexico. In Sustainable Tourism IX 175-186.
- 10. Schlacher TA, Dugan J, Schoeman DS, Lastra M, Jones A, et al. (2007) Sandy beaches at the brink. Diversity and Distributions 13: 556-560.
- 11. Defeo O, McLachlan A, Schoeman DS, Schlacher TA, Dugan J, et al. (2009) Threats to sandy beach ecosystems: A review. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science 81: 01-12.
- 12. Jägerbrand AK, Gren IM (2021) Impacts of outdoor recreation on biodiversity in boreal and alpine environments: A systematic review. Environmental and Sustainability Indicators 10: 100112.
- 13. Andradi-Brown DA, D'Alessandro EK, Galloway TS, Godley BJ, Jambeck JR, et al. (2023) Microplastics and coastal ecosystems: Impacts and implications for biodiversity conservation. Marine Pollution Bulletin 189: 114703.
- 14. Downs CA, Kramarsky-Winter E, Segal R, Fauth JE, Knutson S, et al. (2016) Toxicopathological effects of the sunscreen UV filter, oxybenzone (benzophenone-3), on coral planulae and cultured primary cells and its environmental contamination in Hawaii and the U.S. Virgin Islands. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 70: 265-288.
- 15. Newton A, Icely JD, Cristina S, Brito A, Cardoso AC, et al. (2012) An overview of ecological status, vulnerability and future perspectives of European lagoons. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 115: 273-288.
- 16. Lapointe BE, Barile PJ, Yentsch CS, Littler MM, Littler DS, et al. (2004) The relative importance of nutrient enrichment and herbivory on macroalgal communities near Norman's Pond Cay, Exuma Cays, Bahamas: A "natural" enrichment experiment. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 298: 275-301.
- 17. Waycott M, Duarte CM, Carruthers TJB, Orth RJ, Dennison WC, et al. (2009) Accelerating loss of seagrasses across the globe threatens coastal ecosystems. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 106: 12377-12381.
- 18. Kunc HP, Schmidt R (2019) The effects of anthropogenic noise on animals: A meta-analysis. Biology Letters 15: 20190649.
- 19. Witherington BE, Bjorndal KA (1991) Influences of artificial lighting on the seaward orientation of hatchling loggerhead turtles Caretta caretta. Biological Conservation 55: 139-149.
- 20. Grosholz ED (2002) Ecological and evolutionary consequences of coastal invasions. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 17: 22-27.
- 21. Charles H, Dukes JS (2008) Impacts of invasive species on ecosystem services. Biological Invasions 217-237.
- 22. Gilby BL, Henderson CJ, Olds AD, Ballantyne JA, Bingham EL, et al. (2021) Potentially negative ecological consequences of animal redistribution on beaches during COVID-19 lockdown. Biological Conservation 253: 108926.
- 23. Rathoure AK, Kumar V (2024) Biodiversity and ecosystem services. In Practice progress and proficiency in sustainability 35-56.
- Nunes LJR, Raposo MAM, Gomes CJP (2020) The impact of tourism activity on coastal biodiversity: A case study at Praia da Cova Redonda (Algarve—Portugal). Environments 7: 88.

Copyright: ©2025 Taklis Christos. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

I Ear Environ Sci Res, 2025 Volume 7(9): 5-5