

Review Article

Open Access

Inverted Weibull Poisson Distribution: Properties, Inference, and Applications to Engineering Data

Adeleye NA^{1*}, Phillips SA² and Edeki George³

¹Department of Statistics, Ogun State Institute Of Technology, Igbesa Ogun State, Nigeria

²Department of Statistics, Federal School of Statistics, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria

³Department of Statistics, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

In this paper, a new two-parameter extended inverted Weibull distribution called the Inverted Weibull Poisson distribution is introduced using the Poisson generator. This method adds one shape parameter to a baseline distribution thereby increasing its flexibility and applicability in modeling lifetime data. We study the structural properties of the new distribution such as the mean, variance, quantile function, median, ordinary and incomplete moments, reliability analysis, Lorenz and Bonferroni curves, Renyi entropy, mean waiting time, mean residual life, stress-strength parameter, and order statistics. We use the method of maximum likelihood technique for estimating the model parameters of inverted Weibull Poisson distribution and the corresponding confidence intervals are obtained. Two lifetime data sets are presented to demonstrate the applicability of the new model and it is found that the new model has superior modeling power when compare to the Inverted Weibull distribution.

*Corresponding author

Adeleye NA, Department of Statistics, Ogun State Institute of Technology, Igbesa Ogun State, Nigeria.

Received: December 03, 2025; **Accepted:** December 10, 2025; **Published:** December 18, 2025

Keywords: Reliability Analysis, Lorenz and Bonferroni and Curves, Order Statistics, Moments, Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Introduction

Adding an extra shape parameter to a classical (conventional) distribution is very common in statistical distribution theory. Often introducing an extra parameter(s) brings more flexibility to a class of distribution functions essentially for data analysis purposes to improve the modeling potential of the classical distribution. For example, Azzalini introduced the skew-normal distribution by introducing an extra parameter to the normal distribution to induce more flexibility into the normal distribution [1]. Mudholkar and Srivastava proposed a method that introduced an extra parameter to a two-parameter Weibull distribution and called it exponentiated Weibull model which has two shape parameters and one scale parameter [2]. Marshall and Olkin introduced another method that adds a parameter to any distribution function; two special cases were considered namely when X follows exponential or Weibull distribution and derived many properties of this proposed model [3]. The well-known generators are the following: the beta-G family of distribution which was developed and studied by Eugene et al., Cordeiro and de Castro developed the Kumaraswamy-G family of distribution, Nofal et al. developed the generalized transmuted-G family of distribution, transmuted exponentiated generalized-G family of distribution was proposed and studied by Yousof et al., transmuted geometric-G family of distribution was developed and studied by Afify et al., Kumaraswamy transmuted-G family of distribution was studied by Afify et al. [4-8]. Alizadeh et

al. developed the generalized odd generalized exponential family of distribution, exponentiated Weibull-H family of distribution was proposed and developed by Cordeiro et al., exponentiated generalized-G Poisson family of distribution was developed and studied by Aryal and Yousof, Alizadeh et al. proposed and studied transmuted Weibull-G family of distribution, Marshall-Olkin generalized-G Poisson family of distribution was developed and studied by Korkmaz et al. [9-13]. Oluyede, et al. introduced the gamma Weibull-G family of distributions by combining the gamma generator with the Weibull-G family of distributions which was defined by Bourguignon et al. and odd Lomax-G family of distribution was studied by Cordeiro et al. [14-16].

Motivated by the advantages offered by a generalized distribution which makes it more relevant in modeling lifetime data that are non-monotonic exhibiting different shapes of the hazard function ranges from increasing, decreasing, and bathtub shapes, as well as the versatility of compounding Inverted Weibull and Poisson distribution in modeling real-life data, we study a new generalization called the Inverted Weibull Poisson (IWP) distribution which possesses these properties.

We are also motivated to study the IWP distribution because of its simplicity and extensive usage of IW distribution in modeling lifetime events. Also, the current generalization provides a wider application even to complex situations that involve different shapes of the hazard function.

The Model, Sub-Models, and Properties of the Inverted Weibull Poisson (IWP) Distribution

The probability density function (PDF) and the associated distribution function (CDF) of the two-parameter inverse Weibull (IW) distribution is given by

$$g(x; \omega) = \omega x^{-\omega-1} e^{-x^{-\omega-1}}, \quad x > 0, \omega > 0 \quad (1)$$

and

$$G(x; \omega) = e^{-x^{-\omega}}, \quad x > 0, \omega > 0 \quad (2)$$

Where ω is a positive shape parameter ($\omega > 0$), respectively. Keller et al. used the IW distribution to study the wear and tear phenomena of some mechanical components such as pistons, and crankshaft, of diesel engines [17]. In addition, the IW model has many important applications in useful life, wear-out periods, Insurance, reliability engineering, service records, and life testing, see Khan and King [18].

Several generalizations of the Inverted Weibull distribution have been proposed and studied, see, for example, transmuted inverted Weibull, exponentiated transmuted Inverted Weibull, Weibull Inverted weibull, Alpha Power extended inverted weibull, modified Extended Inverted Weibull distributions, respectively, by Ogunde et al. [19-23]. Suppose that X has the Inverted Weibull distribution where its PDF and CDF are given in (1) and (2) respectively. Given N , let X_1, \dots, X_N be independent and identically distributed random variables from *APEIW* distribution. Let N be distributed according to the zero truncated Poisson distribution with pdf

$$P(N=n) = \frac{\lambda^n e^{-\lambda}}{n!(1-e^{-\lambda})}, \quad n=1,2,3,\dots, \lambda > 0$$

Let $X = \max(Z_1, \dots, Z_N)$, then the CDF of $X/N=n$ is given by

$$F_{X/N=n}(x) = (e^{-x^{-\omega}} - 1)^n,$$

Which is the exponentiated Inverted Weibull distribution. The Inverted Weibull Poisson distribution is the marginal CDF of X , given by

$$F(x; \omega, \lambda) = \frac{1 - \exp[-\lambda(e^{-x^{-\omega}})]}{e^{-\lambda} - 1}, \quad x > 0; \lambda, \omega > 0 \quad (3)$$

Where ω , and λ are positive shape parameters respectively. The corresponding IWP density function is given by

$$f(x) = \frac{\omega \lambda x^{-\omega-1} e^{-x^{-\omega}} \exp[-\lambda e^{-x^{-\omega}}]}{(e^{-\lambda} - 1)} \quad x > 0; \lambda, \omega > 0 \quad (4)$$

Where ω , and λ are positive shape parameters respectively. The graph of the CDF and PDF are respectively drawn below in figure (1) for various values of the parameters of IWP distribution.




Figure 1: The Graph of the CDF and the PDF of the *IWP* Distribution

The survival function “ $S(x)$ ” is obtained by using the relation,

$$S(x) = 1 - F(x) \quad (5)$$

$$= 1 - \frac{1 - \exp[-\lambda(e^{-x^{-\omega}})]}{e^{-\lambda} - 1}$$

And the hazard function is given as

$$h(x) = \frac{f(x)}{S(x)} \quad (6)$$

$$= \frac{\omega \lambda x^{-\omega-1} e^{-x^{-\omega}} \exp[-\lambda e^{-x^{-\omega}}]}{(e^{-\lambda} - 1) \left(1 - \frac{1 - \exp[-\lambda(e^{-x^{-\omega}})]}{e^{-\lambda} - 1} \right)}$$

Figures 2 is the graph of the survival and the hazard function of *IWP* distribution for various values of the parameters. The graph shows that the hazard function of *IWP* model exhibits the non-monotone failure rate or upside-down bathtub failure rate for the values of the parameters considered.




Figure 2: The Graph of the Reliability and Hazard Function of *IWP* Distribution

Quantile Function

Quantile function can be defined as an inverse of the distribution function. Consider the relation

$$F(X) = U \Rightarrow X = F^{-1}(U)$$

Where U follows standard Uniform distribution. The u^{th} quantile of *IWP* distribution is given by

$$X_u = \left[\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \log \{1 - u(e^{-\lambda} - 1)\} \right) \right]^{-1/\omega} \quad (7)$$

The lower quartile, mean, and the upper quartile *IWP* distribution can be obtained from (7) by setting the value of u to be 0.25, 0.5, and 0.75 respectively. An expression for the lower quartile, median, and upper quartile is given as

$$X_{0.25} = \left[\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \log \{1-0.25(e^{-\lambda}-1)\} \right) \right]^{-1/\omega} \quad (8)$$

$$X_{0.5} = \left[\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \log \{1-0.5(e^{-\lambda}-1)\} \right) \right]^{-1/\omega} \quad (9)$$

and

$$X_{0.75} = \left[\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \log \{1-0.75(e^{-\lambda}-1)\} \right) \right]^{-1/\omega} \quad (10)$$

Random Numbers Generation

Random numbers can be generated for the *IWP* (λ, ω) distribution, for this let, simulating values of random variable X with the CDF given in (3) and “u” denote a uniform random variable in (0, 1), then the simulated values of X are obtained by as,

$$X = \left[\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \log \{1-u(e^{-\lambda}-1)\} \right) \right]^{-1/\omega} \quad (11)$$

Mixture Representation for the Density Function

The mixture representation of the density function is a very useful tool used in deriving the statistical properties of generalized distribution. In this section, the mixture representation of the *IWP* density function is obtained. Using the following series representation:

$$e^y = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^r}{r!} \quad (12)$$

We derive

$$f(x) = \frac{\omega \lambda}{(e^{-\lambda}-1)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^i}{i!} x^{i-\omega-1} e^{-(i+1)x^{-\omega}} \quad (13)$$

The above expression is a density of inverted Weibull distribution with scale parameter $(1+i)$ and shape parameter ω

Ordinary and Incomplete Moment

The ordinary moments of distribution play a very important role in statistical applications. The r^{th} moment of a random variable X can be obtained using

$$E(X^r) = \mu_r^* = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^r f(x) dx \quad (14)$$

Putting (20) in (21), we have

$$\mu_r^* = \frac{\omega \lambda}{(e^{-\lambda}-1)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^i}{i!} f^* \quad (15)$$

where

$$f^* = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{r-\omega-1} e^{-(1+k)x^{-\omega}} dx \quad (16)$$

By letting $z = (1+k)x^{-\omega}$, $x = z^{-\frac{1}{\omega}}((1+k))^{\frac{1}{\omega}}$ and putting it in (16), we have

$$f^* = \frac{1}{\omega} ((1+k))^{\frac{r}{\omega}} \Gamma(1-r/\omega)$$

Finally r^{th} moment of *IWP* distribution is given by

$$\mu_r^* = \frac{\lambda}{(e^{-\lambda}-1)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^i}{i!} ((1+k))^{\frac{r}{\omega}} \Gamma(1-r/\omega) \quad (17)$$

$r < \omega$. For $r=1, 2, \dots$, $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function. By taking $r=1$, we obtain the mean of X that is, $\mu_1^* = \mu$. The variance of X obtained by $\sigma^2 = E[(X-\mu)^2] = \mu_2^* - \mu_1^* \mu$. Also, we can determine the r^{th} central moment and r^{th} cumulant of X respectively defined by

$$\mu_r = E[(X-\mu)^r] = \sum_{m=0}^r \binom{r}{m} \mu_{r-m}^* (-1)^m \mu^m, \quad k_r = \mu_r^* - \sum_{m=1}^{r-1} \binom{r-1}{m-1} k_m \mu_{r-m}^*$$

Taking $k=\mu$, several measures of skewness and kurtosis based on the central moments (or cumulants) can be obtained.

Subsequently, an expression for an Incomplete moment is given by

$$\varphi_r(t) = \int_0^t x^r f(x) dx \quad (18)$$

Putting (20) in (24), we have

$$\varphi_r(t) = \frac{\omega \lambda}{(e^{-\lambda}-1)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^i}{i!} f^*$$

where

$$f^* = \int_0^t x^{r-\omega-1} e^{-(1+k)x^{-\omega}} dx \quad (19)$$

Also, by letting $z = (1+k)x^{-\omega}$, $x = z^{-\frac{1}{\omega}}((1+k))^{\frac{1}{\omega}}$ and putting it in (19), we have

$$f^* = \frac{1}{\omega} ((1+k))^{\frac{r}{\omega}} \Gamma(1-r/\omega, (1+k)t^{\omega})$$

Finally the r^{th} incomplete moment of *IWP* distribution is given by

$$\varphi_r(t) = \frac{\lambda}{(e^{-\lambda}-1)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^i}{i!} ((1+k))^{\frac{r}{\omega}} \Gamma(1-r/\omega, (1+k)t^{\omega}) \quad (20)$$

Where $M_{ij,k}^{\lambda}$ is defined in (20), $\Gamma(m,n) = \int_n^{\infty} v^{m-1} e^{-v} dv$

is the complementary incomplete gamma function.. the first incomplete moment of *IWP* distribution is given as

$$\varphi_1(t) = \frac{\lambda}{(e^{-\lambda}-1)} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda)^i}{i!} ((1+k))^{\frac{1}{\omega}} \Gamma(1-1/\omega, (1+k)t^{\omega}) \quad (21)$$

The mean deviation, $\gamma_1(x)$ and median deviation, $\gamma_2(x)$ can be obtained by using the relation, $\gamma_1(x) = 2\mu F(\mu) - 2\gamma_1(\mu)$ and $\gamma_2(x) = \mu - 2\gamma_1(M)$. Where $\mu = E(X)$ and M is the median of the *IWP* random variable. Both the $\gamma_1(\mu)$ and $\gamma_1(M)$ are calculated from the first incomplete moment as given in (21)

Inequality Measures

Inequality measures can be applied in biomedical sciences, product quality control economics, insurance and demography, and many more. Here we consider the following inequality measures:

Mean Residual Life (MRL): Residual life is defined as the expected additional life length for a unit that is alive at age t , and it is represented mathematically by $m_x(t)=E(X-t|X>t)$, $t>0$.

The *MRL* of X can be obtained by using the formula:

$$m_x(t)=\frac{[1-\varphi_1(t)]}{S(t)}-t, \quad (22)$$

Where $S(t)$ is the survival function of X and $\varphi_1(t)$ as given in (21). Then we have

$$m_x(t)=\frac{1}{S(t)}\left(\frac{\lambda}{(\sigma^{\lambda}-1)}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-\lambda)^i}{i!}((1+k)^{\frac{1}{\omega}}\Gamma(1-\frac{1}{\omega},(1+k)t^{\omega})\right)-t, \quad (23)$$

The mean inactivity time (*MIT*) (mean waiting time) is defined by

$M_x(t)=E(tX|X\leq t)$, $t>0$, and it can be obtained by the formula:

$$M_x(t)=t\left[\frac{\varphi_1(t)}{F(t)}\right] \quad (24)$$

Also putting (27) in (30), we obtain an expression for *MIT* for *APEIWP* distribution as

$$M_x(t)=t\left[\frac{\frac{\lambda}{(\sigma^{\lambda}-1)}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-\lambda)^i}{i!}((1+k)^{\frac{1}{\omega}}\Gamma(1-\frac{1}{\omega},(1+k)t^{\omega})}{F(t)}\right] \quad (25)$$

Bonferroni and Lorenz Curves

The Bonferroni and Lorenz curve of *IWP* distribution are respectively given by

$$B_F=\frac{1}{\mu F(t)}\int_0^t x^r f(x) dx \quad (26)$$

Since,

$$\int_0^t x^r f(x) dx=\frac{\lambda}{(\sigma^{\lambda}-1)}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-\lambda)^i}{i!}((1+k)^{\frac{1}{\omega}}\Gamma(1-\frac{1}{\omega},(1+k)t^{\omega}) \quad (27)$$

therefore

$$B_F(t)=\frac{1}{\mu F(t)}\frac{\lambda}{(\sigma^{\lambda}-1)}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-\lambda)^i}{i!}((1+k)^{\frac{1}{\omega}}\Gamma(1-\frac{1}{\omega},(1+k)t^{\omega}) \quad (27)$$

And the Lorenz curve

$$L_F(t)=\frac{1}{\mu}\int_0^t x^r f(x) dx \quad (28)$$

$$=\frac{1}{\mu(\sigma^{\lambda}-1)}\sum_{i,j,k}^{\infty}\frac{(-\lambda)^i}{i!}((1+k)\eta)^{\frac{1}{\omega}}\Gamma(1-\frac{1}{\omega},(1+k)t^{\omega})$$

Stress-Strength Parameter

Suppose X_1 and X_2 be two continuous and independent random variables where $X_1 \sim \text{IWP}(\lambda_1, \omega)$ and $X_2 \sim \text{IWP}(\lambda_2, \omega)$, then the stress-strength parameter, say, S , is defined as

$$S=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1(x)F_2(x)dx \quad (29)$$

Using the CDF and the PDF of *IWP* in (35), the stress-strength parameters can be obtained as

$$S=\frac{F_2(x)}{e^{-\lambda_1-1}}\cdot\frac{1}{e^{-(\lambda_2+\lambda_1+2)}}\sum_{i,p,q}^{\infty}(-1)^{i+1}\frac{i^q}{i!p!q!}\left(\frac{1}{(q+1)}\right) \quad (30)$$

Entropy

The Renyi entropy of *IWP* distribution can be obtained using a formula suggested by Renyi (1961) as

$$R_v=\frac{1}{v-1}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^v(x) dx \quad (31)$$

Inserting (4) in (31), we have

$$R_v=\frac{1}{v-1}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{\omega\lambda x^{\omega-1}e^{-x^{\omega}}\exp[-\lambda e^{-\eta x^{\omega}}]}{(\sigma^{\lambda}-1)}\right\}^v dx \quad (32)$$

Using Taylor series expansion in (12), we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^v(x) dx=\frac{\omega^{v-1}\lambda^v}{(\sigma^{\lambda}-1)^v}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\nu\lambda)^i(-1)^i}{i!}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{\nu(\omega+1)}e^{(\nu+\lambda)x^{\omega}} dx$$

By letting the value of $z=(\nu+\lambda)x^{\omega}$, $x=z^{1/\omega}((\nu+\lambda))^{1/\omega}$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^v(x) dx=\frac{\omega^{v-1}\lambda^v}{(\sigma^{\lambda}-1)^v}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\nu\lambda)^i(-1)^i}{i!}G_{\omega}^* \quad (32)$$

Where,

$$G_{\omega}^*=((\nu+\lambda))^{\frac{1-\nu(\omega+1)}{\omega}}\Gamma\left\{1+\frac{(\omega+1)(\nu-1)}{\omega}\right\}$$

Finally, the Renyi entropy of *IWP* distribution is given by

$$R_v=\frac{1}{v-1}\frac{\omega^{v-1}\lambda^v}{(\sigma^{\lambda}-1)^v}\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\nu\lambda)^i(-1)^{i+j}}{i!}\binom{i}{j}G_{\omega}^* \quad (33)$$

Order Statistics

Suppose a random sample is drawn from the *IWP* (ω, λ) denoted by X of size m have the following order statistics denoted by $X_{1:n} < X_{2:n} < \dots < X_{r:n}$. Then, the PDF of the r^{th} order statistics is given by

$$f_{r:n}(x)=\frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!}F^{r-1}(x)[1-F(x)]^{n-r}f(x) \quad (34)$$

Using the series expansion (12) in (34), we have

$$f_{r:n}(x)=\frac{n!}{(r-1)!(n-r)!}\sum_{j=0}^{n-r}(-1)^j\binom{n-r}{j}F^{j+r-1}(x)f(x) \quad (35)$$

Considering, $F^{j+r-1}(x)f(x)$ and further applying the Taylor series, we have

$$F^{j+r-1}(x)f(x) = \frac{\omega\lambda}{(e^{-\lambda}-1)^{j+r}} x^{-\omega-1} \sum_{l,m,p,q=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{l+m+p}}{m!q!(\omega-1)^{m+1}} (-\lambda(l+1))^m \binom{m}{p} \times \binom{j+r-1}{l} (p+1)^q e^{-(q+1)x^{-\omega}} \quad (36)$$

Finally the r^{th} order statistics of *IWP* distribution is given by

$$f_{r:n}(x) = \frac{n!\omega\lambda}{(r-1)!(n-r)!} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l,m,p,q=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+l+m+p}}{m!q!(e^{-\lambda}-1)^{j+r}} (-\lambda(l+1))^m \binom{n-r}{j} \times \binom{m}{p} \binom{j+r-1}{l} (p+1)^q e^{-(q+1)x^{-\omega}} \quad (37)$$

Maximum Likelihood Estimation

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be a random sample drawn from *IWP* (λ, ω) then the likelihood function is given by

$$L(\underline{x}, \lambda, \omega) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\omega\lambda x^{-\omega-1} e^{-x^{-\omega}} \exp[-\lambda(e^{-\eta x^{-\omega}})]}{(e^{-\lambda}-1)}, \quad (38)$$

Then, taking $z^{x_i} = e^{-\eta x^{-\omega}}$ the loglikelihood function ($\log L = l$) is given by

$$l = n \log \left(\frac{\omega\lambda}{(e^{-\lambda}-1)} \right) - (\omega-1) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \sum_{i=1}^n x^{-\omega} - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n e^{-x^{-\omega}} \quad (39)$$

We differentiate (39) with respect λ and ω , to obtain the element of the score vector $\left(V_{\lambda} = \frac{\partial l}{\partial \lambda}, V_{\omega} = \frac{\partial l}{\partial \omega} \right)^T$. The elements of the score vector are given by

$$V_{\lambda} = \frac{n}{\lambda} - \frac{ne^{-\lambda}}{e^{-\lambda}-1} - \sum_{i=1}^n e^{-x^{-\omega}}, \quad (40)$$

and

$$V_{\omega} = \frac{n}{\omega} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{-\omega} \log(\omega) - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{-\omega} e^{-x^{-\omega}} - \eta \log(\omega) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{-\omega} \log(x) e^{-x^{-\omega}}. \quad (41)$$

By setting the non-linear system of equations $V_{\lambda}=V_{\omega}=0$ and obtaining a feasible solution by solving the simultaneously, the MLE of the parameters of the *IWP* model are obtained. However, these equations cannot be solved analytically, statistical software can be employed to solve them numerically by using iterative methods such as Newton-Raphson algorithms. To carry out interval estimation of the model parameters, we require the observed information matrix

$$H(\hat{\xi}) = \begin{bmatrix} V_{\lambda\lambda} & V_{\lambda\omega} \\ V_{\omega\lambda} & V_{\omega\omega} \end{bmatrix}$$

Under certain standard regularity conditions as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the distribution of $\hat{\xi}$ can be approximated by a multivariate normal $N_4(0, H(\hat{\xi})^{-1})$ distribution to construct approximate confidence intervals for the parameters. Here, $H(\hat{\xi})$ represent the total observed information matrix calculated at $\hat{\xi}$

Asymptotic (1-p)100% confidence intervals for parameters can be obtained as

$$\hat{\lambda} \pm Z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\Sigma_{11}}, \quad \hat{\omega} \pm Z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\Sigma_{22}}$$

Least Square Method (LSE)

Let x_1, \dots, x_n be a random sample from *IWP* distribution with parameters λ , and ω . By considering the corresponding order statistics $X_{1:n}, \dots, X_{n:n}$, taking $E[F(X_{i:n})] = \frac{i}{n+1}$. The least square estimates can be obtained by minimizing the following expression

$$L^s(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^n [F(x_i) - E[F(x_i)]]^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[F(x_i) - \frac{i}{n+1} \right]^2$$

Minimizing $L^s(\xi)$ with respect to λ , and ω , we have the following system of non-linear equations:

$$\frac{\partial L^s(\xi)}{\partial \lambda} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left[F(x_i) - \frac{i}{n+1} \right] F'(x_i)_{\lambda} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L^s(\xi)}{\partial \omega} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left[F(x_i) - \frac{i}{n+1} \right] F'(x_i)_{\omega} = 0$$

Where $F'(x_i)_{\lambda} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda} F(x_i)$ and $F'(x_i)_{\omega} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \omega} F(x_i)$. These equations can be solved numerically by any software to obtain the estimates $\hat{\lambda}_{LSE}$, and $\hat{\omega}_{LSE}$

Weighted Least Square (WLS)

Let x_1, \dots, x_n be a random sample from *IWP* distribution with parameters α, λ, η , and ω . The likelihood function for a weighted least square estimate is given by

$$W(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(n+1)^2(n+2)}{i(n-i+1)} \left[F(x_i) - \frac{i}{n+1} \right]^2$$

Minimizing $W(\xi)$ with respect to λ , and, we have the following system of non-linear equations:

$$\frac{\partial W(\xi)}{\partial \lambda} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(n+1)^2(n+2)}{i(n-i+1)} \left[F(x_i) - \frac{i}{n+1} \right] F'(x_i)_{\lambda} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial W(\xi)}{\partial \omega} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(n+1)^2(n+2)}{i(n-i+1)} \left[F(x_i) - \frac{i}{n+1} \right] F'(x_i)_{\omega} = 0$$

This system of non-linear equations can be solved numerically by any software to obtain the estimates $\hat{\lambda}_{LSE}$, and $\hat{\omega}_{LSE}$

Cramer Von Mises (CVM)

Cramer von Mises is a type of minimum distance estimators. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be a random sample from APEIWP distribution with parameters λ , and ω . The likelihood function for Cramer von Mises estimate is given by

$$C(\xi) = \frac{1}{12n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left[F(x_i) - \frac{2i-1}{2n} \right]^2$$

Minimizing $C(\xi)$ with respect to λ , and ω , we have the following system of non-linear equations:

$$\frac{\partial C(\xi)}{\partial \lambda} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left[F(x_i) - \frac{2i-1}{2n} \right] F'(x_i)_{\lambda} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial C(\xi)}{\partial \omega} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left[F(x_i) - \frac{2i-1}{2n} \right] F'(x_i)_{\omega} = 0$$

These equations can be solved numerically by any software to obtain the estimates $\hat{\lambda}_{LSE}$, and $\hat{\omega}_{LSE}$

Practical Applications

In this subsection, we evaluate the performance of the IWP distributions with the other four competing models to two reliability data sets. The data sets are described as follows:

The data set (data set 1). The data set was presented by Murthy et al. on the failure times (in weeks) of 50 components [24]. The data set are: 0.013, 0.065, 0.111, 0.111, 0.163, 0.309, 0.426, 0.535, 0.684, 0.747, 0.997, 1.284, 1.304, 1.647, 1.829, 2.336, 2.838, 3.269, 3.977, 3.981, 4.520, 4.789, 4.849, 5.202, 5.291, 5.349, 5.911, 6.018, 6.427, 6.456, 6.572, 7.023, 7.087, 7.291, 7.787, 8.596, 9.388, 10.261, 10.713, 11.658, 13.006, 13.388, 13.842, 17.152, 17.283, 19.418, 23.471, 24.777, 32.795, 48.105.

The data set (data set 2). The data set is made up of failure time in hours of Kevlar 49/epoxy strands with pressure at 90% and was already studied by Andrews and Herzberg [25]. The data consists of 101 observations and the numbers are: 0.01, 0.01, 0.02, 0.02, 0.03, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05, 0.06, 0.07, 0.07, 0.08, 0.09, 0.09, 0.10, 0.10, 0.11, 0.11, 0.12, 0.13, 0.18, 0.19, 0.20, 0.23, 0.24, 0.24, 0.29, 0.34, 0.35, 0.36, 0.38, 0.40, 0.42, 0.43, 0.52, 0.54, 0.56, 0.60, 0.60, 0.63, 0.65, 0.67, 0.68, 0.72, 0.72, 0.72, 0.73, 0.79, 0.79, 0.80, 0.80, 0.83, 0.85, 0.90, 0.92, 0.95, 0.99, 1.00, 1.01, 1.02, 1.03, 1.05, 1.10, 1.10, 1.11, 1.15, 1.18, 1.20, 1.29, 1.31, 1.33, 1.34, 1.40, 1.43, 1.45, 1.45, 1.50, 1.51, 1.52, 1.53, 1.54, 1.54, 1.55, 1.55, 1.58, 1.60, 1.63, 1.64, 1.80, 1.80, 1.81, 2.02, 2.05, 2.14, 2.17, 2.33, 3.03, 3.03, 3.34, 4.20, 4.69, 7.89.

The descriptive statistics of the two data sets are given in Table 1 and the graph of Total Time on Test plot is given in figure 3 and Boxplot in figure 4. From this table, it can be observed that the two data sets are over-dispersed, leptokurtic, and positively skewed. Also, from figure 5, it can be observed that data 1 exhibits decreasing failure rate and data 2 exhibit a non-monotone failure rate

Table 1: Exploratory Data Analysis of Failure Data

Descriptive statistics	Data 1	Data 2
Sample size	50	101
Mean	7.82	1.03
Lower quartile	1.39	0.24
Upper quartile	10.04	1.45
Median	5.32	0.80
Variance	84.75	1.25
Kurtosis	7.23	14.41
Skewness	2.38	3.05




Figure 3: TTT Plot for the Two Failure Data




Figure 4: Box Plot for the Two Failure Data

The ML estimates along with their standard error (SE) and the confidence interval in a curly bracket of the model parameters are provided in Tables 2 and 3. In the same tables, the analytical measures including; minus 2*log-likelihood (-2log L), Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), Bayesian information criterion (BIC), and Kolmogorov Smirnov (KS) test statistic are obtained for the model considered. The fit of the proposed *IWP* distribution is compared with its sub model Inverted Weibull distribution

Table 2: Analytical Results of the IWP Model and Other Competing Models for Kevlar 45/Epoxy Data

Model	ω	λ	-2I	AIC	BIC	K
IWP	-0.7841 (0.5275)	0.5134 (0.0473)	335.66	339.66	343.48	0.1960
IW	0.4893 (0.0452)	- (-)	337.90	339.90	341.81	0.2412

Table 3: Analytical Results of the IWP Model and Other Competing Models for Failure Time of Components

Model	λ	ω	-2I	AIC	BIC	K
IWP	2.7524 (0.4095)	0.4474 (0.0245)	262.53	266.53	271.76	0.2038
IW	0.4365 (0.0254)	- (-)	316.06	318.06	320.68	0.4406

Based on Tables 2 and 3, it is evident that *IWP* model provides the best fit than its sub-model, and can therefore be taken as the best model based on the data considered.

Conclusion

In this work, we study the inverted Weibull Poisson distribution. Some structural properties of the *IWP* distribution are derived such as ordinary and incomplete moments, Renyi entropy, order statistics, mean residual life, mean inactivity time, Bonferroni and Lorenz curves, and stress strength reliability. Estimation of the population parameters is carried out by using the maximum likelihood estimation method. We recommend that further studies should be carried out by using different estimations techniques such as the Weighted Least Square method, Minimum spacing method, and Bayesian method, etc., and compare the performance of the estimation techniques [26-34].

References

1. Azzalini A (1985) A class of distributions that includes the normal ones. Scandinavian journal of statistics. pages 171-178.
2. Mudholkar GS, Srivastava DK (1993) Exponentiated Weibull family for analyzing bathtub failure-rate data. IEEE Transactions on Reliability 42: 299-302.
3. Marshall AW, Olkin I (1997) A new method for adding a parameter to a family of distributions with application to the

- exponential and Weibull families. *image.png* 84 :641-652.
- 4. Eugene N, Lee C, Famoye F (2002) Beta-normal distribution and its applications. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and methods* 31: 497-512.
 - 5. Cordeiro GM, de Castro M (2011) A new family of generalized distributions. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation* 81: 883-898.
 - 6. Yousof HM, Afify AZ, Alizadeh M, Butt NS, Hamedani GG, Ali MM (2015) The transmuted exponentiated generalized-G family of distributions. *Pakistan Journal Statistics and Operation Research* 11: 441-464.
 - 7. Afify AZ, Alizadeh M, Yousof HM, Aryal G, Ahmad M (2016) The transmuted geometric-G family of distributions: theory and applications. *Pakistan Journal of Statistics* 32: 139-160.
 - 8. Afify AZ, Cordeiro GM, Yousof HM, Alzaatreh A, Nofal ZM (2016b) The umaraswamy transmuted-G family of distributions: properties and applications. *Journal of Data Science* 14: 245-270.
 - 9. Alizadeh M, Ghosh I, Yousof HM, Rasekh M, Hamedani GG (2017) The generalized odd generalized exponential family of distributions: properties, characterizations, and applications. *Journal of Data Science* 15: 443- 466.
 - 10. Cordeiro GM, Afify AZ, Yousof HM, Pescim RR, Aryal GR (2017) The exponentiated Weibull family of distributions: theory and applications. *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics* 14: 1-22.
 - 11. Aryal GR, Yousof, HM (2017) The exponentiated generalized-G Poisson family of distributions. *Economic Quality Control* 32: 1-17.
 - 12. Alizadeh M, Rasekh M, Yousof HM, Hamedani GG (2018) The transmuted Weibull G family of distributions. *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics* 47: 1-20.
 - 13. Korkmaz MC, Yousof HM, Hamedani GG, Ali MM (2018) The Marshall–Olkin generalized-G Poisson family of distributions, *Pakistan Journal of Statistics* 34: 251-267.
 - 14. Oluyede BO, Pu S, Makubate B, Qiu Y (2018) The Gamma Weibull-G Family of Distributions with Applications. *Austrian Journal of Statistics* 47: 45-76.
 - 15. Bourguignon M, Silva RS, Cordeiro GM (2014) The Weibull-G Family of Distributions. *Journal of Data Science* 12: 53-68.
 - 16. Cordeiro GM, Afify AZ, Ortega EMM, Suzuki AK, Mead ME (2019) The odd Lomax generator of distributions: properties, estimation, and applications. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 347: 222-237.
 - 17. Keller AZ, Kamath ARR, Perera UD (1982) Reliability analysis of C N C machine tools. *Reliability Engineering* 3449-473.
 - 18. Khan MS, King R (2012) Modified inverse Weibull distribution. *Journal of Statistics Applications and Probability* 1: 115-132.
 - 19. Ogunde AA, Fatoki O, Osegahale OI (2017a) On the application of transmuted inverted Weibull distribution, *Global J. Sci. Fronttier Research* 17 Version 1.0.
 - 20. Ogunde AA, Omosigho DO, Fatoki O (2017b) On Statistical Properties of the Exponentiated Transmuted Inverted Weibull Distribution. *Journal of Statistics: Advances in Theory and Applications* 18: 143-164.
 - 21. Ogunde AA, Fatoki O, Omosigho DO, Ajayi B (2018) On Statistical Properties of the Weibull Inverted Weibull Distribution. Edited proceeding of the Second International conference of the Nigerian Statistical Society 2: 263-267.
 - 22. Ogunde AA, Fayose ST, Ajayi B, Omosigho DO (2020) Properties, Inference, and Applications of Alpha Power Extended Inverted Weibull Distribution. *International Journal of Statistics and Probability* 9: 6.
 - 23. Ogunde AA, Osegahale OI, Olayode F, Laoye VE (2022) Modified Extended Inverted Weibull Distribution with Application to Neck Cancer Data. *Journal of Mathematics Research* 14: 2.
 - 24. Murthy DNP, Xi M, Jiangs R (2004) Weibull model. Wiley, Hoboken.
 - 25. Andrews DF, Herzberg AM (2012) Data: a collection of problems from many fields for the student and research worker. Springer Science and Business Media.
 - 26. Aryal G, Elbatal I (2015) Kumaraswamy modified inverse Weibull distribution: Theory and application. *Applied Mathematics and Information Sciences* 9: 651-660.
 - 27. Dey S, Alzaatreh A, Zhang C, Kumar D (2017a) A New Extension of Generalized Exponential Distribution with Application to Ozone Data. *Science and Engineering* 273-285.
 - 28. Dey S, Ghosh I, Kumar D (2019) Alpha-power transformed Lindley distribution: properties and associated inference with application to earthquake data. *Annals of Data Science* 6: 623-650.
 - 29. Dey S, Nassar M, Kumar D (2018) Alpha power transformed inverse Lindley distribution: A distribution with an upside-down bathtub-shaped hazard function. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 348: 130-145.
 - 30. Dey S, Sharma VK, Mesfioui M (2017b) A New Extension of Weibull Distribution with Application to Lifetime Data. *Annals of Data. Science* 4: 31-61.
 - 31. Mahdavi A, Kundu DA (2017) A new method for generating distributions with an application to the exponential distribution. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods* 46: 6543-6557.
 - 32. Moors JJA (1998) A quantile alternative for kurtosis. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Ser D, The Statistician* 37: 25-32.
 - 33. Nassar M, Alzaatreh A, Mead M, Abo-Kasem O (2017) Alpha power Weibull distribution: Properties and applications. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods* 46: 10236-10252.
 - 34. Oluyede BO, Yang T (2014) Generalizations of the inverse Weibull and related distributions with applications, *Electronic Journal of Applied Statistical Analysis* 7: 94-116.

Copyright: ©2025 Adeleye NA. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.