ISSN: 2755-0109

Journal of Media & Management

SCIENTIFIC Research and Community

Review Article

Open Access

Study on the Economic Valuation of Water Resources and the Allocation of Rights and Interests in Water Resources

Lei Wen

Shaanxi University of Science and Technology, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China

ABSTRACT

With the increasing scarcity and uneven distribution of water resources around the world, scientific assessment and rational allocation of water resources have become urgent tasks in today's society. This study focuses on the assessment of the economic value of water resources and the allocation of water resources' rights and interests, aiming to explore how to accurately assess the economic value of water resources and how to realize a reasonable and fair allocation of resources' rights and interests among different stakeholders. The importance of water resources and their values in economic, ecological and social aspects are analyzed from the background of global water resources issues. Reveal the multidimensional value of water resources by integrating market and non-market valuation methods. The study further examines the existing equity allocation mechanisms, analyzes their strengths and weaknesses, and points out that the traditional mechanisms may lead to over-exploitation of resources and imbalance of equity. The systematic theoretical discussions and practical case studies provide new perspectives and methods for water resources management and policy making.

***Corresponding author**

Wen Lei, Shaanxi University of Science and Technology, Xi'an, Shaanxi, Tel: +86 13142098087, China.

Received: September 08, 2023; **Accepted:** September 12, 2023, **Published:** September 18, 2023

Keywords: Water Resources, Economic Value, Equity Allocation, Scientific Assessment

Introduction

In today's world, the scarcity and imbalanced distribution of water resources have evolved into an urgent global challenge. As a cornerstone for the survival and prosperity of human society, water resources play a crucial role not only in supporting economic activities, but also in maintaining ecological balance, social stability and human health [1]. Water is the foundation of all areas of human society. Water is a basic need in all areas of human society, including agriculture, industry, urban life, environmental protection, etc. Therefore, the sustainable utilization and equitable distribution of water resources have a bearing on everyone's life and future.

However, with the growing global population, rapid urbanization, and the impact of climate change, the contradiction between the demand and supply of water resources is becoming more and more prominent [2]. This contradiction is not only reflected in the severe water shortages in some regions, but also in the fact that the demand for water is increasing. This contradiction is not only reflected in the serious shortage of water resources in some regions, but also in the deterioration of the ecological environment due to the over-exploitation of water resources in some regions. Therefore, how to scientifically assess the value of water resources and how to realize rational allocation under the conditions of limited resources have become important issues that need to be solved on a global scale.

In China, the Pearl River Basin, as a strategically important water resources carrying area, provides abundant water resources to the neighboring regions, supporting a wide range of agricultural irrigation, industrial production, urban water supply and many other uses. However, the rational utilization and equitable distribution of water resources in the Pearl River Basin faces a series of complex challenges. On the one hand, the distribution of water resources among different regions and sectors is becoming more and more obvious, which may lead to unbalanced development of resources and deterioration of the ecological environment. On the other hand, there are still uncertainties in the methods of economic valuation of water resources in both market and non-market sectors, resulting in different perceptions and views of the value of water resources among different stakeholders [3].

Based on these realities and challenges, this study focuses on the assessment of the economic value of water resources and the allocation of water resources rights and interests, and seeks to explore how to accurately assess the economic value of water resources and how to realize a reasonable and fair allocation of resources rights and interests among different stakeholders. By selecting the Pearl River Basin as a typical case for in-depth study, we intend to provide new ideas and methods for water resources management and policy making in similar regions. By finding feasible solutions in real cases, we hope to provide useful insights for sustainable development and management of water resources.

Theoretical Basis and Literature Review

Theories of water resource valuation and equity allocation provide a framework and methodology for a deeper understanding of the multidimensional value of water resources and the relationships between diverse stakeholders [4]. Theories of water resource valuation and equity allocation provide a framework and methodology for understanding the multidimensional value

of water resources and the relationships between diverse stakeholders. Through the comprehensive application and comparison of different theories and models, we can better solve the water resource management challenges in practice, and provide theoretical guidance and practical support for realizing the sustainable use and equitable distribution of water resources.

Theory of Water Resource Valuation

The valuation of water resources is high on the sustainable development agenda in today's world. Understanding the economic, social and environmental values of water resources is the basis for developing effective water management strategies. In examining the theory of water resources valuation, we must consider the concepts of market and non-market values in order to fully reveal the multiple values of water resources.

(1) Market value-based assessment method

Market value is a widely used method of assessing value, and by looking at the price and volume of water resources traded in the marketplace, we can get a sense of the direct value of water resources in the economy. However, there are a number of problems with using market prices directly as a measure of the value of water resources. First, many water resources are not traded on the market, such as natural lakes and wetlands, which makes it difficult for market values to accurately reflect the actual value of these resources. Second, market prices often do not reflect the value of water resources in terms of ecosystem maintenance, cultural heritage protection, and so on [5]. Secondly, market prices often do not reflect the value of water resources for ecosystem maintenance, cultural heritage protection, etc. Therefore, when assessing water resources based on market values, it is necessary to consider their limitations and how to combine market-traded data with non-market values to construct a more comprehensive assessment methodology.

(2) Non-market value assessment methods

With the increasing concern for ecological and social benefits, non-market value assessment methods have become an important research direction in the field of water resources management. Non-market valuation methods include the use-cost method, avoided-cost method, environmental valuation, and risk assessment. The cost-of-use approach assesses the value of water resources by analyzing what people are willing to pay to obtain them. The avoided cost approach, on the other hand, assesses the value of water resources by measuring what people are willing to pay to avoid water shortages or pollution. Environmental valuation and risk assessment methods, on the other hand, translate people's attitudes toward the environmental impacts and risks of water resources into economic values. However, non-market valuation methods also face challenges in their practical application, such as uncertainty in estimation methods, difficulties in obtaining data, and subjectivity in value measurement.

Theory and Modeling of Water Resource Entitlement Allocation

The rational allocation of water resources involves the coordination of rights and interests among different stakeholders, such as the government, social organizations, enterprises and farmers. In studying the theory and model of water resources rights and interests allocation, we need to pay attention to the participation of different stakeholders, the design of the rights and interests allocation model, as well as the socio-economic and political background therein.

(1) Stakeholder Theory

Stakeholder theory emphasizes participation, cooperation and shared decision-making in resource management. Water resources management involves a wide range of stakeholders, including government departments, civil society organizations, industry, rural residents and others. Different stakeholders have different needs, interests and objectives in resource utilization and allocation, and their views and needs must be taken into account when developing strategies for allocating rights and benefits [6]. By introducing mechanisms such as multi-party participation, information sharing and consultation, the stakeholder theory provides guidance for the establishment of a rational rights and interests allocation mechanism.

(2) Comparison of Equity Allocation Models

The choice of equity allocation model is related to the equity, efficiency and sustainability of the resource. In practice, different water resources equity allocation models have their advantages and limitations. Proportional allocation models emphasize fairness by allocating resources according to the proportion of equity of different stakeholders. The market transaction model emphasizes efficiency by introducing market mechanisms to allow the exchange of resources according to the needs and wishes of the parties. The public auction model, on the other hand, emphasizes market orientation by selling the right to allocate resources to the highest bidder through competitive bidding. The choice of different models depends on social, economic and political factors and requires comprehensive consideration of the interests of all parties and the overall efficiency of the system.

Economic Valuation of Water Resources

The economic valuation of water resources is an indispensable part of water resources management. By integrating market and non-market values, we can better understand the multidimensional value of water resources and provide scientific support for the rational allocation and sustainable use of resources. This not only contributes to the development of more scientific and operational water resources management policies, but also promotes a deeper understanding and protection of water resources in society.

Market Value Assessment Results

The market value approach is an important tool that reveals the direct value of water resources in economic activities by examining their prices and volumes in market transactions. In water resources management, market value reflects the relationship between supply and demand, the scarcity of the resource, and the influence of market mechanisms [7]. In water management, market values reflect supply and demand, resource scarcity, and the influence of market mechanisms. By analyzing the market prices of water resources in different regions and uses, we are able to speculate on the range and trend of their economic value.

However, the market value assessment method also has a number of limitations. First, many water resources are not traded on the market, such as natural lakes and wetlands, so market prices cannot accurately reflect the actual value of these resources. Second, market prices are often affected by government intervention and market imperfections, making it difficult to accurately reflect the scarcity of resources and the intensity of demand. Therefore, data need to be revised and adjusted to take these factors fully into account when conducting market value assessments.

Non-Market Value Assessment Results

Non-market valuation methods work to capture the economic, social and environmental value of water resources in non-market environments in order to more fully reveal their true value. These methods include use-cost methods, avoided-cost methods, environmental valuation, risk assessment, and others.

The cost-of-use approach assesses the value of water resources by measuring what people are willing to pay to access them. This may include the costs paid for the supply, purification, treatment, etc. of water. The avoided cost approach assesses the value of water resources by analyzing what people are willing to pay to avoid water shortages or pollution. This reflects the efforts people make to protect water resources. Environmental valuation and risk assessment methods, on the other hand, translate people's attitudes toward the environmental impacts and risks of water resources into economic values [8]. The environmental valuation and risk assessment approach translates people's attitudes to the environmental impacts and risks of water into economic values. By investigating people's attitudes, willingness and behavior, we can assess the non-market value of water resources in terms of ecological protection, health maintenance and cultural heritage.

However, non-market valuation methods also face a number of challenges. First, the value people place on water resources is often subjective and influenced by factors such as culture, education and socio-economic status. Second, data acquisition and estimation methods may trigger uncertainties that affect the accuracy of the assessment. Therefore, these limitations need to be taken into account when applying non-market value assessment methods, and appropriate data collection and processing strategies need to be adopted.

Integrated Value Assessment and Interpretation

Comprehensive valuation aims to combine market and non-market values to form a comprehensive valuation result that more accurately reveals the multidimensional value of water resources. Such an approach not only provides a scientific basis for the rational allocation of resources, but also helps to formulate comprehensive water resources management strategies.

In integrated value assessment, we need to consider the assessment results of market and non-market values together and analyze the relationship and weighting between them. The results of market value assessment can provide a direct reference for economic decision-making, such as the pricing of water resources and the design of market mechanisms. The results of non-market value assessment can reveal the value of water resources in ecological protection, social stability, cultural heritage, etc., and provide guidance to the government in formulating policies and planning.

Through integrated assessment, we can more accurately recognize the combined value of water resources and incorporate that value into water management decisions [9]. In the case of water resources, we can use non-market values as a weighting factor in resource allocation. For example, we can use non-market values as a weighting factor in resource allocation to protect ecosystem integrity and social equity. Integrated value assessment also provides a theoretical basis for consultation and consensus among different stakeholders, helping to resolve conflicts of interest due to resource scarcity.

Allocation of Water Resources Entitlements

The allocation of water resources is a complex issue that involves balancing the interests of multiple parties and the resource environment. By considering the interests and priorities of stakeholders, evaluating the advantages and disadvantages of existing mechanisms, and exploring new strategies for allocating rights and interests, we will be able to better realize a fair and reasonable allocation of water resources and provide strong support for sustainable development.

Stakeholder Interests and Priorities

The rational allocation of water resources involves the balancing and harmonization of multiple interests, and different stakeholders have different needs and interests in water resources. In this section, we explore the interests of different stakeholders and their priorities to provide a basis for the allocation of water rights and interests.

Different stakeholders, including the government, agriculture, industry, citizens, and the ecosystem, differ in their water resource needs, utilization patterns, and conservation goals. The government has a regulatory and planning role in water resources management, and needs to consider the needs of all stakeholders and formulate policies and regulations. Agriculture, as a major water resource user, needs to safeguard irrigation water to maintain agricultural production [10]. Industry requires water as a factor of production. Industry needs water as a factor of production, and citizens need safe and reliable water for their daily lives. At the same time, the ecological demand for water should also be emphasized to maintain ecological balance and the health of the ecosystem.

In order to achieve a rational distribution of interests, it is necessary to prioritize the different stakeholders. This can be achieved by weighing the importance, urgency and substitutability of different interests. For example, in the case of water scarcity, the need to safeguard water for domestic use and the ecological environment may be prioritized, whereas in the case of sufficient resources, the need for industrial and agricultural utilization should also be met. In formulating the strategy for allocating rights and benefits, the Government needs to consult a wide range of parties and balance the rights and benefits among the various stakeholders.

Assessment of Existing Equity Allocation Mechanisms

Existing mechanisms for allocating water resources vary across regions and countries, and their rationality and effectiveness are critical to ensuring the sustainable use of water resources. In this section, we assess the existing equity allocation mechanisms and explore their strengths and weaknesses.

Traditional mechanisms for allocating water rights and benefits may be based on fixed quotas or government directives, such as water use targets according to administrative divisions. Such mechanisms may suffer from over-exploitation of resources and inefficiency, and fail to adequately take into account the actual needs and flexibility of various stakeholders. On the other hand, market mechanisms and trading systems have been used in some regions to guide the efficient allocation of resources through price mechanisms. Such mechanisms are able to reflect the scarcity of water resources and the intensity of demand, but they may also lead to imbalances in water use among different social groups and social equity issues.

At the same time, existing mechanisms for the distribution of rights and benefits may be inadequate in taking into account non-market values. The value of the ecological environment and the protection of cultural heritage may not have been adequately taken into account in traditional mechanisms, leading to the deterioration of the resource environment and the decline of social stability. In addition, stakeholder participation may be insufficient, and the decision-making process lacks transparency and democracy, making it difficult to truly represent the interests of all parties.

Simulation and Evaluation of Novel Equity Allocation Strategies

In order to solve the problems of the existing equity allocation mechanism, we may consider introducing new types of equity

allocation strategies to better meet the needs of different stakeholders. New types of strategies may include mechanisms based on ecological compensation, multi-party decision-making models, and equity trade-off mechanisms based on comprehensive value assessment.

Mechanisms based on ecological compensation could consider incorporating the maintenance of the ecological environment into the distribution of rights and benefits, and incentivizing its protection and restoration by providing economic compensation to the ecological environment. This can be achieved through the establishment of an ecological compensation fund, an ecological services market, and so on. A multi-party decision-making model can be realized through stakeholder participation, information sharing and consultation to ensure that the opinions of all parties are fully considered [11]. An equity trade-off mechanism based on comprehensive value assessment can combine market and non-market values, set weights for different interests and develop a flexible equity allocation scheme.

To assess the effectiveness of new equity allocation strategies, simulations and experiments can be conducted. By building a system dynamics model or using computer simulation techniques, we can simulate the impacts of different strategies on water resource allocation and predict the resource supply and demand relationships and socio-economic benefits under various scenarios. This will help to assess the advantages and shortcomings of the novel strategies under different conditions and provide scientific decision support to the government and stakeholders.

Discussion and Analysis

Relationship Between Economic Valuation and Equity Allocation

Economic valuation and allocation of rights and benefits are closely related in water resources management, and they influence and promote each other. The results of economic valuation provide an important basis for the allocation of rights and benefits, and help to determine more accurately the supply, demand and value distribution of water resources. Through market and non-market valuation, we can reveal the economic, social and environmental significance of water resources and provide a fairer reference for the rights of different stakeholders.

However, economic valuation cannot be used as the sole basis for equity allocation alone. While market value can reflect the supply and demand of resources, it may not fully reflect the non-market value of resources, such as the protection of the ecological environment and the transmission of cultural heritage. Therefore, market and non-market values need to be considered comprehensively in the allocation of rights and interests to ensure a balance between different rights and interests.

Balancing Feasibility and Sustainability

In water resources management, the formulation of equity allocation strategies needs to take into account both economic feasibility and ecological and social sustainability. Economic feasibility ensures rational utilization of resources, but if economic efficiency is pursued too much, it may lead to overexploitation of resources and environmental damage [12]. Sustainability, on the other hand, emphasizes long-term development and requires that current needs be met without compromising the rights and interests of future generations.

In order to achieve a balance between feasibility and sustainability, we need to formulate a multi-dimensional equity allocation strategy. This includes taking ecological needs into account, establishing a red line for ecological protection and ensuring the integrity of the ecosystem. At the same time, we also need to take into account the sustainable development of society, safeguard the basic needs of the public and promote social stability. In formulating policies, we need to give full consideration to the relationship between different rights and interests and avoid conflicts and imbalances in rights and interests.

Policy Recommendations and Prospects for Practical Application

To address the issues of economic valuation of water resources and equity allocation, we propose the following policy recommendations:

First, data collection and research should be strengthened and methods for assessing the economic value of water resources should be continuously improved. Market value and non-market value assessment should be combined to establish a comprehensive assessment system that includes material value, environmental value and social value. At the same time, emerging technical means, such as remote sensing technology and big data analysis, should be utilized to improve the accuracy and credibility of the assessment.

Second, promote a multi-stakeholder model of decision-making. Stakeholder participation can increase the legitimacy and feasibility of policymaking and avoid information asymmetry and one-dimensional decision-making. Governments, research institutions, enterprises and civil society organizations should actively collaborate in studying and formulating strategies for the distribution of rights and interests to ensure that the rights and interests of all parties are fully protected.

In addition, innovative equity allocation mechanisms are encouraged. The traditional fixed quotas and market mechanisms are no longer able to fully meet the needs of different regions and contexts. New models such as mechanisms based on ecological compensation and trading platforms for water resources rights and interests can be explored to better balance different rights and interests. In formulating new mechanisms, full consideration needs to be given to the actual situation and the interests of all parties to ensure the feasibility and sustainability of the new mechanisms.

Overall, the economic valuation of water resources and the allocation of rights and benefits is a complex and challenging issue. By balancing economic, ecological and social needs, we can develop more scientific and equitable equity allocation strategies to support the sustainable use and management of water resources [13]. The future is in the hands of the government and the public. In the future, we need to make continuous efforts in policy formulation, technological innovation and win-win cooperation to realize effective allocation of resources and sustainable development of the society.

Conclusion

This study centers on the assessment of the economic value of water resources and the distribution of rights and interests, aiming to explore in depth how to accurately assess the economic value of water resources and how to achieve a reasonable and fair distribution of resource rights and interests among stakeholders. Through the assessment of market and non-market values, we reveal the multi-dimensional values of water resources in economic, social and environmental aspects. At the same time, we also evaluate the existing equity allocation mechanisms and analyze their strengths and weaknesses. On this basis, we explore

the possibility of a new type of equity allocation strategy to achieve rational allocation and sustainable utilization of resources.

Key findings of this study include:

1. Water resources have important values in economic, social and environmental terms. Economic valuation reveals the importance of water resources in market transactions and non-market environments through market value and non-market value assessment methods. This multi-dimensional value provides a basis for scientific management and rational allocation of water resources.
2. There are certain problems with the existing mechanism for allocating rights and interests. Traditional fixed quotas and market mechanisms may be insufficient in considering ecological and social needs, and may easily lead to over-exploitation of resources and imbalance of rights and interests. Therefore, a new type of equity allocation strategy needs to be explored to better meet the needs of different stakeholders.
3. Feasibility and sustainability are key to equity allocation. In formulating the equity allocation strategy, it is necessary to balance the relationship between economic benefits and ecological and social sustainability. The new equity allocation strategy should take into account factors such as ecological compensation, multi-party participation and comprehensive value assessment, in order to realize the rational allocation of resources and the sustainable development of society.

In summary, the economic valuation of water resources and the allocation of rights and interests is a complex and critical field, which is of great significance in realizing the rational allocation and sustainable use of resources. Through continuous research and practice, we can better understand the multidimensional value of water resources, develop more scientific and fairer equity allocation strategies, and provide strong support for realizing the goal of sustainable development.

References

1. Shi Ye, Qiu Yongting (2023) Study on countermeasures for implementing contractual water conservation management in Guangdong Province. People's Pearl River 44: 12-16.
2. Xu Ziyue, Ma Kai, Yuan Xu, He Daming, Su Yan (2023) Progress and prospects of water-energy-food linkages in transboundary river basins. Geoscience 43: 1-9.
3. Ma Youxiang (2023) attends FAO high-level roundtable on addressing water scarcity. World Agriculture 8: 141.
4. Favre M, Dinar A (2021) Are Households Willing to Finance the Cost of Individual Water Supply? Case Study in Central Tunisia. Water economics and policy 7-4.
5. Jordan FS, Mani Rouhi R, Dale TM, Goemans C, Sanderson MR (2021) Depletion, climate, and the incremental value of groundwater. Resource and energy economics 63.
6. Xie Zeyu, Jing Zheng, Yang Coronation (2023) Water resource constraint alleviation and regional economic growth: Empirical evidence from the "South-to-North Water Transfer" project. Quantitative and Technical Economic Research 1-22.
7. Fu Bojie, Huang Guangqing, Zhang Hongou (2023) Strengthening ecological protection and green development of the Pearl River Basin to help the construction of the Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Greater Bay Area. Proceedings of the Chinese Academy of Sciences 1-7.
8. Peter A Groothuis, Tanga M Mohr, John C Whitehead, Kristan Cockerill, William P Anderson, et al. (2021) Measuring the Direct and Indirect Effect of Scientific Information on Valuing Storm Water Management Programs with a Hybrid Choice Model. Water Resources Research 57.
9. Hasan SM, Akram AA, Jeuland M (2021) Awareness of coping costs and willingness to pay for urban drinking water service: evidence from Lahore, Pakistan. Utilities Policy 71: 101246.
10. Foudi S, Oses-Eraso N (2022) Information, Experience, and Willingness to Mitigate Mental Health Consequences from Flooding Through Collective Defense. Water Resources Research 58.
11. Wang Hao, Niu Cunjian, Zhao Yong (2023) Study on the "nature-society" dichotomous water cycle and water resources in a river basin[J]. Journal of Geography 78: 1599-1607.
12. Wang Guangqian, Zhang Yu, Xie Di, Zhong Deyu (2023) Green water pattern in China and its strategic significance. Journal of Geography 78: 1641-1658.
13. Zhang Lina, Wu Fengping (2023) Relying on scientific and technological innovation to promote harmonious coexistence of people and water. China Science and Technology Forum 7: 8-10.