

Review Article

Open Access

The Enduring Impact of Diversity Leadership Training on Educational Equity: A Decade of Insights

Berdine Gordon Littreán

Ed.D. Independent Consulting Solutions LLC, USA

ABSTRACT

This research article examines the long-term impact of diversity leadership training on educational equity, drawing on the findings of Dr. Berdine Gordon-Litreán's 2014 action research study. The study, conducted within a diverse educational setting, highlighted the importance of continuous professional development, reflective practice, and specific leadership skills in promoting diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI). A decade later, these findings continue to influence contemporary DEI initiatives, providing valuable insights for policymakers, educators, and leaders. This article explores the enduring relevance of the study's methodologies and findings, offering practical recommendations for sustaining and advancing DEI efforts in education.

*Corresponding author

Berdine Gordon-Litreán, Ed.D. Independent Consulting Solutions LLC, USA.

Received: March 22, 2025; Accepted: March 25, 2025; Published: April 05, 2025

Introduction

The pursuit of diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) in education is a critical endeavor that requires sustained commitment and strategic action. Dr. Berdine Gordon-Litreán's 2014 action research study on diversity leadership training provided a foundational framework for understanding how professional development and reflective practice can transform beliefs and behaviors in educational settings. This article examines the long-term impact of the study's findings on contemporary DEI initiatives, highlighting the importance of continuous improvement and systemic change.

Literature Review

The literature on DEI in education underscores the importance of addressing systemic inequities and fostering inclusive environments. Key concepts such as culturally responsive teaching, reflective practice, and leadership development are central to promoting equity. Dr. Gordon-Litreán's study contributed to this body of knowledge by demonstrating the efficacy of diversity leadership training in reducing prejudice and enhancing inclusive practices. This review synthesizes relevant research on DEI, highlighting the enduring relevance of the study's findings.

Methodology

Dr. Gordon-Litreán's 2014 study employed a mixed-methods approach, combining quantitative data from pre- and post-intervention surveys with qualitative data from interviews and observations as well as reflective journaling.

Specifically, the Quantitative Methods used in Dr. Berdine Gordon-Litreán's 2014 action Research Study included:

- **Pre and Post Assessments:** Surveys were administered to participants before and after the professional development training to measure changes in attitudes and beliefs regarding diversity and inclusion. These assessments included Likert

scale questions to quantify participants' responses.

- **Six Month Follow-Up Survey:** A follow-up survey was conducted six months after the training to assess the long-term impact of the program on participants' ability to address prejudice behavior. This survey included multiple-choice and Likert scale questions.
- **Statistical Analysis:** Quantitative data from the pre- and post-assessments and the follow-up survey were analyzed using descriptive statistics, such as percentages and frequencies, to summarize the data. Inferential statistics, such as t-tests and Analysis of Variance (ANOVA), were used to compare pre- and post-training scores and examine differences across demographic subgroups.
- **Bar Graphs and Coded Schemes:** Visual representations, such as bar graphs, were used to illustrate the frequency of responses and changes in attitudes and beliefs. Coded schemes helped organize and interpret the data.

These quantitative methods provided measurable outcomes that could be compared across different groups and over time, supporting the overall findings of the study.

The qualitative methods used in Dr. Berdine Gordon-Litreán's 2014 action research study included:

- **Semi Structured Interviews:** These interviews were conducted with participating educators, central office school administrators, and site supervisors. The interviews focused on their lived experiences within the professional development program and their reflections on its impact on their teaching practices. The open-ended nature of the interview questions allowed participants to articulate their experiences in their own words, providing rich, in-depth data.
- **Focus Groups:** Focus groups provided opportunities for participants to engage in dialogue, share their experiences,

and reflect on their evolving understanding of diversity and inclusion. This collaborative setting fostered a sense of community and allowed for the emergence of shared understandings and experiences

- **Reflective Journaling:** Participants were encouraged to engage in reflective journaling throughout the training program. This method allowed them to document their thoughts, experiences, and personal transformations, providing valuable insights into their self-awareness and the development of inclusive teaching strategies.
- **Observations:** Observational protocols were used during the eight-week module activities to monitor behaviors and validate other collected data. This method provided direct insights into the participants' interactions and the implementation of diversity leadership training in real-time.
- **Document Analysis:** The study included the analysis of existing policies, procedures, curriculum materials, and other relevant documents. This method helped assess the extent to which policies and practices reflected DEI principles and identified areas for improvement.

These qualitative methods provided a comprehensive understanding of the participants' experiences and perspectives, enriching the overall findings of the study.

The study focused on a diverse educational setting, assessing the impact of diversity leadership training on educators' attitudes and practices. This article revisits the original methodology, emphasizing its rigor and applicability to contemporary DEI research.

Findings

The study's findings highlighted several key areas of impact:

- **Continuous Professional Development:** Ongoing training was essential for equipping educators with the skills needed to address diversity and inclusion effectively.
- **Reflective Practice:** Encouraging self-reflection helped educators recognize and address their own biases, fostering a culture of continuous improvement.
- **Leadership Skills:** Developing specific leadership competencies, such as self-awareness and other awareness, was crucial for promoting inclusive environments.
- **Instructional Practices:** Inclusive teaching methods and materials were necessary to create equitable learning experiences for all students.
- **Systemic Inequities:** Addressing systemic barriers was essential for promoting educational equity.

Discussion

A decade after the original study, its findings continue to influence DEI initiatives in education. Continuous professional development, reflective practice, and leadership skills remain central to promoting equity. The study's emphasis on addressing systemic inequities has informed policy and advocacy efforts, leading to more inclusive educational practices. This discussion explores the practical applications of the study's findings, offering recommendations for sustaining and advancing DEI efforts.

Recommendations

Based on the enduring impact of Dr. Gordon-Littreán's study, this article offers several recommendations for policymakers, educators, and leaders:

- **Prioritize Continuous Professional Development:** Invest in ongoing DEI training to ensure educators remain informed

about best practices and emerging issues.

- **Foster Reflective Practice:** Encourage self-reflection to help educators recognize and address their biases, promoting a culture of continuous improvement.
- **Develop Leadership Skills:** Focus on developing specific competencies that enable leaders to create inclusive environments and address systemic inequities.
- **Implement Inclusive Instructional Practices:** Integrate culturally responsive teaching methods and materials into curricula to create equitable learning experiences.
- **Address Systemic Inequities:** Work to identify and dismantle barriers that prevent equitable access to education, promoting a more just and inclusive society.

Conclusion

Dr. Berdine Gordon-Littreán's 2014 action research study on diversity leadership training has had a lasting impact on DEI initiatives in education. By emphasizing continuous professional development, reflective practice, and specific leadership skills, the study has provided a strong framework for advancing equity and inclusion. As educational institutions continue to prioritize DEI, the insights from this study remain invaluable, guiding efforts to create more inclusive and equitable learning environments for all students [1-14].

References

1. Banks JA, Banks CAM (2010) Handbook of multicultural education. Routledge.
2. Brown CR (1984) The art of coalition building: A guide for community leaders. New York: The American Jewish Committee <https://search.worldcat.org/title/art-of-coalition-building-a-guide-for-community-leaders/oclc/11398125>.
3. Creswell JW, Plano Clark VL (2011) Designing and conducting mixed methods research (2nd ed.) Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications https://books.google.co.in/books/about/Designing_and_Conducting_Mixed_Methods_R.html?id=YcdIPWPJRBcC&redir_esc=y Gay G (2018) Culturally responsive teaching.
4. Theory, research, and practice (3rd ed.). Teachers College Press <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED581130>.
5. Gordon Littreán B (Ed.D.) (2025) Strengthening Importance of DEI: Insights from the 2014 Action Research a Reflective Analysis. Kindle Direct Publishing ISBN-13: 979-8307458242. ISBN-13: 979-8308021544.
6. Gordon Littreán B (Ed.D.) (2014) Measuring the efficacy and quality of a professional development training on prejudice reduction and reflective practice: Transforming the delivery of change through collective insight and informed action. (Doctoral dissertation). Rowan University.
7. Hammond Z (2015) Culturally responsive teaching and the brain: Promoting authentic engagement and rigor among culturally and linguistically diverse students. Corwin <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2014-55978-000>.
8. Kotter JP (1996) Leading change Harvard Business School Press. <https://irp-cdn.multiscreensite.com/6e5efd05/files/uploaded/Leading%20Change.pdf>.
9. Ladson-Billings G (1995) Toward a theory of culturally relevant pedagogy. American Educational Research Journal 32: 465-491.
10. Lewin K (1946) Action research and minority problems. Journal of Social Issues 2: 34-46.
11. Sensoy Ö, DiAngelo R (2017) Is everyone really equal?: An introduction to key concepts in social justice education. Teachers College Press <https://archive.org/details/>

- iseveryonereally0000sens.
12. Sue DW (2010) *Microaggressions in everyday life: Race, gender, and sexual orientation*. Wiley <https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2010-03509-000>.
 13. Tatum BD (2017) *Why are all the Black kids sitting together in the cafeteria? And other conversations about race* (20th anniversary ed.). Basic Books <https://www.hachettebookgroup.com/titles/beverly-daniel-tatum/why-are-all-the-black-kids-sitting-together-in-the-cafeteria/9781541616585/?lens=basic-books>.
 14. Williams MT (2020) *Managing Microaggressions: Addressing Everyday Racism in Therapeutic Spaces*. Oxford University <https://global.oup.com/academic/product/managing-microaggressions-9780190875237?cc=in&lang=en&>.

Copyright: ©2025 Berdine Gordon Littréan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.