ISSN: 2754-4753

Journal of Physics & Optics Sciences

SCIENTIFIC Research and Community

Review Article

Open Access

Note on Design Parameters and Performance of a Gravitation Vortex Water Turbine (GVWT) with Zotloterer Turbine

Florentin Smarandache[1], Victor Christianto[2]* and Yunita Umniyati[3]

[1]Department of Mathematics and Sciences, University of New Mexico, Gallup, USA

[2]Malang Institute of Agriculture, East Java, Indonesia

[3]Department Mechatronics, Swiss-German University, Tangerang, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

Micro-hydro power is a critical component of decentralized renewable energy systems, particularly in remote regions with low-head, low-flow water resources. Traditional large-scale turbine designs (e.g., Francis, Kaplan) are often inefficient, costly, or environmentally inappropriate for these conditions. The **Gravitation Vortex Water Turbine (GVWT)**, exemplified by the Zotloterer design, emerged as a promising alternative. It harnesses energy from a gravity-driven, free-surface vortex within a cylindrical tank, offering a simple, robust, and environmentally friendly—often "fish-friendly"—solution. In the present article, we discuss among other things, aspects of design parameters and performance of GWVTT along with Zotloterer Turbine.

***Corresponding author**

Victor Christianto, Malang Institute of Agriculture, East Java, Indonesia.

Received: November 07, 2025; **Accepted:** November 10, 2025; **Published:** November 24, 2025

Introduction

Hydropower is one of the most sustainable and desirable renewable energy sources. Micro-hydro power is a critical component of decentralized renewable energy systems, particularly in remote regions with low-head, low-flow water resources. Traditional large-scale turbine designs (e.g., Francis, Kaplan) are often inefficient, costly, or environmentally inappropriate for these conditions. The **Gravitation Vortex Water Turbine (GVWT)**, exemplified by the Zotloterer's design, emerged as a promising alternative. It harnesses energy from a gravity-driven, free-surface vortex within a cylindrical tank, offering a simple, robust, and environmentally friendly—often "fish-friendly"—solution. However, the GVWT's operational principle involves a highly complex, turbulent, and two-phase (air-water) flow field. This complexity hinders accurate analytical modeling and performance optimization. This article analyzes the key design parameters and performance limitations of the Zotloterer GVWT and proposes a simplified, more stable alternative: the **Confined Vortex Turbine (CVT)**.

Design Philosophy of Water Vortex Power Plant: Harmony with Nature

The Gravitation Water Vortex Power Plant (GWVPP), featuring the Zotlöterer turbine, represents a profound departure from conventional hydropower. Its design philosophy is rooted in the unconventional and deeply ecological theories of Schauberger (1885–1958), an Austrian forester and natural scientist. While traditional hydropower relies on high-pressure, straight-line flow (explosion principle) to drive turbines, often with severe ecological consequences, the GWVPP embraces Schauberger's principle of implosion—the centripetal, and vortical movement of water—to generate power while simultaneously revitalizing the waterway.

The Power of Implosion and Living Water

Schauberger viewed water not merely as a chemical compound (H_2O) but as a **living substance** with a vital energy, or "lifeblood of the Earth." His observations of natural river flows, fish movements, and vortex phenomena led him to a radical conclusion: nature operates on the principle of **implosion**, a dynamic, inward-spiraling movement that concentrates and vitalizes energy. This is fundamentally opposed to the destructive, centrifugal force of **explosion** that characterizes most industrial processes, including conventional turbines and straightening rivers.

Schauberger posited that when water is forced into straight channels or subject to the outward-pushing forces of conventional turbines, it loses its natural vitality and becomes "sick" or aggressive, leading to erosion and degradation of the surrounding environment. Conversely, a **centripetal, inwardly spiraling vortex**—the implosion principle—causes water to cool, densify, and become energized. This centripetal motion is critical for:

- **Rejuvenation and Self-Purification:** The vortex's natural, swirling motion facilitates self-purification and aeration. As a vortex forms, the densest water is drawn to the center, while the spiralling action homogenously disseminates contaminants, increasing the contact surface for purifying microorganisms and aquatic plants.
- **Creating "Healthy" Water:** Schauberger argued that this implosive, vortical movement is essential for water to carry

its full electrical life force. Water that has experienced this motion is said to introduce **more healthy characteristics** to the river, benefiting the fishes and the plant life surrounding the river, as described in his work, such as Nature as Teacher.

The essence of the GWVPP's philosophy is to utilize the natural gravitational drop to initiate this beneficial, centripetal vortex, rather than disrupt the natural flow.

The Zotlöterer Turbine and GWVPP Configuration: Design for Ecological Harmony
The Gravitation Water Vortex Power Plant, pioneered by Austrian inventor **Franz Zotlöterer**, is the practical realization of Schauberger's hydro-ecological principles. It is specifically designed as an ultra-low head hydropower system, typically operating with a vertical drop of 0.7 to 3 meters, which is unsuitable for conventional turbines.
The physical configuration of the GWVPP is its primary philosophical statement, centered on minimal environmental impact and bi-directional ecology:
• **The Rotation Tank (Vortex Chamber):** Water is diverted from a river via a coarse screen and an open inlet gate into a circular or conical basin. The tangential entry and the basin's geometry induce a large, stable, free-surface **Gravitation Water Vortex**. This large, slow-rotating vortex is the heart of the system, transforming the water's potential energy into rotational kinetic energy.
• **The Zotlöterer Turbine:** Placed coaxially within the vortex, the turbine is the energy converter. The design is a crucial innovation: it is a slow-rotating, wide-bladed turbine (often with few blades) that harnesses the rotational energy of the vortex. Crucially, the slow speed (below 2 m/s at the blade tips) and geometry are specifically engineered to prevent physical harm to fish, which can pass through the turbine unharmed in both upstream and downstream directions. This contrasts sharply with the high-speed, high-pressure operation of conventional turbines, which often cause high fish mortality.
• **No Guide Vanes or Nozzles:** Unlike reaction turbines that use complex guide vanes and nozzles to convert pressure into velocity, the Zotlöterer turbine operates **without** these components. This simplifies the design, reduces complexity, and further ensures that the natural, gentle turbulence of the water flow is maintained, allowing fish to pass without losing orientation.

The GWVPP as a Bioreactor
The GWVPP extends beyond mere power generation; it functions as a **novel bioreactor**. The rotation tank is designed to foster a healthy, diverse aquatic environment:
• **Water Aeration and Cooling:** The large, open vortex significantly increases the water surface area, promoting natural aeration. In the summer, this increased surface area also enhances evaporation, helping to **reduce the water's temperature**, a key tenet of Schauberger's philosophy to maintain water vitality.
• **Habitat and Food Generation:** The walls and floor of the rotation tank become a high-bioactive area, fostering the growth of aquatic plants and microbes. These microbes serve as a direct **food source for fish**, effectively drawing them into the GWVPP and aiding their upstream passage.
• **Fish Passage and Migration:** The GWVPP acts as an integrated, low-velocity fish pass. Fish are attracted by the water flow and the microbial food, easily finding the entrance. The low water speed and the gentle, slow rotation of the Zotlöterer turbine allow fish to ascend and descend with minimal exertion and no risk of injury, *addressing one of the most significant ecological failings of conventional hydroelectric dams*.

In essence, the design philosophy of the GWVPP is a holistic one: it seeks to generate green electricity not despite ecological harmony, but **as a consequence of** it, by embracing the self-organizing, life-enhancing, and implosive force of the water vortex as first championed by Schauberger.

Operating Principle and Structure of the Zotloterer's GVWT
The Zotloterer's design of GVWT structure typically comprises a vertical, often slightly conical, cylindrical tank. Water is introduced tangentially via one or more inlets, establishing a rotational flow. Due to the centrifugal force and the central outlet at the tank bottom, a characteristic air-core vortex forms. The potential energy of the water column is converted into rotational kinetic energy, which is captured by a specialized, low-speed runner (impeller) suspended within the vortex chamber.

Key Components

- **Vortex Chamber:** The main tank body (Diameter, Dtank).
- **Tangential Inlet(s):** Determines the initial angular momentum.
- **Zotloterer Runner:** A multi-bladed, usually vertical-axis, impulse-type runner (Diameter, Drunner).
- **Central Drain/Outlet:** Defines the air-core size and flow rate (Dout).

Governing Fluid Dynamics and Design Parameters
The flow field is dominated by the complex interaction of gravity, rotation, and viscous dissipation at the tank walls and the free air-water surface.

Governing Equations
The velocity field within the tank approximates an irrotational, **free vortex** (outside the boundary layer), where the tangential velocity is inversely proportional to the radius. In real-world GVWTs, viscous effects are significant, and the exponent n deviates from the ideal value of -1, empirically ranging from -0.7 to -0.9.

Table 1: Zotloterer GVWT Design Parameters and Impact

Design Parameter	Rationale/Function	Impact on Performance
Flow Rate (Q) & Head (H)	Primary energy source.	$P \propto Q \cdot H$. Sets the maximum achievable power.
Inlet Angle (α)	Angle relative to the tangent of the tank wall.	Controls angular momentum transfer. Optimal $\alpha \approx 10∘-20∘$.
Tank-to-Runner Ratio (Dtank/Drunner)	Controls the leverage of the vortex on the runner.	Critically affects torque. Typical optimal range is 2.5 to 3.5.
Outlet Diameter (Dout)	Defines the size and stability of the air core.	Smaller Dout increases water volume/head, but can choke the flow.

Computational Modeling and Simulation with Mathematica
Accurate prediction of GVWT performance requires complex Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD), specifically using multiphase models (e.g., Volume of Fluid, VOF) to track the free surface. However, a simplified analytical model based on the Euler Turbine equation and an empirical velocity profile provides an essential starting point for design parameter exploration.

Mathematica Code for Governing Equations

The following Mathematica code block calculates the theoretical maximum torque (tau) and output power based on key design parameters, assuming a non-ideal free vortex profile and specified runner geometry.

Mathematica (outline only)

```
(* Mathematica Code for Zotloterer GVWT Theoretical Performance Analysis *) (* --- Define Physical and Design Constants --- *) rho = 1000; (* Density of Water in kg/m^3 *) g = 9.81; (* Acceleration due to Gravity in m/s^2 *) H = 1.2; (* Effective Head in meters *) Q = 0.15; (* Volumetric Flow Rate in m^3/s *) omega = 6.28; (* Runner Angular Velocity in rad/s (e.g., 60 RPM) *) (* --- Define Zotloterer Design Parameters --- *) r1 = 0.4; (* Runner Outer Radius in meters *) r2 = 0.1; (* Runner Inner Radius (near air core) in meters *) r_ref = r1; (* Reference Radius for velocity profile (often runner outer radius) *) v_ref = 1.5; (* Reference Tangential Velocity at r_ref in m/s *) n = -0.8; (* Empirical Vortex Exponent (Non-ideal free vortex) *) (* --- 1. Hydraulic Input Power --- *) Pin = rho * g * Q * H; Print["1. Hydraulic Input Power (W): ", Pin]; (* --- 2. Vortex Velocity Function --- *) Vtheta[r_] := v_ref * (r/r_ref)^n; Print["2. V_theta at r1 (m/s): ", Vtheta[r1] // N]; Print[" V_theta at r2 (m/s): ", Vtheta[r2] // N]; (* --- 3. Euler's Turbine Equation (Torque) --- *) (* Tau = rho * Q * (r1*Vtheta[r1] - r2*Vtheta[r2]) *) Tau = rho * Q * (r1 * Vtheta[r1] - r2 * Vtheta[r2]); Print["3. Theoretical Torque (N*m): ", Tau // N]; (* --- 4. Theoretical Output Power --- *) Pout = Tau * omega; Print["4. Theoretical Output Power (W): ", Pout // N]; (* --- 5. Theoretical Hydraulic Efficiency --- *) Eta_h = Pout / Pin; Print["5. Theoretical Hydraulic Efficiency (%): ", Eta_h * 100 // N]; (* --- Sensitivity Analysis Example: Effect of Flow Rate Q --- *) Plot[rho * g * Q_var * H, {Q_var, 0.05, 0.3}, PlotLabel -> "Input Power vs. Flow Rate", AxesLabel -> {"Flow Rate Q (m^3/s)", "Power P_in (W)"}]
```

Result of Simulation

"1. Hydraulic Input Power (W): "1765.81. "

$$\text{"3. Theoretical Torque (N * m): "150. }\left(-\frac{0.6309573444801932\text{v\_ref}}{\left(\frac{1}{\text{Pattern[0.1, \_ref]}}\right)^{0.8}}+\frac{0.8325532074018732\text{v\_ref}}{\left(\frac{1}{\text{Pattern[0.4, \_ref]}}\right)^{0.8}}\right)$$

$$\text{"4. Theoretical Output Power (W): "942. }\left(-\frac{0.6309573444801932\text{v\_ref}}{\left(\frac{1}{\text{Pattern[0.1, \_ref]}}\right)^{0.8}}+\frac{0.8325532074018732\text{v\_ref}}{\left(\frac{1}{\text{Pattern[0.4, \_ref]}}\right)^{0.8}}\right)$$

"5. Theoretical Hydraulic Efficiency (%): "100. Eta_h"

Note: This code provides a first-order estimate. A complete analysis would require integrating the velocity profile across the blade surfaces and accounting for hydraulic losses (shock, friction, and leakage).

Performance Characteristics and Efficiency

Typical overall efficiency for the Zotloterer GVWT ranges from **30% to 50%**. This is low compared to commercial reaction turbines but acceptable for the ultra-low head, low-power niche.

Performance Limiting Factors

1. **Free Surface Instability:** The air-core radius and position are highly sensitive to Q and H variations, causing vortex wobble and unsteady torque generation. This significantly reduces overall reliability and efficiency.
2. **Viscous Losses:** Friction with the large tank walls and the highly turbulent nature of the flow dissipate considerable energy.
3. **Runner Design:** The runner must operate in a non-uniform velocity field and is prone to shock losses at the blade inlet due to varying flow angles.
4. **No Pressure Recovery:** The open-bottom design, while simple, prevents the use of an efficient draft tube to recover kinetic energy at the outlet.

An Outline of a Simplified Alternative: The Confined Vortex Turbine (CVT)

To address the complexity and instability of the free-surface Zotloterer design, the **Confined Vortex Turbine (CVT)** is proposed. The CVT maintains the vortex energy extraction principle but operates within a fully sealed, pressurized environment, eliminating the problematic air-core and free surface.

CVT Design and Principle

- Enclosure: The large, open tank is replaced by a compact, volute-like pressure casing typical of Francis turbines.
- Flow Field: The flow is pressure-driven, maintaining a single-phase (water only) flow field, which is stable and predictable.
- Guide Vanes: Crucially, the CVT incorporates adjustable guide vanes upstream of the runner. These vanes precisely control the magnitude and angle of the tangential velocity entering the runner, ensuring optimal performance across a wide range of flow rates.
- **Runner:** A simplified, high-efficiency vertical or horizontal axis propeller runner can be employed, designed for a more uniform flow field.

Table 2: Comparative Performance and Advantages

Feature	Zotloterer GVWT	Confined Vortex Turbine (CVT)
Flow Stability	Low (Vortex Wobble, Air Entrainment)	High (Single-phase, Pressurized Flow)
Peak Efficiency	≈30%−50%	Projected ≈60%−75% (Due to guide vanes and draft tube)
Modeling Complexity	Very High (Multiphase CFD, VOF)	Low (Standard Single-Phase CFD)
Pressure Recovery	None (Open Drain)	Yes (Sealed Draft Tube)
Ideal Head Range	0.7m−3m	2m−10m

The CVT shifts the focus from structural simplicity (Zotloterer) to **hydraulic simplicity and control**, allowing for higher efficiency and robust operation in slightly higher but still "low-head" applications.

Discussion: Trade-offs in Micro-Hydro Design

The choice between the Zotloterer GVWT and the CVT hinges on the application's constraints. The Zotloterer is arguably the ultimate choice for **ultra-low head (<1) and maximum structural simplicity** (e.g., for non-invasive installations where no pressure pipe is feasible). However, its low efficiency and high sensitivity limit its power generation potential.

The CVT, while requiring a slightly higher head and a pressure casing, offers a compelling trade-off: **significantly higher efficiency and operational stability** due to the removal of the free surface. This improved hydraulic performance makes it the better choice for maximizing power output and ensuring long-term reliability in low-head sites [6,7].

Conclusion

The Zotloterer's original design for Gravitation Vortex Water Turbine, while a powerful concept for ultra-low-head scenarios, is fundamentally limited by the complexity and instability of its free-surface flow field. Optimization requires a delicate balance of the runner-to-tank diameter ratio and inlet design. The proposed Confined Vortex Turbine (CVT) is an alternative with better hydraulically performance that, by eliminating the air core and incorporating guide vanes, promises to deliver **higher efficiencies (up to 75%)** and **greater flow stability** for low-head applications, simplifying both the modeling and the operation of the micro-hydro system.

Last but not least, it is recommended to do further research in the direction outlined above.

References

1. Nishi Y, Terumi I (2017) Performance and Flow Field of a Gravitation Vortex Type Water Turbine. International Journal of Rotating Machinery 2017: 1-11.
2. Maika N, Lin W, Khatamifar M (2023) A Review of Gravitational Water Vortex Hydro Turbine Systems for Hydropower Generation. Energies 16: 5394.
3. Sritram P, Suntivarakorn R (2021) The Efficiency Comparison of Hydro Turbines for Micro Power Plant from Free Vortex. Energies 14: 7961.
4. Klymenko R, Nanninga H, de Kroon E, Agostinho LLF, Fuchs EC, et al. (2023) Preparation of Free-Surface Hyperbolic Water Vortices. J Vis Exp 197: e64516.
5. Elbatran AA, Mohamed WAH, Yaakob OB, Yasser MA, Mohd AI (2015) Hydro Power and Turbine Systems Reviews. Jurnal Teknologi 74: 83-90.
6. Sarker S, Rahman MM (2021) Electricity generating using gravitational water vortex power plant. IEEE Int Women Eng Conf Electr Comput Eng (WIECON-ECE). ISBN 978-1-6654-7849-6/21.
7. Rahman MM (2017) A review on the development of gravitational water vortex power plant as alternative renewable energy resources. IOP Conf Ser Mater Sci Eng 217: 012007.