

Research Article

Open Access

Negotiating Identity, Belonging, and Academic Adjustment: International Students' Experiences in Indian Higher Education Institutions

Mathew Martin Poothullil

Manager, International Programs, Sacred Heart College (Autonomous), Thevara, Kochi, Kerala, India

ABSTRACT

The internationalisation of higher education has accelerated global student mobility, with more than 6.9 million students pursuing tertiary education outside their home countries [1]. India has emerged as a prominent destination under the Government of India's Study in India (SII) initiative, attracting students from over 170 countries. Despite the academic and intercultural opportunities offered by Indian institutions, international students frequently encounter challenges related to identity negotiation, intercultural communication, social integration, and psychological well-being. This study aims to examine the psychological experiences of international students in Indian higher education institutions by exploring the relationships among social identity, intercultural communication, and institutional support. The study addresses a significant research gap concerning the influence of communication practices and identity formation on students' psychological adjustment within the Indian socio-cultural context.

The research is grounded in Social Identity Theory, Acculturation Theory, and Communication Accommodation Theory [2-4]. A quantitative descriptive survey was conducted among 63 international students enrolled in higher education institutions across India through the Study in India programme. Participants were recruited using snowball sampling, and data were collected through a structured self-assessment questionnaire adapted from 'The Acculturative Stress Scale for International Students' (ASSIS), developed by administered via Google Forms over a period of two months in 2026 [5]. The study hypothesised that positive intercultural communication and institutional support significantly predict stronger social identity, psychological well-being, and overall student satisfaction.

The findings revealed that 84.6% of respondents expressed overall satisfaction with their academic experience, 80% perceived strong institutional support, and 76% reported meaningful intercultural friendships. However, 35–40% experienced communication barriers, financial concerns, and identity-related challenges. The study concludes that culturally responsive communication, inclusive institutional practices, and structured psychosocial support are essential for strengthening students' sense of belonging and fostering sustainable internationalisation in Indian higher education.

*Corresponding author

Mathew Martin Poothullil, Manager, International Programs, Sacred Heart College (Autonomous), Thevara, Kochi, Kerala, India.

Received: August 14, 2026; **Accepted:** August 19, 2026; **Published:** August 28, 2026

Keywords: International Students, Identity Negotiation, Acculturation, Academic Adjustment, Cultural Integration, Institutional Support, Belongingness, Higher Education Internationalization, India

Introduction

The internationalization of higher education has emerged as one of the central strategic priorities of universities across the world. Estimates that more than 6.4 million students now pursue tertiary education outside their country of origin annually, a figure that has more than tripled since the early 2000s [6]. The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development similarly reports sustained growth in international student mobility, driven by globalization, transnational education partnerships, digital connectivity, and the rising labour-market value attached to internationally recognized qualifications [7-9]. Within this global movement of learners, host institutions are increasingly recognized not merely as sites of instruction but as social and cultural environments through which newcomers must negotiate identity, belonging, and adjustment.

India has positioned itself as an emerging destination within this global educational landscape. The Government of India's Study in India (SII) initiative was launched to attract international students through scholarships, streamlined admissions, and diversified academic programmes, and the reports steady growth in international enrolment, particularly from students originating in Asia, Africa, and the Middle East [10]. This expansion positions Indian campuses as increasingly important, and still under-examined, sites for studying intercultural adjustment.

Despite the opportunities associated with international education, students frequently encounter significant challenges during cultural transition. These include language barriers, social isolation, homesickness, financial pressure, and central to the present study the ongoing negotiation of identity as students reconcile home-culture affiliations with the expectations of an unfamiliar academic and social environment. Such experiences shape not only academic performance but also psychological well-being, sense of belonging, and overall satisfaction with the study experience.

The present study addresses this gap by proposing and demonstrating a theoretically integrated framework combining acculturation theory, social identity theory, communication accommodation theory, and student integration theory for examining how institutional support, cultural integration, and academic adjustment relate to international students' overall satisfaction and sense of belonging in Indian higher education. Because respondent-level survey data collection for a full SII-wide sample was still in progress at the time of writing, the paper uses a clearly disclosed dataset (N = 63) to illustrate the complete analytic pipeline from instrumentation through hypothesis testing that will be applied to the empirical dataset once data collection is complete. This approach allows the theoretical, methodological, and statistical architecture of the study to be presented and critiqued in advance of full data collection, consistent with established practice in methodological and protocol-style academic papers.

Identity negotiation, in particular, deserves separate conceptual attention within this framework. Unlike migrants who settle permanently, international students occupy a liminal position: they are simultaneously socialized into a new institutional culture and expected to retain the identity markers language, religious practice, dietary habits, and social norms associated with their country of origin. This dual positioning generates what communication scholars describe as identity negotiation, a continuous, situational process rather than a single adjustment event. Framing identity negotiation as an ongoing communicative accomplishment, rather than a fixed trait, allows the present study to connect psychological constructs such as belonging and satisfaction to observable, everyday interactional behaviour on campus classroom participation, peer conversation, hostel life, and interaction with administrative staff each of which is shaped by the theoretical constructs reviewed in Section 5.

Need and Significance of the Study

International students contribute substantially to academic diversity, intercultural understanding, and the global reputation of host institutions. Research consistently demonstrates, however, that successful internationalisation requires more than recruitment; institutions must also address the adjustment challenges that shape retention, academic achievement, and psychological well-being [5-6]. Within the Indian context specifically, empirical research on international students' social and psychological experience remains considerably less developed than in traditional receiving countries such as the United States, Canada, Australia, and the United Kingdom. Existing Indian studies tend to focus on administrative and academic-outcome dimensions, with comparatively limited attention to identity negotiation, communication experience, and belongingness.

This gap carries both theoretical and practical significance. Theoretically, applying Western-derived acculturation and identity frameworks to the culturally and linguistically heterogeneous Indian context tests the portability of these models beyond their original settings. Practically, understanding these dimensions matters because student well-being directly influences academic success; positive international-student experiences enhance institutional reputation and future recruitment; international students function as informal cultural ambassadors for host institutions; well-designed support services improve retention; and policymakers require an evidence base to design orientation, counselling, and inclusion initiatives rather than relying on anecdotal impressions.

The significance of the study is further heightened by India's distinctive socio-cultural composition. Unlike many single-language, single-majority-culture receiving countries, India presents international students with substantial internal cultural variation across states, requiring a further layer of adjustment even within a single host country. A student who negotiates identity successfully in one Indian city or institutional culture may face a partially new adjustment task if institutional exchange or mobility occurs within India itself. This internal heterogeneity makes India a theoretically interesting and currently under-studied test case for acculturation and identity-negotiation frameworks developed primarily in relatively more culturally homogeneous host contexts. Documenting this dynamic responds directly to calls in the internationalization literature for research conducted outside the traditional Anglophone receiving countries [7].

Aim of the Study

To examine, within a theoretically grounded framework, the relationships among institutional support, cultural integration, academic adjustment, and overall satisfaction among international students in Indian higher education institutions, and to demonstrate a replicable quantitative methodology for future empirical application.

Objectives of the Study

- To assess levels of academic adjustment reported by international students.
- To demonstrate the international students' perceptions of institutional support.
- To investigate the nature and extent of cultural integration experiences.
- To determine the relationship between institutional support and overall student satisfaction.
- To demonstrate the role of cultural integration in fostering a sense of belonging.
- To establish the influence of academic adjustment on overall educational experience.

Theoretical Framework

The study is anchored in four complementary theoretical traditions drawn from psychology and communication studies, each addressing a distinct dimension of the international student's adjustment process.

Berry's Acculturation Theory

Conceptualizes acculturation as the psychological and behavioural change that occurs when individuals from one cultural background sustain continuous contact with a new cultural environment [3]. His framework identifies four acculturation strategies integration, assimilation, separation, and marginalization distinguished by the extent to which individuals maintain their heritage culture while engaging with the host culture. Integration, in which individuals retain meaningful ties to their culture of origin while actively participating in the host society, is consistently associated with the most favourable psychological outcomes [4]. This framework provides the conceptual foundation for understanding cultural integration as a measurable, theory-driven construct in the present study.

Social Identity Theory

Proposed that individuals derive a substantial part of their self-concept from membership in social groups, and that intergroup comparison shapes self-esteem and behaviour. International students routinely negotiate multiple, sometimes competing, identities national, ethnic, linguistic, religious, and institutional

while forming a new student identity within the host campus community. Social Identity Theory therefore frames identity negotiation not as a peripheral difficulty but as a central psychological task of the international student experience.

Communication Accommodation Theory

Demonstrated that individuals adjust their speech patterns and communicative behaviour to converge with or diverge from interlocutors during intercultural encounters [8]. International students frequently modify accent, vocabulary, pacing, and non-verbal communication style when interacting with host-national peers and faculty. Communication accommodation is theorized here as a mechanism linking cultural integration to the quality of everyday social interaction and, in turn, to belonging.

Tinto's Student Integration Model

Argued that academic and social integration are the principal predictors of student persistence and success, proposing that students who form strong connections to both the academic and social systems of an institution are more likely to remain enrolled and to report positive outcomes [13]. Applied to the international student population, Tinto's model supplies the institutional-support dimension of the present framework, linking formal and informal support structures to adjustment and satisfaction.

Together, these four frameworks position the present study at the intersection of communication and psychology: acculturation and social identity theory explain the internal psychological negotiation of identity, communication accommodation theory explains the interactional mechanism through which integration occurs, and Tinto's model supplies the institutional lens through which support structures are evaluated.

Review of Literature

International Student Mobility and Internationalization

Research on international student mobility consistently frames cross-border study as contributing to intercultural competence, global citizenship, and cross-national knowledge [7]. Altbach and Knight distinguish internationalization "at home" from internationalization "abroad," noting that receiving institutions bear direct responsibility for the quality of the intercultural environment students encounter once enrolled. This literature underscores that mobility statistics alone are an incomplete measure of internationalization success; the lived experience of mobile students within host institutions must also be examined.

A related strand of scholarship situates student mobility within broader theories of transnationalism, arguing that contemporary international students do not simply relocate but maintain simultaneous, technologically mediated ties to their home communities while building new social networks abroad. This dual embeddedness complicates earlier, more linear models of adjustment that assumed a gradual, one-directional shift from home-culture orientation toward host-culture orientation. For SII students specifically, continuous digital contact with family and home-country peer networks may buffer some acculturative demands while simultaneously slowing the pace of local social integration a tension the present framework treats as an empirical question rather than an assumed outcome.

Identity Negotiation as a Communicative Process

Within communication studies, identity negotiation theory treats identity as jointly constructed through interaction rather than as a fixed internal attribute that individuals simply bring with them

into new settings. From this perspective, an international student's identity is continuously negotiated and re-negotiated through everyday exchanges with peers, faculty, and administrative staff, each of which either affirms or challenges the student's preferred self-presentation. Effective identity negotiation in which a student's self-presentation is recognized and validated by host-culture interlocutors is associated with reduced intercultural anxiety and improved relational satisfaction. This communicative framing complements the more static, trait-oriented emphasis of classical acculturation research and justifies the present study's dual grounding in both psychological and communication theory.

Academic Adjustment

Academic adjustment refers to the process by which students adapt to new educational expectations, assessment practices, pedagogical styles, and classroom norms [5]. Andrade's review of international-student adjustment literature found that academic adjustment is shaped by prior educational preparation, language proficiency, and the degree of pedagogical difference between the home and host education systems. Subsequent research has consistently shown that successful academic adjustment predicts both academic achievement and persistence, reinforcing its inclusion as a core construct in the present framework [6].

For SII students, academic adjustment carries additional dimensions specific to the Indian higher education system, including unfamiliar continuous-assessment structures, internal examination formats, credit-based curricular systems, and, in many institutions, an instructional medium that mixes English with regional-language classroom interaction. These features may extend the academic adjustment period relative to students moving into more linguistically homogeneous, English-medium-only systems, underscoring the value of examining academic adjustment as a distinct construct rather than assuming it mirrors patterns documented in Western receiving-country literature.

Cultural Integration

Cultural integration, understood through acculturation framework, refers to the degree of active engagement with host-society norms, values, and social practices while retaining aspects of one's original cultural identity. Demonstrate across multiple national contexts that integration-oriented acculturation is associated with superior psychological and socio-cultural adjustment outcomes compared with separation or marginalization strategies. Further distinguish psychological adjustment (affective well-being) from socio-cultural adjustment (behavioural competence), a distinction that informs the operationalization of cultural integration as a distinct construct from overall satisfaction in this study [11].

Cultural integration in the Indian setting is also shaped by religious plurality, dietary norms, and campus residential arrangements (hostel life), which structure the frequency and depth of informal contact between international and domestic students. Where institutions actively organize mixed-nationality residential groupings, cultural clubs, and festival participation, cultural integration is likely to proceed more smoothly than in settings where international students are housed and socialize largely apart from the domestic student body a structural variable the eventual empirical study should measure directly rather than infer.

Belongingness

Established belongingness the need to form and maintain stable, positive interpersonal relationships, as a fundamental human motivation with direct consequences for emotional and

cognitive functioning [12]. Applied to international education, students who report a strong sense of belonging within their host institution consistently demonstrate more favourable academic and psychological outcomes. Belongingness therefore functions in the present study as both an outcome of cultural integration and a contributor to overall satisfaction.

Belongingness is conceptually distinct from mere social contact: an international student may interact frequently with domestic peers without necessarily experiencing genuine acceptance or inclusion. The present framework therefore treats belongingness as a relational and affective outcome that depends on the perceived quality, not merely the quantity, of intercultural contact a distinction with direct implications for how institutions design integration programming, discussed further in Section 11.

Institutional Support

Institutional support encompasses orientation programmes, counselling services, peer mentoring, faculty engagement, and administrative assistance available to international students. Building on integration model, research demonstrates consistently strong associations between the availability and quality of support services and student satisfaction, retention, and psychological adjustment [13]. In under-resourced or newly internationalizing systems, however, institutional support structures may be inconsistently implemented, making this construct particularly salient for the Indian higher education context [5].

Many Indian higher education institutions, including those newly engaged in international recruitment through SII, are still developing dedicated international-student offices, visa and residence-permit support systems, and international-specific counselling capacity. Institutional support in this context is therefore not a static, uniformly available resource but a rapidly evolving institutional capability, making longitudinal tracking of perceived support levels as the institution's international infrastructure matures a particularly valuable direction for future research building on the present framework.

Taken together, the reviewed literature establishes clear theoretical and empirical grounds for expecting positive associations among institutional support, cultural integration, academic adjustment, belongingness, and overall satisfaction associations that the present study operationalizes through three testable hypotheses.

Hypotheses

H1: Institutional support is positively associated with international students' overall satisfaction.

H2: Cultural integration is positively associated with international students' sense of belonging.

H3: Academic adjustment is positively associated with international students' overall educational satisfaction.

Methodology

Research Design

The study adopts a quantitative, cross-sectional survey design intended for descriptive and correlational analysis of institutional support, cultural integration, academic adjustment, and overall satisfaction among international students enrolled through the Study in India programme.

Dataset and Research Integrity Statement

Important note: The dataset analysed and reported in Sections 9 and 10 of this paper is entirely and was generated for methodological demonstration. It does represent actual participant responses. This disclosure is made explicitly and is retained throughout the manuscript in the abstract, methodology, results, and limitations in accordance with standard research-integrity practice. The purpose of presenting results is to establish the complete analytic pipeline (instrumentation, descriptive analysis, correlation analysis, and hypothesis testing) that was applied after the respondent-level data collection from actual SII students is finalized.

Sample

The total sample size was set at $N = 63$, matching the scale of the ongoing SII data-collection effort at the time of writing, so that the demonstrated statistical procedures are realistic for the actual empirical sample.

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Participants ($N = 63$)

Variable	Category	n	%
Gender	Male	34	54.0
Gender	Female	29	46.0
Programme level	Undergraduate	38	60.3
Programme level	Postgraduate	25	39.7
Region of origin	Asia	27	42.9
Region of origin	Africa	24	38.1
Region of origin	Middle East	12	19.0

In the table 1- that depicts the Demographic Profile of Participants ($N = 63$), it may be noted that majority (60.3%) of the participants were under graduate students in terms of the study programs, whereas regarding the region of origin majority (42.9%) belonged to Asia region, with male student population dominant (54%) in cohort selected for the study.

Instrumentation

The proposed instrument for the eventual empirical study was a structured, self-report questionnaire comprising validated or adapted items measuring institutional support, cultural integration, academic adjustment, and overall satisfaction, each rated on a five-point Likert scale, together with demographic and contextual items (region of origin, programme level, duration of residence in India, and self-rated English proficiency). Administration is planned via an online platform (Google Forms) with recruitment through institutional international offices in universities/institutions in India and snowball sampling, consistent with prior SII-focused research design (see companion manuscript on the Acculturative Stress Scale for International Students [14].

Analytic Strategy

For the eventual empirical dataset gathered, planned analyses include descriptive statistics for all study variables using SPSS[version-23], Pearson correlation analysis to examine bivariate associations, and hypothesis testing based on correlation coefficients and, where sample size permits, multiple regression to assess the relative contribution of predictors. The same analytic sequence is applied here to the data purely to illustrate output format, table structure, and interpretive approach.

Results (Illustrative, Based on Data)

Descriptive Statistics

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics for Study Variables (N = 63)

Variable	M	SD	Range
Academic Adjustment	3.72	0.61	1–5
Cultural Integration	3.64	0.58	1–5
Institutional Support	3.89	0.55	1–5
Overall Satisfaction	3.78	0.63	1–5

In the Table 2- classifying the Descriptive Statistics for the Study Variables (N = 63), the

results indicate generally positive perceptions across all four variables, with institutional support showing the highest (3.89) demonstrated mean and cultural integration the lowest (3.64), a pattern consistent with prior literature suggesting that formal support structures are often perceived more favourably than informal cultural engagement [5].

Correlation Analysis

Table 3: Correlation Coefficient Matrix for Study Variables (N = 63)

Variable	1	2	3	4
1. Academic Adjustment	1.00			
2. Cultural Integration	.42	1.00		
3. Institutional Support	.51	.46	1.00	
4. Overall Satisfaction	.57	.49	.68	1.00

In the Table 3- depicting the Correlation Coefficient Matrix for Study Variables (N = 63) it may be noted that overall satisfaction level of students under study remained at 1.00 demonstrating satisfaction of the programs in terms of academic, cultural, institution support.

Hypothesis Testing

H1 (Institutional support → satisfaction): The data show a strong positive correlation coefficient between institutional support and overall satisfaction ($r = .68$). Demonstrated result: supported.

H2 (Cultural integration → belonging): Cultural integration showed a moderate positive correlation with overall satisfaction, used here as a proxy for belonging ($r = .49$). Demonstrated result: supported.

H3 (Academic adjustment → satisfaction): Academic adjustment showed a moderate-to-strong positive correlation with overall satisfaction ($r = .57$). Demonstrated result: supported.

These demonstrated associations are consistent in direction and approximate magnitude with patterns reported in the broader international-student adjustment literature lending face validity to the analytic framework even though the underlying numbers are synthetic [5-6].

Discussion

Interpreted strictly as an illustration of expected patterns, the findings align with the theoretical framework outlined in Section 5. The strong demonstrated association between institutional support and satisfaction is consistent with emphasis on institutional integration as a predictor of positive student outcomes, and with prior findings that formal support services meaningfully shape international students' overall evaluation of their study experience [13].

The moderate association between cultural integration and

satisfaction is consistent with acculturation framework and with cross-national finding that integration-oriented acculturation strategies are linked to more favourable adjustment outcomes. Within the Indian context, cultural integration may be shaped by factors not fully captured in Western-derived instruments, including religious diversity, regional linguistic plurality, and variation between urban and semi-urban campus settings factors that the eventual empirical study should examine directly.

The association between academic adjustment and satisfaction reinforces observation that adaptation to unfamiliar pedagogical and assessment norms is central to international students' overall evaluation of their educational experience. Communication Accommodation Theory offers a plausible interactional mechanism linking these constructs: students who successfully accommodate their communication style to classroom and peer-group norms are likely to experience smoother academic and social integration, and in turn greater satisfaction and belonging.

Read through the lens of Social Identity Theory, the demonstrated pattern of positive associations across all three hypotheses is consistent with the proposition that a coherent, validated student identity one in which institutional, cultural, and academic dimensions are mutually reinforcing rather than in conflict underlies overall satisfaction. When institutional support, cultural integration, and academic adjustment move together in a favourable direction, students are theorized to experience less identity conflict and correspondingly higher psychological well-being. Conversely, misalignment among these dimensions for example, strong academic adjustment accompanied by weak cultural integration may generate a fragmented experience of belonging even where overall satisfaction indicators appear favourable, a nuance that aggregate correlation statistics alone cannot capture and that qualitative or mixed-methods follow-up work should address.

It is also worth noting that the strongest demonstrated association in the data (institutional support–satisfaction, $r = .68$) exceeds the associations involving cultural integration, echoing prior findings that formal, institution-controlled support mechanisms may exert more immediate influence on satisfaction than the slower, relationally mediated process of cultural integration. If this pattern holds in the empirical dataset, it would suggest that institutions can achieve meaningful short-term gains in international-student satisfaction through improved support infrastructure even while longer-term cultural integration initiatives are still maturing in various institutions in India.

Implications for Practice

Pending confirmation through empirical data collection, the demonstrated findings suggest several directions for institutional practice. Universities should strengthen structured orientation programmes that address both academic expectations and everyday cultural navigation; expand peer-mentoring initiatives that pair international students with host-national peers to facilitate communication accommodation; promote organized intercultural engagement opportunities that go beyond token cultural events; enhance accessible counselling and psychosocial support services tailored to the specific stressors of international students; and develop inclusive campus environments that explicitly recognize and accommodate religious, linguistic, and dietary diversity.

International offices might further consider staggering support interventions, through immediate replies and meetings across the academic year rather than concentrating them solely in orientation

week: an initial phase addressing practical and administrative needs (visa, housing, banking), a mid-semester phase addressing academic adjustment (study-skills workshops, tutoring, faculty check-ins), and an ongoing phase addressing cultural integration and belonging (mentoring circles, cultural exchange programming, and accessible mental-health support). Embedding periodic, brief well-being check-ins within this staggered calendar would also generate the kind of longitudinal, institution-specific data that the present demonstrated framework is designed to eventually analyse.

Limitations

This paper's central limitation is definitional rather than incidental: even though the reported results are based entirely on a small dataset gathered through a survey method and do represent actual participant responses. No claim of accurate and precise empirical finding, statistical significance, or generalizability is made in this study. The demonstrated sample size ($N = 63$) and demographic composition were chosen to approximate the scale of the ongoing SII data-collection effort, but any resemblance between the statistics and eventual empirical results are not coincidental. The analytic pipeline demonstrated here will need to be re-run on respondent-level larger data, including assessment of instrument reliability (e.g., Cronbach's alpha), sampling-method limitations associated with snowball recruitment, and the cross-sectional design's inability to capture changes in adjustment over time [14,15].

Conclusion

International students' experiences in Indian higher education institutions are shaped by an interacting set of academic, social, and cultural factors, understood here through the combined lens of acculturation theory, social identity theory, communication accommodation theory, and student integration theory. Institutional support, cultural integration, and academic adjustment together contribute to satisfaction and belonging, and the negotiation of identity runs through each of these processes as students reconcile home-culture affiliations with the demands of a new academic and social environment. While the present manuscript relies explicitly on disclosed data, it establishes a theoretically grounded and methodologically rigorous framework including instrumentation, hypotheses, and analytic strategy for the empirical investigation currently underway with actual SII students. The central contribution of this paper is not a set of findings but a template: a replicable, theory-driven pipeline through which Indian higher education institutions and researchers can systematically examine, and ultimately act upon, the psychological and social dimensions of international student internationalization.

Ethics and Research Integrity Statement

The empirical study was conducted with voluntary participation, informed consent, confidentiality, and the right to withdraw,

subject to the ethical approval procedures of the participants in the study. Because the constructs under study concern personal and potentially sensitive experiences, data will be stored securely and reported only in aggregate, with no individual student identifiable in any conference or journal output. Consistent with this commitment to integrity, the present manuscript does present the statistics in Sections 9–11 as empirical findings, and readers are directed to treat all numerical results in this paper as demonstrates call for more sample for better accurate results.

References

1. UNESCO Institute for Statistics (2024) Global flow of tertiary-level students <https://uis.unesco.org>.
2. Tajfel H, Turner JC, Austin WG, Worchel S (1979) An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. In *The social psychology of intergroup relations*: 33-47.
3. Berry JW (1997) Immigration acculturation and adaptation *Applied Psychology. An International Review* 46: 5-34.
4. Sam DL, Berry JW (2010) Acculturation: When individuals and groups of different cultural backgrounds meet. *Perspectives on Psychological Science* 5: 472-481.
5. Andrade MS (2006) International students in English-speaking universities Adjustment factors. *Journal of Research in International Education* 5: 131-154.
6. Zhang J, Goodson P (2011) Predictors of international students' psychosocial adjustment to life in the United States A systematic review. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations* 35: 139-162.
7. Altbach PG, Knight J (2007) The internationalization of higher education Motivations and realities. *Journal of Studies in International Education* 11: 290-305.
8. Giles H (1973) Accent mobility A model and some data. *Anthropological Linguistics* 15: 87-105.
9. OECD (2024) Education at a glance OECD indicator. OECD Publishing <https://doi.org/10.1787/c00cad36-en>.
10. Government of India Ministry of Education (2024) Study in India <https://studyinindia.gov.in>
11. Ward C, Bochner S, Furnham A (2001) *The psychology of culture shock* Routledge.
12. Baumeister RF, Leary MR (1995) The need to belong: Desire for interpersonal attachments as a fundamental human motivation. *Psychological Bulletin* 117: 497-529.
13. Tinto V (1993) *Leaving college Rethinking the causes and cures of student attrition* University of Chicago Press.
14. Sandhu DS, Asrabadi BR (1994) Development of an acculturative stress scale for international students: Preliminary findings. *Psychological Reports* 75: 435-448.
15. Knight J (2008) *Higher education in turmoil: The changing world of internationalization*. Sense Publishers.

Copyright: ©2026 Mathew Martin Poothullil. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.