ISSN: 2754-4761

Journal of Pulmonology Research & Reports

SCIENTIFIC Research and Community

Letter to Editor

Open Access

What do we know about Macrolides Immunomodulatory Therapeutic Potential in Respiratory Disease in 2023

Daniela Godinhoa[1]*, Marta Freixab[2] and Filipe Froesa[1]

[1]Thorax Department, Centro Hospitalar Universitário Lisboa Norte, Lisbon, Portugal

[2]Internal Medicine, Hospital Pulido Valente, Centro Hospitalar Universitário Lisboa Norte, Lisbon, Portugal

***Corresponding author**
Daniela Godinhoa, Thorax Department, Centro Hospitalar Universitário Lisboa Norte, Lisbon, Portugal.

Received: October 26, 2023; **Accepted:** October 28, 2023, **Published:** November 08, 2023

Dear Editor,

The broad antibacterial activities of macrolides have led to their widespread use on infections, particularly for respiratory infections. Many of the macrolide-susceptible microorganisms are respiratory pathogens known to be associated with exacerbations of asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), bronchiectasis (BE) and cystic fibrosis (CF). Macrolides have been widely used to treat respiratory diseases, often beyond its acute antimicrobial use (Table 1), and they have long been accepted to show effects on inflammatory and immune cells, mucus secretion, and epithelial cell differentiation. Anti-inflammatory and immuno-modulatory actions, independent of their actions on bacteria, add to their therapeutic benefit in infectious and chronic inflammatory disorders, recognition that dates to the 1960s [1]. Long-term treatment improves clinical outcomes in several respiratory diseases, such as in symptoms, lung function, quality of life (QoL) and a significant exacerbations frequency reduction in COPD (30 to 60%), asthma, CF and BE, despite diversity in pathophysiology between these conditions, suggesting a degree of shared biology [2]. Thus, as macrolides show a positive effect in diseases where macrolide-resistant organisms are prevailing, and at dosages below the antibacterial threshold, this suggests that this effect may not be a result of antibacterial activity. This is further highlighted by reports that airway bacterial load remains unchanged [1]. However, until today, there has been limited success in defining the essential molecular mechanisms underlying these activities. By understanding them, it might be possible to enhance their non-antibiotic functions, apply them to other inflammatory diseases, avoid side effects, and limit bacterial resistance. Clinical studies exploring the long-term effects of macrolides in chronic lung diseases have reported adverse events including hearing impairment, gastrointestinal disarrangement and, althought rare, cardiotoxicity – extension of QT interval (reported in several COVID-19 trials of azithromycin (AZM) and inhibition of proarrhythmogenic drugs metabolism, leading to syncope and sudden death. The long-term use is also limited by the induction of bacterial resistance, and there is a concern about the length of low-dose macrolide therapy that starts to increase bacterial resistance. Thus, there is a need for new nonantibacterial macrolides that have similar effective disease-modifying properties without the risk of resistance [1].

Is reported that macrolides reduce immune cell infiltration into the lungs of asthmatics and BE patients, and it is suggested that leukocyte migration into the lung is restricted by reducing chemokine and adhesion molecule production by airway epithelial cells [2]. Multiple potential effects on macrophage function have been described: macrophage phenotype depends largely on the cytokine environment and is simplified to pro-inflammatory (M1-like) and anti-inflammatory (M2-like). Macrolides are consistently reported to direct macrophage precursors and existing M1 cells towards an M2 phenotype in vitro and subsequently change macrophage cytokine production, to enhance the phagocytic capacity and efferocytosis - the phagocytic clearance of dead cells - as apoptotic bronchial epithelial cells and neutrophils. This may be partially responsible for macrolide efficacy in COPD, where impaired clearance of apoptotic bronchial epithelial cells is considered a key part of the pathophysiology [2].

Neutrophils eliminate infection in a variety of ways including phagocytosis, degranulation and the formation of neutrophil extracellular traps. It is thought that a central mechanism behind the positive clinical outcomes of macrolide treatment is the mitigation of neutrophil responses. Prolonged neutrophil lifespan caused by delayed apoptosis is thought to be prominent in many chronic diseases, and macrolides shorten it by inducing apoptosis, reducing the likelihood of cells undergoing necrosis and releasing inflammatory mediators into the local lung environment. Enhanced neutrophil apoptosis together with the macrolide-induced enhanced efferocytic capacity of macrophages promotes an anti-inflammatory environment [1]. Dendritic cell (DC) phenotypic plasticity allows for regulation of immune responses, often perturbed in inflammatory disease where DCs extend chronic inflammation and tissue damage. Macrolides modulate T-cell function both directly and indirectly - induction of a tolerogenic-like DC phenotype is likely to suppress T-cell activation and proliferation or induce formation of anti-inflammatory Treg-cells. Low-dose macrolide therapy might increase apoptosis of specific T-cell subsets, highlighted by decreased CD8+ and unchanged CD4+ in macrolide-treated patients, what may be a consequence of the reduction in pro-inflammatory cytokines involved in recruitment and proliferation, rather than a direct effect of macrolides on T-cell [2]. Macrolides can be modified to

eliminate their antibacterial effects while keeping or enhancing their immunomodulatory capacity, and can also be coupled with other molecules, such as steroids, antimicrobial peptides, or small signalling molecules to enhance their potency. Given that macrolides are relatively stable in vivo and accumulate in phagocytes, they are excellent carrier molecules to deliver drugs or signals specifically to phagosomes or inflammation places [3]. Although take advantage of the beneficial immunomodulatory effects of macrolides is an appealing perspective, clinical evidence is scarce at present, and caution is required in terms of safety and antimicrobial resistance. Further studies are needed to verify whether this could be a valid therapeutic, to establish which clinical or immunological subsets of patients might benefit the most, and to further improve in new non-antibiotic macrolides, while preserving the use of macrolides as antibiotics [3].

Table 1: Clinical Outcomes of Macrolides According to each Respiratory Disease

Respiratory Disease	Clinical Outcome
Asthma	• Reduction on exacerbations and improve in QoL [1] • AZM should be considered as a useful add-on therapy for patients with persistent asthma already receiving treatment with high-dose ICS and LABA [1] • As a disease not typically associated with chronic bacterial infection, the efficacy of AZM in reducing exacerbations further supports an immunomodulatory effect - in the AMAZES trial of 420 patients, exacerbations were reduced by 41%, with similar results between eosinophilic and non-eosinophilic asthma [5]. These data support that macrolides are having effects greater than would be expected from bacterial clearance alone, and their efficacy in patients without clear evidence of bacterial infection suggests an immunomodulatory mechanism [2]
Bronchiectasis	• Suppression on bacterial infection and inflammation and reduction in exacerbations of 51% with fewer symptoms, improved lung function and QoL [6] • The group of patients with the greatest response were the ones chronically infected with P. aeruginosa, an organism that is not traditionally considered susceptible to macrolide antibiotics [2]
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	• Reduction on exacerbations [2] • Proposed maintenance treatment with AZM in patients who have a frequent exacerbator phenotype and are refractory to standard of care [1] • Inclusion of AZM in the 2023 GOLD guidelines as an add-on therapy [1]
Cystic Fibrosis	• Randomized trials in patients chronically infected with P. aeruginosa were clearly positive with improvements in FEV1 - FEV1>30% of predicted at baseline - and prolonged time to first exacerbation, while no improvements in lung function in patients without P. aeruginosa were observed, although exacerbations were still reduced [1, 4] • Maintenance therapy with AZM in chronically infected patients with CF is now a recommendation in clinical guidelines [1]
Diffuse pan bronchiolitis	• The recognition of macrolides properties in 1960s is due to several reports in Japan that appeared demonstrating dramatic decreases in disease activity and mortality among patients with the inflammatory lung disease DPB, as a result of treatment with erythromycin. Untreated, the disease is fatal in 50% of patients within 5 years of diagnosis, but chronic treatment with erythromycin (ERM) is effective in 90% of patients with DPB [1] • ERM was found to decrease IL-1b, IL-8 and neutrophils in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, sputum volume and lung infiltration [1] • A recent systematic review of macrolide treatment of DPB in Japan, Korea, and China reports that the incidence of DPB is declining, at least partially because of the use of macrolides [1]
Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis	• A retrospective analysis of hospitalized patients with acute exacerbation of IPF revealed that treatment with AZM (500 mg/d for 5 days) was significantly more effective than fluoroquinolones in reducing 60-day mortality [1] • Prophylactic treatment with AZM (250 mg/kg, 3 times per week) for up to 12 months significantly reduce hospitalization rate [1] • In a murine bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis model of IPF, AZM given at an early stage reduce both pulmonary fibrosis and lung function limitation [1] • AZM inhibits collagen generation by primary human IPF fibroblasts, not by healthy human fibroblasts, suggesting an alternative target for the macrolide in this disease [1]
Pneumonia	• Reduction in mortality associated with macrolide treatment in severely ill patients with a high inflammatory response [3] • Benefit most pronounced in pneumococcal pneumonia, regardless of whether the causative strain is macrolide resistant, what could derive from inhibition of pneumocystis, a toxin produced by pneumococci, or macrolide-stimulated elimination of the bacterial reservoir in splenic macrophages • In patients with community-acquired pneumonia unresponsive to treatment after 72h, those receiving macrolides had lower concentrations of cytokines (IL-6 and TNF-α) in BLF, and a shorter time to clinical stability [3] • Inhibition of quorum sensing, a mechanism used by bacteria to increase their virulence capacity to infect a host in response to changes in the density of the bacterial population [3]

Funding
None.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no financial and personal relationships with other people or organisations that could innapropriately influence their work.

The figure and legend are original and not from any other publication, and that the manuscript has not been written using an AI program.

References

1. JA Kricker, CP Page, FR Gardarsson, O Baldursson, T Gudjonsson, et al. (2021) Nonantimicrobial Actions of Macrolides: Overview and Perspectives for Future Development. Pharmac Rev 73: 1404-1433.
2. J Pollock, JD Chalmers (2021) The immunomodulatory effects of macrolide antibiotics in respiratory disease. Pulmo Pharmac & Therap 71: 102095.
3. TDY Reijnders, A Saris, MJ Schultz, T van der Poll (2020) Immunomodulation by macrolides: therapeutic potential for critical care. Lancet Respir Med 8: 619-630.
4. L Saiman, BC Marshall, N Mayer Hamblett (2003) Azithromycin in patients with cystic fibrosis chronically infected with Pseudomonas aeruginosa: a randomized controlled trial. J. Am. Med. Assoc 290: 1749-1756.
5. P Gibson, IA Yang, JW Upham, PN Reynolds, S Hodges, et al. (2017) Effect of azithromycin on asthma exacerbations and quality of life in adults with persistent uncontrolled asthma (AMAZES): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 390: 659-668.
6. C Kelly, JD Chalmers, I Crossingham, N Relph, LM Felix, et al. (2018) Macrolide antibiotics for bronchiectasis. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev 3: https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD012406.pub2.

Copyright: ©2023 Daniela Godinhoa, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.